#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

# ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 11,812

APPLICATION OF THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR AN ORDER REQUIRING POLARIS PRODUCTION CORPORATION TO PLUG 19 WELLS IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

#### EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

August 21st, 1997

SFP 1 1 1997

Santa Fe, New Mexico Oil Conservation Covision

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, August 21st, 1997, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources

Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

## I N D E X

August 21st, 1997 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 11,812

CASE NO. 11,812	
	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	4
DIVISION WITNESSES:	
GARY W. WINK (Field Rep II, Hobbs District Office, NMOCD)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Carroll	7
Cross-Examination by Mr. Bruce	29
Examination by Examiner Stogner	30
ROGER C. ANDERSON (Environmental Bureau Chief, NMOCD)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Carroll	33
Examination by Examiner Stogner	38
POLARIS WITNESS:	
<pre>DAVIS PAYNE (Engineer; President, Polaris Production Corporation)</pre>	
Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	46
Cross-Examination by Mr. Carroll	56
Examination by Examiner Stogner	78
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	84

\* \* \*

### EXHIBITS

			EVUIPTIS	
Division			Identified	Admitted
	Exhibit	1A	6, 13	45
	Exhibit	1B	6, 13	45
	Exhibit	2	6, 29	45
			,	
	Exhibit	3	6, 29	45
		•	3, 23	••
			* * *	
Polaris			Tdentified	Admitted
Polaris			Identified	Admitted
Polaris	Exhibit	1	Identified 47	Admitted 56
Polaris	-		47	56
Polaris	Exhibit	2	47 49	56 56
Polaris	-	2	47	56
Polaris	Exhibit	2	47 49	56 56
Polaris	Exhibit Exhibit	2 3 4A	47 49 50	56 56 56
Polaris	Exhibit Exhibit	2 3 4A 4B	47 49 50 54	56 56 56 56

Exhibit 6

Exhibit 8

Exhibit 7A-7G

\* \* \*

55

55

55

56

56

### APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

RAND L. CARROLL Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division 2040 South Pacheco Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR POLARIS PRODUCTION CORPORATION:

JAMES G. BRUCE, Attorney at Law 612 Old Santa Fe Trail, Suite B Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

\* \* \*

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 1:45 p.m.: 3 EXAMINER STOGNER: This hearing will come to 4 order. 5 At this time I'll call Case Number 11,838, which 6 is the Application of the Oil Conservation Division for an 7 order requiring Polaris Production Corporation to plug 19 8 wells in Lea County, New Mexico. 9 10 At this time I'll call for appearances. MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, my name is Rand 11 Carroll, appearing on behalf of the Oil Conservation 12 13 Division. I have two witnesses to be sworn. 14 And I believe you called the wrong case number? 15 EXAMINER STOGNER: Yes, I should have said 16 17 11,812, as opposed to 11,838. My apologies on that. Is that correct, Mr. Carroll? 18 MR. CARROLL: Yes. 19 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. Any other appearances? 20 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce representing 21 Polaris Production Corporation. I have one witness. 22 EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other appearances? 23 I'd like all witnesses to stand at this time and 24 25 be sworn.

1	(Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.)
2	EXAMINER STOGNER: Is there a need for opening
3	remarks at this time, gentleman?
4	MR. CARROLL: Not on my part.
5	MR. BRUCE: I don't think so.
6	EXAMINER STOGNER: All right. Mr. Carroll?
7	MR. CARROLL: I call Gary Wink to the stand.
8	Mr. Examiner, what has been marked Exhibit 1A is
9	copies of the well files for each of the 30 wells operated
10	by Polaris. That includes 11 wells besides the 19 wells
11	that are the subject matter of this case.
12	And we also have OCD Exhibit 1B, which includes
13	some photographs, and 2 and 3.
14	EXAMINER STOGNER: Has Mr. Bruce been provided a
15	copy of these?
16	MR. CARROLL: Not of everything. Do you have
17	copies of the well files, Jim?
18	MR. BRUCE: I have copies of the well files. I
19	have some of the photos, I'm not sure which ones.
20	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Carroll, the Application
21	is for 19 certain wells, but you said that there are 30
22	here?
23	MR. CARROLL: We will explain that, Mr. Examiner.
24	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, I'm sorry, getting ahead
25	of myself there.

#### GARY W. WINK,

- 2 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
  3 his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
  - DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. CARROLL:

1

4

16

Office?

- Q. Mr. Wink, will you please state your name and your place of residence?
- A. Gary Webster Wink, 1814 North Cielo, Hobbs, New 9 Mexico.
- 10 Q. Mr. Wink, who are you employed by?
- A. Energy and Minerals Department, Oil Conservation
  Division.
- 13 Q. In the Hobbs District Office?
- 14 A. Hobbs District Office.
- Q. And what's your position with the Hobbs District
- 17 A. I'm a Field Rep II.
- 18 Q. And what are the duties of a Field Rep II?
- A. Well, I oversee plugging operations and
  mechanical integrity tests and answer complaints to
  ranchers and from ranchers and the oil industry.
- Q. Have you testified before the Oil Conservation
  Division before?
- 24 A. No, I haven't.
- 25 Q. Would you please give the Examiner a brief

background of your education and oilfield experience? 1 Well, I attended New Mexico Junior College and Α. 2 Eastern New Mexico University, and I worked for ARCO Oil 3 and Gas for seventeen and a half years. I was -- When I 4 took early retirement I was a senior production foreman. 5 Mr. Wink, are you familiar with the operations of 6 Q. Polaris Production Corporation in your district and the 7 wells involved in this case? 8 Yes, I am. 9 Α. 10 MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, are the witness's qualifications acceptable? 11 EXAMINER STOGNER: Are there any objections? 12 13 Mr. Wink is so qualified. (By Mr. Carroll) Mr. Wink, will you please give 14 Q. 15 the Examiner a brief history of the 19 wells we're asking 16 be plugged? 17 Α. A brief history, you mean --18 0. When they were drilled, how --19 Α. Okay, they -- The wells were drilled from 1951 to around 1957, and they're all from -- They're Devonian wells 20 from the Denton-Devonian, from about twelve -- 12,900 feet 21 to down to -- down to 12,200 feet. They vary. 22 And all these wells produced at one time? 23 Q.

Do you know the dates of the last production of

Yes, they did.

Α.

Q.

24

1	these well	ls?
2	Α.	Do you want me to name each one of them?
3	Q.	Sure, if that's what it takes.
4	Α.	Okay. There's a shut-in/TA well list report,
5	Form 44.	This is according to our records.
6		The Argo was Last production date was
7	11-30-1988	3. The Buckley And that was the Argo Number 3.
8		The Buckley A Number 4 was 9-30-1979.
9		The Buckley B Number 1 was 9-30-1976.
10	Q.	Maybe you can just name the years.
11	A.	Okay. T.D. Pope, 1983 The T.D. Pope Number 1,
12	1983; and	the T.D. Pope Number 6, 1973. T.D. Pope Number
13	9, 1976.	
14		The Priest Number 1, 1976.
15		Buckley A 1, 1994.
16		Shelton Number 1, 1994. The Shelton Number 4,
17	1994.	
18		T.D. Pope Number 5, 1994.
19		State A Number 1, 1994.
20		The Argo Number 2, January of 1994.
21		Buckley A Number 3, 1981. Buckley B Number 2,
22	1994.	
23		Argo Number 4, 1973. Argo Number 5, 1973.
24		Priest Number 4, 1980. And the Priest Number 5,
25	1980.	

Now, the latest date I heard you say was 1994 --1 Q. Right. 2 Α. -- for some of the wells? 3 Q. Right. 4 Α. 5 Q. So it's been three years since at least the last 6 producers last produced? 7 As to our records, yeah. And what's required of wells that no longer 8 Q. produce? 9 10 A. Well, they either need to be brought back on production, temporarily -- tested for temporary 11 abandonment, or plugged. 12 Has any of those three options been exercised by 13 Q. Polaris? 14 15 Α. No. 16 Have we tried to contact Polaris to get some 17 response? 18 Yeah, I've contacted Mr. Payne several times, and 19 we've corresponded with letters. 20 Q. Okay, we'll get into that in a little bit. 21 Α. Okay. 22 Q. How were these wells completed? Well, you mean -- they're cemented at -- The 13 23 24 5/8 is cemented to surface, and then the 8 5/8, most of

them were -- You mean how many sacks of cement or --

They're all cemented --

- O. Uh-huh.
- A. -- you know, not the entire string.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Wink, what's the current condition of these wellbores?
- A. Well, the -- for the most part, they're just -What I can tell in the files back in 1974 on some of them
  it says that they were accepted for TA status. But they're
  not actually TA'd; they're just shut in. TA status, you
  know, you go down a hundred feet above the topmost
  perforation and set a plug, and I don't believe these have
  been TA'd that way.

Back then, in 1974, it may not have been required. I don't know, I'm not -- Nowadays, this date in time, we require them to pressure test them 500 pounds, and if they'll hold for 30 minutes, and then we'll give them five-year exemption on it.

- Q. And has that been done with any of these wells?
- A. No.
- Q. Mr. Wink, why did the Division provide the Examiner with well files from 30 wells when we're only asking that 19 wells be plugged during this hearing?
- A. We just pulled all of them out. There's three of them from Pacific Royalty lease, were plugged back in March of last year. But the -- in order to release the leases,

they have to be brought back to the -- as close as possible 1 to the original -- the land has to be brought back as close 2 to the original as possible, and there's still a tank -- a 3 tank on one and some bases with bolts sticking up. 4 And the Number 5 Pacific has a -- still has a 5 pumping unit on it. And so we're still keeping those. 6 7 They haven't been released. And I don't know why the others were -- We just 8 had them all together. 9 Q. So we have well files on every well that 10 Polaris --11 12 Α. Yes. -- operates in --13 0. Yes. 14 Α. -- the Hobbs District? 15 Q. A. Right. 16 Mr. Wink, what's the condition of the surface and 17 Q. equipment in the lines on these leases where these wells 18 are located? 19 Well, there aren't but three wells producing up 20 in the Denton field right now, and that's the Priest and 21 the Shelton Number 6 and the State A Number 2. 22 The State A Number 2 is a rod-pumping well, and 23 as of, oh, a week or so ago, the cellar was full of fluid. 24

The day before yesterday I was up there, and it had been

dirt thrown around it, and apparently somebody come by and sucked the fluid out of the cellar.

And that was same on the Priest lease. It was -they had thrown -- Someone had thrown dirt all around to
cover up the liquids.

And the Shelton -- And it was already coming back up out of the sump that comes off the triplex pump at the Shelton. That's not -- you know, that's -- Outside the fence at the Shelton there's a load line that carries outside the fence, and there's a five-gallon bucket that stands full with fluid and runs over. It had been covered up. And there's -- In my pictures you can see there's cattle in the area. Sometimes the gates are left open.

- Q. Well, Mr. Wink, let's go to your pictures.
- A. Okay.

MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, as you can see, the 30 well files have been marked OCD Exhibit Number 1A, and that's for your information.

OCD Exhibit Number 1B includes six different packets of documents. And also included in Exhibit 1B are 58 pictures. So if we could go to the pictures now, and Mr. Wink can lead you through them.

- Q. (By Mr. Carroll) When were these pictures taken?
- A. Well, various times. I don't have the dates on all of them.

These -- This first one was taken probably two months ago.

- Q. And what does this picture show?
- A. This is an abandoned -- or a shut-in well at the Priest lease. I don't know the exact number of it. There isn't any sign.
  - O. But does it show a leak?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- A. It's -- There's a valve leaking. If you can look there to the right, that sort of wave there that's bubbling up. It's hard to tell, but I would think that it's a valve leaking. And the other day when I went by there it had been sucked out, and it was leaking again.
- Q. Uh-huh. And these following pictures show how many different lease sites?
- A. There's -- I think there's five or six different lease sites. This next picture is a picture of the pump -- EXAMINER STOGNER: If you'll refer to the number,
- 18 I think we'll have it straighter --
- 19 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 20 EXAMINER STOGNER: -- that way.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. The Number 2 picture is
  22 Polaris' Priest lease, the tank battery. And as you can
  23 see, it hasn't been too long before that they had covered
  24 it up with sand, and it already -- the sump's already
  25 running over again and running out in the road.

And the next picture is just one of the same from a different angle.

A. The Number 4 picture is the one producing well on the Priest, the Number 2 well. And as you can see, this was probably -- I probably took this picture back last October or something, sometime in there. And it's just -- it's oil and water mixed, rain water, the first, one thing and another.

The Number 5 picture, this was taken approximately a month, a month and a half ago. This is a picture of the first one you looked at, the whole area around it.

And the Number 6 is a picture of the same well before the incident happened.

- Q. (By Mr. Carroll) Mr. Examiner, we have 58 pictures. Do you want Mr. Wink to go through every one?
- A. The Number 7 picture shows you that bucket I was talking about on the Shelton lease, with the cattle in the background.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, let's -- Mr. Carroll, how would you propose that he continue? Do you want to go through each picture or --

MR. CARROLL: If the Examiner believes it's necessary, we're willing to go through -- These pictures overall show the general condition of the leases.

THE WITNESS: You might look at Number 14. 1 As opposed to going through EXAMINER STOGNER: 2 all of them, Mr. Wink, why don't you pick out the ones that 3 you think are pertinent? 4 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. 6 EXAMINER STOGNER: You said Number 14 --7 THE WITNESS: Number 14. EXAMINER STOGNER: -- as one you think is 8 9 pertinent? THE WITNESS: Yeah, because these others are ones 10 I've already talked about. 11 This was, oh, last October or -- It's been a year 12 ago, I imagine. And the entire battery yard was -- Between 13 rain water and oil and chemical, it would -- pretty much 14 covered the entire battery there in front of the tanks. 15 The Number 15 picture is of the same battery 16 after it's been -- after it's been covered up. But as you 17 can see, the plungers are still leaking with the co-pump, 18 and it's just ongoing like that. It's like that right now 19 20 again. Number 17 shows the Shelton Number 6 with the 21 cattle in the background and a few puddles around it. 22 And Number 20 shows the -- on the north side of 23 the fence at the Shelton battery. As you can see in 24 between these flow lines in the fence, there's saturated 25

ground and liquid still present.

Number 22 is a picture of the circulating pump at the Shelton battery.

Number 24, you can see the cattle in the background and the gate standing open, and the fence is in -- is not up all the way. You can't see it real good right there, but there's cattle tracks inside that battery.

Number 25 sort of shows you the area, how much area the spills have covered from time to time.

And Number 26 and 27 are the -- is the Pacific Royalty lease that they plugged last year, but it's -- the equipment hasn't been taken -- It hasn't been hauled off, and the ground hasn't been restored back -- the contour of the land hasn't been put back to as close as it can.

Number 29 is the State A Number 2. It shows the cellar sort of fell in there, and there's fluid in the cellar, standing in the cellar.

And the State A battery where the fence is all down, and there's been a few small leaks around the heater and evidence that there's been some in the past.

Number 33 and 34 are pictures of the T.D. Pope lease that is -- There isn't anything producing on it right now. Everything is shut in or plugged. And it shows the heater treaters, where the fence is down in places, but there's puddles all around the heaters and saturated soil

1 there. And the -- Number 35 is the Argo battery where 2 evidently the tank's leaking at the bottom chime -- out of 3 4 the bottom chime or somewhere there. 5 Did you get copies of the pictures I took Tuesday -- or the day before yesterday? 6 7 MR. BRUCE: No, I didn't, Gary. MR. CARROLL: Yeah, I -- They're in the back. 8 MR. BRUCE: Oh, they're here. 9 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. (By Mr. Carroll) Gary, if you can show the last 11 Q. 12 pictures that aren't marked on the back with a label, what 13 do they show? They show the same leases with what has been 14 done to the property? Okay, this -- The 36 ad 37 is the T.D. Pope 15 16 lease, and nothing has been done there. 17 And -- Let's see, I believe this is the State A 18 This is Number 38. Battery. And 39, it's just showing that, you know, that 19 it's been -- the liquids have been covered up. 20 21 Covered up with what? Q. Covered up with -- Well, it looks like dirt, 22 23 caliche fine, or it may have some -- It may have fertilizer mixed in with it. I don't know. But that's --24 25 Q. Is that --

- A. That's not -Q. Is that required by OCD rules? Or is that what
- is required by OCD rules?
- A. No, it isn't. The liquids need to be picked up, and they need to check the vertical extent of the leak and bring it, my understanding, into compliance, into 5000 parts -- below 5000 parts a million.

The groundwater depth out here, the Ogallala is
anywhere from 88 feet to 42 feet in this entire area.

There's a copy of the State Engineer's report on that.

- 11 Q. Which is marked as 1 --
- 12 A. Exhibit 1.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

15

16

17

18

21

- 13 | Q. -- Exhibit 1B?
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
  - MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, this is a fax from the State Engineer Office with a listing of the wells. And at the end of each line is a notation as to the depth to groundwater.
- 19 MR. BRUCE: Which number is it?
- MR. CARROLL: Number 1. It's 1B.
  - Q. (By Mr. Carroll) Mr. Wink, what good does it do to cover these spills with fresh dirt?
- A. Well, it really doesn't do any good except that
  at least you dry up the liquid so the livestock can't -are not as likely to get in it. But as far as that being

their remediation, that's not the way we remediate.

- Q. It does nothing to protect the groundwater?
- A. The groundwater, no.
- Q. Mr. Wink, if you'll refer to the document marked 2 of Exhibit 1B, which is a copy of a C-115, does this show the production from these wells?
- A. Yes, it does.

- Q. And it shows what? Production from -- How many wells?
- A. Well, there are three wells, active wells, the Priest Number 2 and the Shelton Number 6 and the State A Number 2. The rest of them are shut-in status, as you can see.
- Q. Mr. Wink, if you'll refer to what has been marked 3 of Exhibit 1B, is this a copy of your field trip reports from March 4th, 1994, up until August 19th of 1997?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And in general, what do these field reports tell us?
  - A. Well, they tell us what the extent of the leaks

    -- or what -- Like on the Priest lease, they have down

    there, Leaks have been covered up inside and out of battery

    fence. And it tells you sort of the condition of the

    ground around.
- 25 Q. So it's pretty much a written description of what

is found in the photographs?

A. Yes.

- Q. And these field trips -- There's a number of them, and the first one is from 1994, like I said, all the way through two days ago?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. It looks like it's every few months?
- 8 A. That's correct.
  - Q. During these field trip reports, did you ever have the occasion to meet Mr. Payne?
  - A. I can't remember exact date. The one time I met up -- The first time I remember meeting him, we met up at the Priest lease, where I was going to talk to him about all the different leaks and how they needed to be handled. And it didn't go real well, just --
  - EXAMINER STOGNER: Sorry, what? I didn't hear that?

THE WITNESS: Well, I met with him. Jerry Sexton sent me up there to meet with him at the Priest lease, and the oil was running out from under the fence. And he said, Well, that -- He told me that he didn't see where that was too big a problem.

And I said, Well, you know, it can affect the groundwater and the environment. And he didn't really agree with me on that, that it was detrimental to the

health and environment.

And so we ended up, he told me that I had all the authority.

And I said, Well, I'm not up here for that. I really came up here, to begin with, to just help you -- show you what needed to be done.

And he said, Well, I don't think I'll be able to do all this.

And I said, Well, then maybe you need to talk to Jerry Sexton.

- Q. (By Mr. Carroll) Mr. Wink, was that your only face-to-face meeting with Mr. Payne?
  - A. I had met with him one other time, with Jerry.
  - Q. Was that before or after --
- A. Before that time. I wasn't really involved with it, Jerry was. And he had -- Jerry had talked to him and asked him to be sure and pick the liquids up and to start plugging or TA'ing one well every quarter, and if that didn't happen, that he would up it to one a month. And --
  - Q. Was that directive ever complied with?
- A. Well, last year they plugged three wells at the -- like I said, at the Pacific Royalty lease.
  - Q. Did you witness those pluggings?
- A. No, I went up there to try to catch Gary Payne,
  and I never did catch him.

I watched -- One my inspectors were watching the 1 pluggings, witnessing the pluggings. 2 So they were plugged to the OCD's satisfaction? 3 Α. Yes. 4 5 Q. Besides these face-to-face meetings, Mr. Wink, 6 have you had -- have you written correspondence to Mr. 7 Payne and received responses? 8 Α. Yes, I have. MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, Exhibit 4 -- or 9 10 Exhibit 1B, what has been marked 4, are copies of letters dated June 25th and June 20th giving notice of this 11 hearing, and then a follow-up letter, what has been marked 12 5, is a copy of the correspondence from the Hobbs District 13 14 Office to both myself and to Mr. Payne, along with copies of the correspondence received in response from Mr. Payne. 15 16 0. (By Mr. Carroll) So Mr. Wink, if you can go to 17 what has been marked 5 and --18 Α. Okay. -- probably go to the third page, which is the 19 letter dated October 1st, 1996, from Mr. Payne --20 He had -- I had written him a letter 21 Α. Uh-huh. prior to this, and he was answering my letter. 22 And how did he answer your letter? What is 23 Q. contained in the October 1st letter from him? What has he 24 25 done to comply with your directive?

- A. Well, he just covered up the liquids. And he's showing the cost. He had a vacuum truck out there and sucked the liquids out of the cellars, and then -- and he repaired the stuffing box leak. And then whenever it says, Clean around battery and equipment, that's where they shoveled the dirt, spread it around.
- Q. If you go to the next page, the letter from you dated October 7th --
  - A. Uh-huh.

- O. -- what does this letter state?
- A. Well, that's -- Oh, let's see. Well, I'm acknowledging receiving his letter that I'd sent on October 1st, recommending there be a fine levied or imposing a \$1000-a-day fine, and I had sent it to you and Bill LeMay.
- Q. And also with this October 7th letter, you enclosed pictures that were taken October 1st, 1996, indicating that the cleanup done by Polaris was insufficient?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And in this letter you're telling Mr. Payne that you're recommending that this matter be referred to the OCD legal for bringing to hearing?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. The following letter is dated October 9th. This is the response from Polaris to your October 7th letter?

A. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. In it, Mr. Payne indicates -- has indicated further efforts to comply with the OCD --
  - A. He says --
  - Q. -- rules?
- A. Well, he says here, "We immediately tried to dispatch Carpenter Construction to cover the oil spill but they were unable to get fresh dirt to cover from their usual sources, hence the delay."
  - Q. Well, is that what you directed him to do --
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. -- to cover the spills --
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 Q. -- with fresh dirt?
- A. No. No, I don't tell them how to clean it up.

  But that -- we can make -- suggest to them, you know. Like

  I say, the spill -- checked vertical extent and horizontal

  extent and bring it into compliance with the guidelines for

  leaks and spills.
  - Q. Referring to the last paragraph of that letter, there's a statement made that "...it will not be possible for [Polaris] to sustain the \$1,000.00 per day fine..."?
  - A. Yes, it says, "In the meantime, it will not be possible...to sustain the...fine..." and "Moreover, we do not feel that there is a public health problem which

26 1 demands such action." 2 Do you agree with that statement? Q. 3 No, I don't. Α. And why is that? 4 Q. Well, because of the depth of groundwater to the 5 Α. 6 Ogallala, for one thing. We don't have any way to 7 determine that yet, but that should have been determined by Polaris. 8 9 Q. And did you direct Polaris to determine that? 10 Α. No, I did not. What were you directing Polaris to do? 11 I was directing them just to fix their leak where 12 it wouldn't happen again, and clean up what was there. 13 And how would they perform this clean-up? 14 Q. Well, they'd have to determine the vertical 15 extent of the contamination first, and then go from there. 16 There are several different ways you can do it. 17 18 There was never -- there's also -- you know, was supposed to report leaks, spills and releases, and I've 19 never received anything. I've always --20

- Q. Not one spill or leak report --
- 22 A. No.

- 23 Q. -- from Polaris?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Mr. Wink, if you'll look at what has been marked

6 of Exhibit 1B, what does this show? This is the map? 1 I don't think -- Maybe I gave them all away, 2 Rand. 3 This shows the area. This is just marking the 4 area out in the Denton field where these leases are 5 located, and Sections 1, 2, 3, 10, 25, 26, 35 -- or 36 of 6 7 Township 14 South, Range 37 East. And what are the nearest towns to this --8 0. Lovington is approximately nine miles to the Α. 9 west. 10 To the west. This is pretty close to the Texas 11 border? 12 It's probably three or four miles from the Texas 13 Α. border. 14 Besides the face-to-face meetings and the 15 0. 16 correspondence with Polaris, were there telephone conversations? 17 I spoke -- I've spoke with them twice, I think, 18 when I was going to meet -- excuse me, when I was going to 19 meet Davis up there, and then when I was going to meet his 20 son Gary, up at the Denton field. And then Jerry Sexton 21 went with me at that time. 22 And Jerry -- They have spoke with Jerry several 23 times, but I wasn't in on that. 24 25 So has the response of Polaris been to your Q.

continuing efforts to have these leases cleaned up been 1 inadequate, in your opinion? 2 Yes. 3 Α. Do you believe the Division needs an order from 4 5 an Examiner, ordering Polaris to clean up this mess? Yes, I do. 6 A. 7 Do you recommend that these 19 wells be plugged and the well sites be cleaned up in order to protect fresh 8 water and the environment? Yes, I do. 10 Α. Q. And that should be done at this time? 11 12 Α. That's right. Do you believe that fines should be assessed if 13 Q. 14 there is noncompliance with the order to plug the wells and clean up the sites? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. And is your current recommendation the same as you stated in earlier letters of \$1000 per day? 18 Well, that's what I'd sent it up here, to see 19 what -- I don't know, I've never levied -- or never had to 20 do this before. 21 Mr. Wink, are you aware of any plugging bond on 22 these wells that's available to the Division to use to plug 23 the wells and clean up the sites? 24

There's a \$50,000 plugging bond.

25

Α.

1 MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, if I could refer you 2 to what has been marked Exhibit Number 2, which is a copy of the well bond file, which shows that there is currently 3 a \$50,000 blanket bond issued by -- I believe it's United 4 States Fidelity and Guaranty Company, that is available to 5 6 be foreclosed upon to plug the wells of Polaris and clean up the well sites associated with those wells. 7 8 And at this time, if I could also refer the Examiner to what has been marked OCD Exhibit Number 3, 9 which is the affidavit of notice and the letter sent out 10 with the Application for hearing, with the return receipts 11 12 received by both Polaris and United States Fidelity and 13 Guaranty Company. (By Mr. Carroll) Mr. Wink, do you have anything 14 0. else to add at this time? 15 Α. No. 16 17 MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, the witness is available for cross. 18 19 EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Mr. Carroll. 20 Mr. Bruce, your witness. 21 MR. BRUCE: I think I really just have one 22 question of Mr. Wink. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BRUCE: 24 25 Did I understand you to say that at this point

you don't have any evidence of groundwater pollution? 1 2 A. No, I don't. 3 MR. BRUCE: That's all I have, Mr. Examiner. 4 **EXAMINATION** BY EXAMINER STOGNER: 5 6 The 19 subject wells are covered in your 7 Application and several other documents here. The other 8 wells, though, are they part of the clean-up that you 9 referred to? Α. The --10 You had 33 well files you had given; is that 11 Q. correct? 12 Yeah, that's correct. 13 Α. Q. And 19 --14 I just got their entire deal. There is one, the 15 Lee Carter, a rancher had called me, and they had cleaned 16 17 it up, and I went and looked at it and then told Gary that I would ask the rancher if that was suitable with him. 18 They had mixed cottonseed hulls in with the dirt in an old 19 pit area, and it's sort of mounded up. I don't have a 20 picture of it with me. 21 But the rancher called me back and said 22 23 everything looked fine, except he'd like for that pit to be leveled more. 24

And, you know, there's several -- On Argo,

- there's an abandoned or shut-in well that's got a cellar

  just -- It's Picture Number 45, and it's standing in fluid.

  And that was the day before yesterday.
  - All of the -- you know, the abandoned batteries, they all need to be -- we need to plug the wells and -- or, like I say, TA them or produce them and clean up the -- all the batteries.
    - Q. How many batteries are we talking about?
  - A. About eight. It may not be that many. Off the top of my head I can't -- they're on this -- I think there's eight -- one, two, three, four, five, six, seven -- eight of them, I think. I might have miscounted, might be seven.
- Q. Well, how are you referring to this? I believe you're looking at Part 2 of Exhibit 1B?
  - A. I'm looking at the C-115.
- Q. Okay. How can you tell -- How is a battery indicated on this form?
- 19 A. On that form?
- 20 Q. Yes.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

- 21 A. It's not.
- 22 Q. Oh --
- A. I'm just -- There's a battery for every group of wells there.
  - Q. When you say "group", for every pool or for every

lease? 1 2 No, for every lease. 3 Q. Every lease. So --4 Α. Buckley -- There's a Buckley A, and there's a 5 Buckley B. 6 So there would be a battery corresponding to each Q. one of those? 7 Yeah, there should be. 8 Α. EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Carroll, subsequent to 9 this hearing today, could I have a more detailed map, 10 perhaps, pinpointing on a quarter-quarter section where 11 these batteries are? 12 13 MR. CARROLL: Yes, we can supply that, Mr. 14 Examiner. 15 0. (By Examiner Stogner) So at this point, other than the 19 wells that need to be plugged and abandoned and 16 brought into accordance with the rules and regs and the 17 18 statutes of the State of New Mexico, there are other wells, batteries, equipment on these leases that also need to be 19 20 brought up to their appropriate standards pursuant to the 21 rules and regs --22 Α. Yes. -- and statutes? 23 Q. 24 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. I don't have any other 25 questions of Mr. Wink.

Does anybody else have any questions of this 1 2 witness? You may be excused. 3 Mr. Carroll? 4 MR. CARROLL: Call Roger Anderson to the stand. 5 ROGER C. ANDERSON, 6 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 7 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. CARROLL: 10 11 Mr. Anderson, will you please state your name and residence for the record? 12 13 Roger Anderson, Santa Fe, New Mexico. 14 Q. Mr. Anderson, who's your employer and what's your 15 position with that employer? I'm the Environmental Bureau Chief for the 16 Environmental Bureau of the Oil Conservation Division, 17 Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Division -- or 18 Department. 19 20 And what do your duties include as Environmental Bureau Chief? 21 The supervision of the Environmental Bureau 22 23 staff, the permitting, environmental permitting in the oil 24 and gas industry, the remediation of spills and leaks and remediation and investigation of groundwater contamination. 25

1	Q. So the last two duties you listed would apply to
2	this case where there's spills and leaks on the lease site
3	and there's groundwater in the area?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. Mr. Anderson, have you had a chance to testify
6	before this Division before?
7	A. Yes, I have.
8	Q. And have you had your qualifications accepted at
9	that time?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, are Mr. Anderson's
12	qualifications acceptable for purposes of this hearing?
13	EXAMINER STOGNER: Any objections?
14	MR. BRUCE: No, sir.
15	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Anderson
16	Q. (By Mr. Carroll) Mr. Anderson, have you had a
17	chance to review the photos that Mr. Wink took of the
18	Polaris facilities?
19	A. Some of them I have, yes.
20	Q. And I've called you to the stand to familiarize
21	the Examiner with what the OCD requires in determining the
22	extent of contamination and the remediation of this type of
23	contamination.
24	By looking at those photos, what in your opinion
25	needs to be done by the operator to determine the extent of

## contamination?

A. The first couple of photos, Photo Number 1 and specifically, just grabbing some, Photo Number 6, show extensive oil, hydrocarbons on the surface of the ground.

What -- The policy of the Division has been that whenever there's this much oil spilled, that the actual vertical and horizontal extent of the contamination will be determined.

- Q. How is that done?
- A. Generally it's by boreholes, drilling boreholes. You can determine the vertical extent by looking at it, generally. Vertical extent is by drilling boreholes till you come up with no contamination.

With the extent of this type of contam- -- this contamination, shown in these pictures -- and you have to keep in mind that I have not seen the site; I'm only looking at the pictures -- the contamination would be required and under Rule 116 requires to be remediated, which would --

- Q. What does that entail?
- A. -- which would either -- There area number of methods that could be used. *In situ* remediation if it's not too deep, and that's where vertical extent comes in.

  Or removal and remediation, either removal and remediation on a site somewhere else or removal and disposal.

If vertical contam- -- if vertical -- If the vertical investigation determines that groundwater is impacted, then groundwater would have to be remediated also.

O. How is that done?

A. There are a number of different methods. There also, there can be a pump-and-treat method, there can be some type of *in situ* bioremediation possible. It depends on the circumstances of the contamination and the hydrology of the aquifer and everything.

And since this is a sole source aquifer, something -- it's required by law to be remediated.

- Q. And by in situ remediation, what do you mean? Is that covering the spill with dirt?
- A. No, covering the spill with dirt is an unacceptable method of remediation, because all that does is cover it up and keep oxygen from it. Even with fertilizer in it, the oxygen will not necessarily get to the spilled area, to the contamination, and could prevent biodegradation of the contaminants. Covering is not acceptable.

If the soils -- If it doesn't get to groundwater and it's not that deep and it can be remediated within, say, a year, about a year, then just a remediation plan is required.

If it will take longer than one year, or groundwater is impacted, which would be determined by determining the vertical extent of contamination, then an abatement plan pursuant to Rule 19 is required.

- Q. Mr. Anderson, all this sounds like it could be very expensive; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, it can be.

- Q. How expensive is it just to determine the extent of contamination?
- A. Well, it's getting a rig out there and coring holes down to the extent of the contamination, and right now I don't know what the going price in Hobbs is for a core rig.
- Q. Mr. Anderson, in your opinion, do you believe that an order is necessary from the Division at this time in order to require Polaris Production Corporation to plug these wells and clean up the well sites associated with the wells?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And that's in order to protect fresh water and the environment?
- A. That's correct. Based on the pictures and the extent of contamination, the depth of groundwater, knowing that the caliche is fractured in the area, that there is a high probability or a good possibility the ground water

38 could be contaminated, and that can only be determined by 1 2 determining the extent of the contamination. Do you have anything else to add at this time, 3 Mr. Anderson? 4 The Bureau up here receives all spill reports, 5 Α. and I do not ever recall seeing a spill report submitted by 6 Polaris. It's my opinion that Polaris has violated two 7 rules that I know of, not counting the plugging rules and 8 stuff: Rule 13, conducting their operations in a way to 9 10 prevent waste, protect public health and the environment; and Rule 116, failure to report a spill and leak, and 11 12 failure to remediate that spill and leak. MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, that's all I have of 13 Mr. Anderson at this time. 14 EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Mr. Carroll. 15 Mr. Bruce, your witness. 16 MR. BRUCE: I don't think I have any questions at 17 this time, Mr. Examiner. 18 19 EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER STOGNER: 20 21

Mr. Anderson, what -- You've heard the testimony from Mr. Wink about the source of groundwater out there. Could you elaborate a little bit more what aquifer that affects?

22

23

24

25

Α. Mr. Examiner, that's the Ogallala aquifer. It is

a sole-source aguifer. At one time I believe the State 1 Engineer said it was a nonrechargeable -- an aguifer that 2 is not being recharged, and determined that water 3 4 withdrawals from that aquifer is actually mining the aquifer. But it is the Ogallala, and the State Engineer's report shows that, from water wells in the area, anywhere 6 from 43 feet to 88 feet deep. 7 Are you aware or made aware of any of the water 8 Q. wells within this area or within this lease or within that 9 map? 10 No, I'm not aware. I do not know of them. We 11 have not sampled those water wells to determine if the 12 13 aquifer is contaminated. 14 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Wink, are there any 15 windmills or water wells out in that area? MR. WINK: Yes, there is. 16 EXAMINER STOGNER: How many? 17 MR. WINK: I don't know. I know where one is --18 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. 19 20 MR. WINK: -- out by the Shelton lease. (By Examiner Stogner) Mr. Anderson, is that 21 22 information readily available from the State, the Engineer's Office? 23 Mr. Examiner, it is. It's all computerized in 24 the State Engineer's Office. 25

EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Carroll, I'm going to 1 request that information be provided within the area marked 2 in yellow on part 3 of Exhibit 1B. 3 MR. CARROLL: We will provide that information, 4 Mr. Examiner. 5 MR. BRUCE: Could I get a copy of that too --6 7 MR. CARROLL: Sure. MR. BRUCE: -- Mr. Carroll? 8 Q. (By Examiner Stogner) Could you pull out Picture 9 Number 44, Mr. Anderson? Now, this appears to be, to me, 10 water as opposed to oil. 11 Actually, it appears to be -- Yes, water with 12 Α. some kind of scum on the bottom, not necessarily oil. 13 stuff that's on the upper right-hand corner of it, I --14 that's yellow in color, I couldn't determine what that is. 15 But you're right, it does just look like water to 16 17 me, with some algae scum on the bottom. 18 ο. Can this be a potential for --This --19 Α. 20 -- any violations or hazardous to the cattle or 21 stock out in that area? 22 Α. If there -- Mr. Examiner, this looks like some -a pit of some kind, constructed in the caliche. And if it 23 does, in fact, contain -- and it looks like there's a -- at 24 the top of the pit it looks like there's a PVC pipe going 25

into it.

If this could be produ- -- If this is produced water without oil, it could be a source of -- depending on how the pit was constructed. If it was blasted, then it's creating fractures in the caliche. It could be a source of contamination due to groundwater.

I do not -- I don't know if this is in the -- an exempted area, but it would be covered under 3221, depending on the volume that's -- Order R-3221, depending on the volume that's gone into this pit. And I'd have to look at the records to see if this was a specifically exempted area or not.

- Q. On Picture Number 1, that shows to be quite a large pool of oil?
  - A. Yes, sir. It looks like the oil over the cellar.
  - Q. Would you care to venture a guess at a volume?
- A. Not knowing how deep that cellar is, I wouldn't want to hazard a guess. But it looks like it could be a significant volume that would fall under the wasted resource rule.
- Q. Okay, with that in mind, have you had a chance to review Polaris's October 9th, 1996, letter as part of -- Part 5 of Exhibit 1B?
  - A. No, sir, I have not seen any of their letters.
  - Q. Let me read you some excerpts, because I want

your opinion on some environmental issues.

Down at the bottom of that particular letter he adds, "Moreover, we do not feel that there is a public health problem which demands such action." And this action is that -- He referred to Mr. Gary Wink's request to clean up and plug and abandon.

Could you elaborate on what public health problems such as this, what you've seen, can happen or occur? Is it in an area -- even if it's in an area that is not inhabited by very many people.

- A. Using this Picture 1 as an example; is that what you mean?
  - Q. Or any of them, just your general opinion.
- A. Any of the pictures that have crude oil, standing crude oil, the public health issues associated with crude oil is the inhalation of benzene, which is naturally occurring in crude oil. I do not have any tests to see what the concentration of the benzene is in this crude oil, but it's in all crude oil. It's a natural constituent of crude oil.

Benzene is a known, declared carcinogen by EPA.

It's also a standard in our groundwater standards. And that's just one known health effect. If it's in an area where there are children, children playing in the crude oil, that can cause health problems.

Q. Well, if there's nobody out there, what problem is it going to hurt?

A. Well, if there's no one out there, the actual health effects come into play when it gets into groundwater, because the groundwater does move.

And if it moves to a point of withdrawal in the foreseeable future -- and the foreseeable future is defined as no less than 6000 years and could extend into thousands -- and a family withdraws that groundwater and it has benzene in it, there is your health effect, right there.

- Q. Does public health also take into consideration stock, livestock? Does it go that far, to that extent?
- A. The actual definition, I don't know that I've ever seen a definition of "public health". I don't know that it would include stock. But that is included in the definition of "environment". It is wildlife, stock, all of those included.

Now, wildlife and stock -- stock-watering, yes, they can be impacted by this. If there is some --

- Q. Is there any rules and regulations protecting such livestock or wildlife from this kind of contamination?
- A. We have sections in our rules that require the netting, screening or otherwise rendering nonhazardous to nonmigratory waterfowl, pits and ponds, lagoons and tanks

over 16 feet in diameter. If you consider the bermed area within a tank battery as a pit and it has oil in it, then it would need to be netted also.

- Q. Could this be an attractive nuisance to such waterfowl or --
  - A. Yes, it could.
- Q. -- wildlife?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. According to information from the US Fish and Wildlife Service, that from the air, to migratory waterfowl, the sheen in sunlight coming off of oil looks just like water to them.
- 12 I have --
  - Q. -- does the US Wildlife Service take of such --
- 14 A. They --
- 15 Q. -- loss of wildlife?
  - A. They are at the present time out of El Paso conducting flyovers in southern New Mexico to determine which pits have oil on them and are not netted right now and levying fines, when they go to inspect, if they find any migratory waterfowl in the pit.
    - Q. How much are those fines?
  - A. \$10,000 a bird.
- EXAMINER STOGNER: That \$1000 a day don't look so bad in some instances, compared to about ten ducks. That's not a question.

1	Anything else of this witness?
2	MR. CARROLL: I have nothing further, Mr.
3	Examiner.
4	EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Mr. Anderson.
5	Mr. Carroll, do you have anything further?
6	MR. CARROLL: No, Mr. Examiner.
7	At this time, however, I move the admission of
8	Exhibit 1A, which is 30 well files governing copies of
9	the 30 wells operated by Polaris;
10	Exhibit 1B, which includes the documents marked 1
11	through 6, and then also 58 photographs marked 1 through
12	58;
13	Exhibit 2, which is a copy of the bond file;
14	and Exhibit 3, which is the notice.
15	And I would move the admission of those into
16	evidence.
17	EXAMINER STOGNER: All exhibits, including the 54
18	[sic] highly glossy pictures, will be admitted into
19	evidence at this time.
20	Mr. Bruce?
21	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, could I have just five
22	minutes, just to kind of mark a few things?
23	EXAMINER STOGNER: Yes, sure. We're going to
24	call a five- to ten-minute recess at this time. Five
25	minutes for Mr. Bruce, five minutes for me.

(Thereupon, a recess was taken at 2:50 p.m.) 1 2 (The following proceedings had at 3:02 p.m.) 3 DAVIS PAYNE, 4 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 5 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BRUCE: 7 Sir, will you state your name and city of 8 Q. residence for the record? 9 I'm Davis Payne from Midland, Texas. 10 Α. And what is your relationship to Polaris 11 Production Corporation? 12 13 Α. I'm the President of Polaris. 14 Q. Have you previously testified before the 15 Division? 16 Α. No, I have not. 17 Q. Would you briefly summarize your educational and 18 employment background? 19 Α. I'm a graduate petroleum engineer of the 20 University of Texas, and I have been engaged in the oil business since the early 1950s, and I have worked in 21 engineering and production positions since that time. 22 23 Q. Primarily in the Permian Basin? Correct. 24 Α. 25 Q. And how long have you been with Polaris?

1	A. I started Polaris in 1972. Twenty-five years,
2	approximately.
3	Q. Okay. And for the 20 years before that you
4	worked with various other companies in the business?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. In both engineering and production positions?
7	A. Right.
8	Q. And are you familiar with the matters involved in
9	this case?
10	A. Yes, I am.
11	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender Mr. Payne as
12	an expert in production practices.
13	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Payne is so qualified.
14	Q. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Payne, what is Polaris' basic
15	position in this case?
16	A. Well, we would like that the wells not have to be
17	plugged. We have hopes and aspirations that other zones,
18	as well as some extensive recompletion efforts, can be
19	accomplished.
20	Q. So the wells would be useful either for
21	production or for monitoring the reservoirs in this area?
22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. Could you look at what's been marked Polaris
24	Exhibit 1 and identify that for the Examiner, please?
25	A. That's a plat showing our leases in the Denton

field of Lea County, New Mexico.

- Q. Okay. And the one -- I believe the one I gave to the Examiner has your leases shaded in yellow; is that correct? Mr. Payne, the one I gave to the Examiner has your leases shaded in yellow?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. On some of the others it's marked in green.

9 How many wells, Devonian or otherwise, are in 10 this immediate area?

- A. Approximately 65 wells.
- Q. And that includes the one the Division seeks to have plugged?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. What -- Do you have any just short comments on production in the Devonian, in the Denton field?
  - A. Yes. We have recovered about 26 percent of the original oil in place.

And according to many of the statisticians, the best way to increase reserve situations at this day and time is to work in known large accumulations of oil. And that's what we have attempted to do in trying to exploit the Denton-Devonian reservoir.

Plus, there are many zones up the hole that have never been tested. There's approximately 14 to 1400 feet

- of closure in the Devonian, and most of it, though, is
  around 800 feet. So it's a sizeable reservoir, and, you
  know, there is still a lot that needs to be done to
  completely deplete it.

  Q. In the last three years, how many Devonian well.
  - Q. In the last three years, how many Devonian wells have been drilled in this immediate area?
  - A. Well, drilled and horizontal laterals, there have been six --
- 9 Q. Okay.

6

7

8

- 10 A. -- in the past 36 months.
- 11 Q. Is there the chance for additional drilling in 12 this area?
- 13 A. There certainly is.
- 14 Q. What is Exhibit 2, Mr. Payne?
- A. Exhibit 2 is a diagrammatic sketch showing the typical casing program.
- Q. No, Exhibit 2, the -- does Exhibit 2 -- Yeah, that next one, Mr. Payne.
- 19 A. Is this Exhibit 2?
- Q. Yeah.

21

22

23

- A. Okay, this shows the location of the wells that have been either redrilled from top to bottom or have had horizontal laterals in which Polaris was the instigator and the prime mover in getting these projects undertaken.
- 25 Q. And is Exhibit -- The second page of that exhibit

is merely a brief summary of each well; is that correct?

- A. That's correct. And it's -- I'd also like to point out that, you know, we have caused about \$5.7 million to be spent in this area, and the State is receiving around \$9000 to \$10,000 a month from the revenues off the taxes off of it.
- Q. Now, in your opinion, would plugging the wellbores create economic hardship and cause waste?
- A. It certainly would, because these wells would probably never be re-entered, or they would be completely lost for all times. And, you know, the time to recoup these reserves is right now.
- Q. Is it the cost -- just looking at plugging the wells, is it that cost that's keeping you from plugging them yourself?
- A. No, due to the fact that there is a long intermediate string here, the recoveries of the production string are quite good, and the out-of-pocket expenses in plugging wells is not expensive at all.
- Q. Okay. So what you're saying is, they're much more valuable if they're left temporarily abandoned?
  - A. That's true.
  - Q. Now, what is Exhibit 3?
- A. Exhibit 3? I guess I picked up the wrong thing,
  but --

Q. Yes.

A. -- it's a diagrammatic sketch of a typical casing program of wells in the Denton field.

And you will note that, as Gary Wink pointed out, that there's 350 to 380 feet of surface pipe with cement circulated. There's approximately 4600 to 4800 feet of intermediate casing with cement circulated. And then the long strings are various cement jobs and -- but they're not important as far as the surface water is concerned.

- Q. Just looking at the wellbores, if these wells are produced is there any danger of contaminating the groundwater just because of the condition of these wellbores?
- A. There is no way that groundwater could be contaminated from these wellbores.
- Q. Now, what is -- and as a follow-up, you know, I think Mr. Wink said these wells were mostly drilled 40, 45 years ago; is that correct?
  - A. That is correct.
  - Q. Now, are the wells in good mechanical condition?
- A. They are. There's very little corrosion in this area. The oil is sweet crude, and the produced water is low in chlorides. And consequently after some 40 years you find that a lot of the tubing strings still have the milled stamps on them.

And the tank batteries that you see here are original batteries, you know. There has been very little corrosion from this production, both oil and water.

And by the way, the produced water has been reinjected since many years back. And none of this water that you see in these pictures is produced water; it's all rainwater.

- Q. Okay. Well, let's get to the next issue, then.
  What about cleaning up the well sites and tank batteries as
  the OCD's witness has discussed? Could you discuss that
  for the Examiner?
- A. We are more than happy to clean these things up as time goes by. We're limited only by funds. And, you know, we do not think that any of this surface is going to reach any kind of a contaminating source.

Our best information tells us that crude oil is a biodegradable medium and that in the presence of certain bacteria and high nitrogen that it will eat itself up. And we have seen that happen in a lot of places where the vegetation is more lush after crude oil spills of this type than it is — than it was before.

- Q. Now, you have been putting -- I think Mr. Wink said you've covered up some of the spills with sand; is that correct?
  - A. Our normal procedure has been to use gin trash

and sand and high-nitrogen fertilizer with a chemical that promotes bacterial growth.

- Q. Have you tried to respond every time the OCD has had a problem with these?
- A. We have responded every time that they have called us, and immediately. And the instance that Mr. Wink referred to, there was a rainstorm at that time, and our contractor couldn't get any materials to take care of that, and consequently these pictures were made that makes it look like, you know, we're floating in oil.
- Q. Picture 1 that the OCD submitted, Mr. Davis [sic], could you comment on that?
- A. That is a temporarily abandoned well, and there was some oil accumulation in the cellar, and rainwater flowed in and floated that up to the top, and we got the cellar pumped out in pretty short order.
- Q. And then Picture 44 that the OCD used, it shows standing water. Is that --
- A. That's rainwater with a rainbow of oil on top of it.
- Q. Did you have discussions with Jerry Sexton about the issues that came up today?
- A. We've been in continual conferences and talks with Jerry Sexton over the years about the possibilities and the potential in the Denton field, and that we were

trying to hang on until we could get a lot of these projects done.

You know, there's a problem in capital formation and capital attraction, and, you know, some of these things don't happen as fast as you'd like for them to, but we've been moving along as fast as we can, and I think we've made significant efforts here. You know, I think we've made a significant showing here in the fact that we've gotten two new Devonian wells drilled from top to bottom, and we have the possibilities of many more.

Q. Mr. Payne, I'm just going to show you a few photos. Unfortunately, Rand, we only have one set.

If you could just briefly identify. Those are marked 4A and 4B. What are those from?

- A. Okay, this is the Priest triplex, and this is the Priest tank battery.
- Q. And all of these pictures I'm showing, when were the pictures taken?
- A. These were taken on the 19th of -- or the 18th of August.

And I might add that, you know, with a triplex and hydraulics it's almost impossible to keep from having some weeping from your plunger action, and we pump those sumps out on a regular basis and keep them pumped out and --

Okay. Rather than have you identify them, I'm 1 Q. going to run through them. 2 Picture Number 5 is the Priest Number 4. 3 Picture Number -- or Exhibit Number 6 is the 4 Priest Number 2. 5 Exhibit 7A through 7G are the Shelton tank 6 battery. 7 8 And Exhibit 8 is the Shelton Number 6. 9 Could you just briefly look at them and make sure that those pictures were taken yesterday? And then I'll 10 pass them around for the other folks to see. 11 And were these pictures taken under your -- by 12 you or under your direction? 13 14 Α. They were under my direction, yes. They are, and I might add that these batteries are in a -- just about the 15 same condition that the other batteries in this field are 16 17 in. 18 MR. BRUCE: Sorry about the lack of copies, Mr. Examiner. 19 20 Q. (By Mr. Bruce) And it's your opinion, considering the age of these wells and tank batteries, that 21 they're in good condition? 22 Yes, I think that they are. 23 Α. Q. And again, you are willing to work with the 24 Division on these matters? 25

We certainly are. We have been in business for a 1 A. long time, and we don't want to get out of business anytime 2 3 soon, and we're not a fly-by-not operator, and the 4 Commission is not going to be left with these wells to plug 5 on their own. We're going to take care of these. 6 We just need a little more time to -- Basically what we're asking for time for is to continue the 7 development and try to improve our reserve situation and 8 9 our cash-flow situation and our producing capacities. Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 8 prepared by you or 10 under your direction or compiled from company business 11 records? 12 Α. Yes, they were. 13 14 Q. And in your opinion, should the Application of the Division in this case be denied? 15 16 Α. Yes, it should. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, at this time I'd move 17 the admission of Polaris Exhibits 1 through 8. 18 EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 through 8 by 19 Polaris will be admitted into evidence at this time. 20 Mr. Carroll, your witness. 21 22 MR. CARROLL: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. CARROLL: 24 25 Q. Mr. Payne, I take it from your last statement

that it's your position the Division should allow you to spend your money developing additional reserves, rather than cleaning up any contamination that has occurred because of past activities; is that a fair characterization of your statement?

A. No, sir, it is not. I don't know how you're -if you're aware of how independents attract capital, but it
is not our capital that will be put into these projects; it
will be those of others.

We're earning a carried interest and various different trades that come about, but we do end up with an interest in these wells, and it will enhance our cash-flow position and help us to get our current assets in better position.

And currently, you know, we cannot stand a \$10,000- to \$20,000-a-month fee for remediation.

- Q. Do you allocate any portion of this investor capital you attract to clean-up activities, or does it all go to finding new reserves?
  - A. It's all for finding new reserves.
- Q. You don't set aside a separate fund for the eventual cleanup or plugging of the wells?
- A. No, the only thing that would come out of that would be what our part would be from production of these wells.

Q. Mr. Payne, if you could direct your attention to what is Marked Polaris Exhibit Number 2, it's in the second page, you make the statement that "The following projects have been undertaken as a result of Polaris' activities in attempting to recover...Devonian reserves." You list a number of projects and then you state at the end that "Polaris has caused to be spent \$5.7 million..."; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

- Q. How much has Polaris spent?
- A. On those projects, nothing.
  - Q. Polaris has caused them to be spent, but Polaris has spent nothing.

Okay, do you have a similar list of activities where -- that would say the following cleanups have taken place as a result of Polaris' activities, attempting to clean up its prior activities?

A. We've been -- We've been attempting in the best way that we could to follow the directions that we've been given. We got the Pacific Royalty lease with three wells plugged last year. We're continuing to do those.

But we don't want to see the wells, that you're asking to be plugged and abandoned now, plugged, because there are too many things that are a potential in them that we really need to work with.

There's five or six different zones up the hole that have good log characteristics and should be producing wells, and we need those wellbores, and we need those wellbores for the -- to attract somebody else to do the work on them.

- Q. Well, Mr. Payne, you're aware that the Division does not want any wells plugged prematurely either. The Division does want the surface cleaned up, and it appears that although you state you've been working with the Division in cleaning up the surfaces, in fact, that has not been the case. We have had no contact from you since -- when?
- A. Not since about a week ago. One of your men called us and told us about the gas leak on the Priest Number 4, and we immediately took care of it.
- Q. And you're --

- A. So we're in constant contact with your people.
- Q. And they told you to just cover the space with dirt --
  - A. They -- yes.
- 21 Q. -- is that correct?
- A. They told -- They said everything was all right,
  you know. We didn't get any kind of feedback that they
  were dissatisfied, that that was not going to...
  - Q. Did you read those letters that were contained in

## OCD Exhibit Number 1B? 1 2 Yes, I read those. Q. Particularly the packet marked 5 --3 But we --4 Α. -- the letter from Mr. --5 Q. 6 -- we have been out every time that we were told 7 to come out, and we have attempted to clean everything up, 8 and we have --9 And what have you done --Q. 10 -- we have vacuumed ---- in your attempts to --11 We have vacuumed the standing oil up and, as I 12 Α. said, we have added sand and gin trash and chemicals to --13 Q. And what is gin trash? 14 What? 15 Α. 16 What is gin trash? 17 Α. Well, it's the residue out of the cotton gins 18 that has absorptive qualities. It's a -- It absorbs a lot. It's the bolls and cotton. 19 And then what do you do with the sand and gin 20 trash after it's absorbed all the soil? 21 22 Well, we haven't hauled it off yet, but Α. 23 eventually it will be hauled off. Q. How soon? 24 25 As soon as we can get to it.

Q. When will that be?

- A. Well, as I said, we're only limited by the cost, and some of these things can cost a tremendous amount of money.
  - Q. When will funds be available to pay that cost?
- A. If I could tell you exactly -- I know what you're driving at. You want a definite date that we're going to haul off everything.

But in production operations, particularly with old wells and marginal wells -- and we're doing our damnedest to keep marginal wells on production, and I think everybody in the State of New Mexico benefits from keeping those wells on production.

And if we weren't so tenacious -- You know, a lot of people wouldn't be. They would go ahead and plug them and move them out.

But you know, I've worked on this thing for a long time, and it's about to come to fruition. And, you know, I hate to see -- hate to walk away and see the reserves that are in the Denton field left, you know, because there -- as this has pointed out, you know, there's been a considerable amount of money spent on independent contractors and jobs provided and, you know, new reserves found. And it benefits us all. And I hope that someday it benefits me. It hasn't so far, I guarantee you.

- Q. Well, do you believe oil- --
- A. We haven't been all that successful.
- Q. -- oilfield spills and leaks like what appear in this picture, do they benefit us all?
- A. Well, less than three percent of the surface is involved. And you know, for that contamination to move into the groundwater, it would be virtually impossible. You'd have to have some hydraulic setup. You'd have to put a tremendous amount of pressure on it and, you know, there's a lot of -- in surface tension and everything to get a material like that to move into the groundwater.
- Q. Referring again to the second page of your Exhibit Number 2, you say the result of Polaris' causing all these additional development activities has been 360 barrels of oil produced per day with net revenues to the State of \$9600 a month --
- 17 A. Right.

Q. -- is that correct?

19 What are the revenues to Polaris per month?

- A. Oh, I'm ashamed to say about \$1000 a month.
- Q. Have you determined the extent of contamination at any of these sites?
- A. We think it's minimal. We have not -- we have not --
  - Q. You think, but have you determined it?

We have not drilled down below -- In many places Α. 1 there, the surface soil is not over three or four inches. 2 What? Have you dug down with a shovel --Q. 3 Yes. A. 4 -- to determine the --5 0. Yeah. A. 6 On what site was that? 7 Q. Along the Pacific Royalty. 8 Α. What about the other sites? 9 Q. Well, we --10 Α. 11 Q. Have you dug down with a shovel or dug any boreholes? 12 13 No, we have not dug any boreholes. 14 Q. So you've just dug a shovel into the one site to determine it's only three to four inches deep? 15 That's correct. 16 Α. Can you give us some dates as to when you'll 17 determine the extent of the contamination at the other 18 sites? 19 Well, it will --20 Α. It sounds like it would only take a shovel? 21 Q. Α. What? 22 It sounds like it won't cost you anything but 23 Q. going out there with a shovel? 24

Well, we have done that in a lot of places

25

Α.

1	outlying, and there is not there's not that much
2	contamination. I mean, there's not that much that is
3	not contamination, but there's not coating of the sand
4	particles.
5	Q. At what sites again?
6	A. Of the Priest.
7	Q. And what other sites?
8	A. And the State A. The State A is another case
9	like Pacific Royalty where there's a very, very shallow
10	soil system.
11	Q. Can you give us a time where you will report to
12	the OCD as to each site and the extent of contamination at
13	each site?
14	A. Yes, I can.
15	Q. When will that date be?
16	A. Well, I can do it within a month.
17	Q. So today's August 21st, so you're saying by
18	September 21st you'll provide the OCD with a report as to
19	the extent of contamination of each of these sites?
20	A. (Nods)
21	Q. Thank you.
22	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Bruce?
23	MR. CARROLL: Hold it, I'm not done.
24	EXAMINER STOGNER: Oh, I'm sorry, I
25	MR. CARROLL: I was just thanking him for

EXAMINER STOGNER: Oh, I'm sorry. 1 2 MR. CARROLL: -- pledging to provide that report. 3 EXAMINER STOGNER: Well, all right. 0. (By Mr. Carroll) Mr. Payne, you stated that you 4 would like these wells left TA'd. It is my impression that 5 these wells haven't been TA'd in compliance with OCD 6 7 requirements. Have they been TA'd or not? Α. They probably have not. But there is no --8 There's no corrosive materials here, there is no reason to 9 10 suspect that there is any kind of casing leaks here. furthermore, you know, you can't get any crossflow. 11 Are you aware that there's an OCD rule requiring Q. 12 that these wells be TA'd, brought back into production or 13 plugged? 14 Yes, I am. 15 Α. So you're admitting you're in violation of OCD 16 rules? 17 Α. Well, we thought we were going to be able to get 18 some recompletion attempts started on them right away. 19 You state, the wellbores --20 Q. We thought that the OCD would be as benefitted by 21 it as we would if we would put these wells to beneficial 22 23 use. The OCD would. But, you know, spills and 24 Q. 25 contamination do not benefit the OCD or the public. And

you could also help us out there.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. If you demand that these wells be plugged, then they will be gone forever.
- Q. Mr. Payne, you state that there's no corrosion and the wellbores are in fine shape, yet I see a lot of oil spills and leaks. How do you account for the spills and leaks?
- A. Pressure and -- you know, extreme pressures when you're working with the hydraulics where your pressures are 2000 to 3000 pounds. And you know, when you have a leak it's a bad one. And you know, you'd have to take care -- take every piece of equipment that you had and replace it every six months. You know, it would be economically impossible.
- Q. Did you report any of these spills and leaks to the OCD?
- A. I think we did.
  - Q. Which ones?
- 19 A. I can't recall.
- Q. Do you remember at what times?
- 21 A. Well, I can't recall right offhand.
- Q. Could you also provide me, within a month, copies of your spill reports?
- A. Maybe we could call first, because the ranchers
  in close contact with Mr. Wink, and he calls --

MR. WINK: I haven't talked to him in a year. 1 0. (By Mr. Carroll) Mr. Payne, could you also 2 provide us with a report of all these spill and leak 3 reports to us that you've made? Α. What? 5 Could you provide us copies of all the spill 6 7 reports you've made to the OCD over the last years? Α. (Nods) 8 I'm sorry, I didn't hear the 9 EXAMINER STOGNER: answer to that one. 10 THE WITNESS: I said yes. 11 EXAMINER STOGNER: 12 Okay, good. Q. 13 (By Mr. Carroll) Mr. Payne, you plugged three 14 wells last year? 15 Α. Yes, sir. 16 What was your agreement with the OCD regarding 17 how many wells you would plug and what cleanup efforts you would make? 18 Α. One well a quarter. 19 So that takes care of three quarters of last 20 Q. year. What about since that time? 21 We do not have any really good candidates. Α. 22 We 23 want to save those wellbores for the projects that we have 24 on tap right now. 25 And the material that is still left on the

Pacific Royalty, we had permission from the rancher to leave that pumpjack there. You know, it costs quite a bit of money to move those pumpjacks around, and he didn't have any objection, and it's not much of an inconvenience or an eyesore.

- Q. On what site was that?
- A. The Pacific Royalty Number 3.
- Q. Mr. Payne, prior to providing the OCD with a report on the extent of the contamination at these sites, would you please contact the Environmental Bureau to get their approval for your investigation plan first?
- A. All right.

- MR. CARROLL: Thank you.
- Mr. Examiner, may I approach the witness and direct the Examiner and Mr. Bruce to look at Pictures 1 and 5?
  - EXAMINER STOGNER: For that purpose, yes.
- Q. (By Mr. Carroll) Mr. Payne, if you just look at these pictures -- and we've looked at them before -- on the lower right-hand side of this wellhead, there appears to be a leak. Do you agree that in looking at the larger picture there's --
- A. Yes.
- Q. What could be causing that leak?
- 25 A. There is a gulded thread in the wellhead, and we

69 pulled the bull plug out and replaced that, and it still --1 The threads are bad, and it's a major thing to re-run the 2 threads. 3 But there's a very small amount of gas coming out 5 of there. And we don't feel like that it's doing that much 6 damage, but we will take care of it. We're going to try to 7 get that -- the threads cleaned out on that -- in that head. 8 How long has this condition existed? It's existed for quite some time, I'll have to 10 But again, it's just a small amount of gas, less 11 12 than one MCF a day. So you're saying just gas is escaping here, no 13 Q. oil? 14 Yes, sir. 15 Α. 16 Q. And what have you done to clean up this site? 17 Α. That's in our pictures, it's...

- 18 Q. That is the same wellhead?
- 19 | A. Yes, sir.

20

21

22

23

24

- MR. WINK: I have a picture of it too at that time, around that time.
- Q. (By Mr. Carroll) All right, Mr. Payne, when you on September 21st provide the OCD with a report on the extent of contamination at these sites and it is found that there is contamination, what do you intend to do then to

## remediate that contamination?

- A. Well, of course, Mr. Wink has told us that, you know, it costs \$100,000 or \$200,000, I believe was the figure that he used, was \$100,000, and I don't have that kind of money.
  - Q. What can you do with what you have at hand?
- A. If you haul all of that dirt out and are forced to put in new dirt, a lot of the environmental people are saying that this is a biodegradable situation and it is going to take care of itself and there is no -- it's not going to move, it's not going to pollute anything.

And we are in hopes that we can leave it like it is and -- for a few more years and add chemicals to it.

And places where tank batteries have been abandoned, the grass is growing over the top of them. And one of the tanks, one of the pits that we filled in under their direction --

- O. What site is that?
- A. It's on the State A. There is grass and weeds growing in the middle of that pit.
- Q. Okay, I'm not that familiar with in situ remediation. Don't you -- You're right, you treat it and you disk it sometimes, and --
- A. Correct.
  - Q. -- you will commit to working with our

environmental group to see what can be done with --

- A. Inside and around the battery proper, you know, if you start disking, you know, your tanks are going to settle, they're going to go out from under you. That would call for abandoning the installation and starting over again, removing all of that and disking it and starting over again.
- Q. What amount of money per month can Polaris commit to cleaning up these sites?
  - A. We can commit \$2000 a month.
  - Q. Commencing immediately?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. So I take it from your testimony that you believe that the benefit of allowing you to pursue additional reserves outweighs the cost of having contaminated sites at present?
- A. Tremendously, yes, because when you talk about contaminated sites, you're talking about less than two to three percent of the surface area. Out of a 320-acre lease, for instance, there's less than three acres. That's a one percent.
  - Q. Where else do you have operations, Mr. Payne?
- A. In Crockett County, Texas, and Crane County, Texas, and Nolan County, Texas, Upton County, Texas.
  - Q. Does the Railroad Commission have rules regarding

contamination at wellsites and remediation of those?

- A. Yes, sir, they do. And they basically say that the biodegradable situation is going to be good over the long haul.
- Q. Mr. Payne, why didn't you contact the OCD when you received notice of that hearing, contact our Hobbs
  Office and work out a plan with them to clean up these well sites, rather than coming up here to Santa Fe?
- A. Well, at the time I didn't think that there was any option. And I contacted Mr. Bruce and he said that you were on vacation. And I said, Well, should I go to Hobbs or not? I haven't been to Hobbs since Jerry Sexton retired.

And so you were gone approximately three or four weeks. I don't remember the time schedule. But I didn't know whether to go to Hobbs and -- you know, we're more than -- We didn't intend for it to come to Santa Fe until we were served with this notice.

- Q. I have a return receipt from my letter sent to you dated June 25th.
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And I state in that letter that, "At this point you have the following options: Work with the Hobbs District Office regarding a plan for bringing all its wells into compliance", and, "Upon your request, with the Hobbs

Office's concurrence, we will then continue the hearing date until the wells are brought into compliance, at which time we will dismiss the case..."

I guess you didn't take that option, did you?

A. Yes, I took the option, but --

- Q. You worked with the Hobbs Office to bring all these wells into compliance?
- A. We had been working with the Hobbs Office, and I didn't -- I quess I overlooked that part of it.
  - Q. And how were you working with the Hobbs Office?
- A. Well, I had made many trips up there to talk to Jerry Sexton, and Jerry Sexton was always -- did me the courtesy of calling before he was ever going to shut us down, like this deal, you know, of sending out a notice where this has been set for hearing, that we were going to be demanded to plug 18 wells.

And of course you can imagine what kind of a shock and -- you know, rigor mortis sets in because of the enormity of that situation just coming all at one time.

You know, we were not called and told that you were going to demand that these wells be plugged and abandoned.

And we had no forewarning, we had no time to get ready and say, you know -- Jerry Sexton had only retired about three weeks when we got this notice.

And, you know, I frankly didn't think that you

had intended to negotiate or give us any kind of a leeway at all. I thought you were demanding that these wells were going to be plugged.

- Q. Mr. Payne, I'll point you to the June 25th letter again, which is almost two months ago. Your first option was working with the Hobbs District Office. If you worked with the Hobbs District Office on a mutually agreeable plan, we would continue this case till that plan was completed, and then we would dismiss the case --
  - A. That's when I --

- O. -- isn't that correct?
- A. That's when I contacted Jim and asked him what I should do. And he said, well, I'll go talk to you. And he came back and said you were on vacation and, you know, that we should just leave it like it is until you got back.
- Q. So my vacation prevented you from working with the Hobbs District Office to bring these wells into compliance? Is that what you're telling the Examiner?
- A. I'm telling the Examiner that we took this situation seriously, that, you know, it's -- it looks like it's an attempt to put you out of business, in a business that we've been in for 25 years, and we were given no options other than to appear and defend ourselves, and that's what we're trying to do, and to make our position clear.

Because Jerry Sexton was made amply aware of what

2 we were trying to do in the Denton field, and he agreed.

You know, he agreed that there were -- that there was tremendous reserves in the Denton field.

And, you know, the -- There's too much potential
and too much good stuff to allow the Denton field to be
left like it was. Of course, it's not going to be now,
because there have been two wells drilled that were

9 successful. And it will go on from here.

But I plead with you to leave our wells like they are until we can finish getting the plans together for recompleting in upper zones. There's the Abo and the Clear Fork and there's a good Glorieta section in these wells. And of course there's not going to be -- It's not uniformly blanketed over the whole structure, but there's a significant San Andres. And, you know, we want to pursue all of those things.

Some of them we couldn't do back a few years ago because they required a significant investment. And, you know, today we have incorporated some other wells that were in our package that won't require that kind of an investment before these zones are tested. And, you know, they will be tested, and it will be as a result of what we've done it the Denton field.

And that is because we were allowed to stay and

produce what leases that we had and because we were not foreclosed upon.

And I would plead with you to accept our explanation, that we are attempting to do what we can do within the rules of the Commission. And we're not a fly-by-night operator, and we're not trying to get away with anything. And we don't think that we're contaminating the atmosphere and we're contaminating the groundwater.

You know, there's a lot of argument about how much you can move hydrocarbons when they're laying on top of the ground.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Carroll, have you got any other questions?

MR. CARROLL: Yes, I think I have one more.

- Q. (By Mr. Carroll) Mr. Payne, what's the amount of fine you think the OCD should levy on Polaris if Polaris does not spend the \$2000 it just pledged per month to clean up its sites and provide the report on the extent of the contamination by September 21st and then pledge to remediate the contamination found through that investigation? If Polaris doesn't follow through with the agreement you just pledged, what should the OCD fine Polaris?
  - A. Well, I would say zero because --
- 25 | Q. And why?

A. -- We're doing the best that we can do. And if you want to send us into bankruptcy by having us remediate to the tune of \$100,000 a strip of Lea County land that's 50 feet wide and 300 feet land, that's bordering on the ridiculous.

- Q. Well, let's limit it to just what you pledged.
  What if you ignore your agreement and you don't spend any amount, let alone \$2000, on remediation in the next six months? What should Polaris be fined?
- A. My answer to you is zero, because we're doing the best that we can do, I can assure you. Our profitability has not been that great on these properties, and we're looking forward to increasing our reserves and improving our cash-flow position. And if our cash-flow position is materially increased and improved, we will certainly do it and give you a time schedule.

But as of this day, this 21st day of August, I don't have that kind of guarantee, you know. Maybe you can guarantee, but an oil producer that is subject to a lot of variables, I don't think, has that capacity.

If I made a guarantee to you today --

- Q. Mr. Payne, pardon me but I thought you just pledged that you would spend at least \$2000 a month, and you just said that within the last half hour.
  - A. I said I would, but you're dwelling on the --

1	what fine is going to be imposed if we don't
2	Q. So there's no penalty for you following through
3	on that agreement.
4	And do you believe a fine should be assessed if
5	you don't provide the report next month on the extent of
6	the contamination at all these sites?
7	A. No, I don't.
8	MR. CARROLL: That's all the questions I have.
9	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Bruce, redirect?
10	MR. BRUCE: No, sir.
11	EXAMINATION
12	BY EXAMINER STOGNER:
13	Q. How long has Polaris had the Denton field?
14	A. We bought Shell's interest in 1972 or 1973.
15	Q. And what percentage of the total production
16	attributed to the Denton field has Polaris been involved
17	in, on top of Shell's production?
18	A. On top of Shell's production?
19	Q. Yeah. Let's say 100 percent of the production
20	has come out of the Denton field. What percentage has
21	Polaris been involved in?
22	A. Oh, probably 15 percent. But that's a figure off
23	the top of my head.
24	Q. Have you had projects in this area on a tab for
25	that long, since 1972, as you mentioned?

A. No, sir, the projects have developed over the period of years. We tried to put a secondary recovery project together with Mobil in about 1986 or 1984, and that's when most of the -- of my interest in the technique that we were going to require to recover and when most of the oil-in-place figures were delineated, was during that time.

And of course, I had done quite a bit of work on the Central Basin Platform and the Clear Fork, and that was one of my goals when we purchased this package from Shell in 1972.

- Q. Has that goal been established yet?
- 13 | A. What?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

21

22

23

24

- 14 Q. Has that goal been --
- 15 A. No, sir, it hasn't, but we're --
- 16 Q. When is it going to be?
- 17 A. We think we're close.
- Q. How long have you thought you were close, Mr.
  Payne? Were you close in 1972, when the booms were on?
  How about 1980? Were you close in 1980?
  - A. Yes, we were very close.
  - Q. I bet you were close in 1989, whenever we had a resurge and during the Gulf War. Were you close then?
  - A. No, sir, we weren't. We had --
  - Q. Are you close now? How close are you?

1 A. (No response) Do you know the penalty of perjury? I ask that 2 question because I don't, but I thought you might know from 3 some past experience. 4 Α. No, I've had no experience to -- I don't intend 5 to engage in perjury, but I don't --6 You went to the University of Texas for a 7 Q. 8 petroleum engineering degree? 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Uh-huh. Was it common practice to be taught to 11 store oil on the ground like this? Is that what the 12 University of Texas at the petroleum engineering --13 A. No, sir. I didn't think so. Why do you do it? 14 15 We're not attempting to store oil on top of the Α. We're trying to sell every drop that we can get to 16 ground. 17 the pipeline. 18 Q. Did you sell the oil that was on the ground? No, sir, we were not able to. 19 Α. 20 Q. How much did you lose? 21 Α. Over a period of years, I imagine we've lost 200 to 300 barrels. 22 That's quite a significant amount to drop, isn't 23 Q. it? 24

It is for a producer like we are.

25

Α.

Q. Uh-huh.

- A. We -- but we're --
- Q. You mentioned -- You used a term twice, "fly-by-night". What is a fly-by-night organization? How would I spot one? Describe to me a fly-by-night oil operator.
- A. Well, somebody that's -- gets in and stays for six weeks and leaves and...
- Q. I've known some major companies that's come in and been six months and then left, Fina for one. They weren't here very long. Are they a fly-by-night? Should I consider them a fly-by-night?
  - A. No, I wouldn't think so.
- Q. All right.
  - A. They fulfill their obligations, and we intend to fulfill our obligations.
  - Q. But they were only here six months. How -- What's some other parameters for a fly-by-night outfit?
  - A. Well, I don't have any specifics. However, the oil business over the period of years has been full of people that were not particularly responsible. And I don't consider myself an irresponsible person.
- 22 EXAMINER STOGNER: Does anybody else have 23 anything further?
- I don't think there's any need of any closing arguments today; I'm not going to seek any.

1	At this time I'm going to take this matter
2	I'll tell you what.
3	Mr. Bruce, would you get with Mr. Carroll and
4	come up with a plan of action pursuant to what Mr. Payne
5	talked about in his \$2000-a-month obligation?
6	MR. CARROLL: And the September 21st report?
7	EXAMINER STOGNER: Yes, September 21st report.
8	MR. BRUCE: I will get together with Mr. Carroll.
9	MR. CARROLL: Do you want that in a proposed
10	order?
11	EXAMINER STOGNER: Yes, I do.
12	You're a man of honor, Mr. Payne. I'm going to
13	hold you to that word. That's why I want a penalty put in
14	that provision, and hopefully it won't be applied.
15	With that, you have your assignment. It's been a
16	long
17	MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner
18	EXAMINER STOGNER: Uh-huh.
19	MR. CARROLL: so you're saying a fine should
20	be imposed if those conditions
21	EXAMINER STOGNER: I want the fine to be in that
22	order if those obligations are not met.
23	MR. CARROLL: And the amount of the fine I'll
24	work out with Mr. Bruce
25	EXAMINER STOGNER: Yes.

1	MR. CARROLL: and it will be in the proposed
2	order.
3	EXAMINER STOGNER: Yes.
4	And of course another provision in there that the
5	wells will be plugged and abandoned at that end of that
6	period if things are not done.
7	Okay?
8	MR. CARROLL: And Okay. And also provide for
9	TA?
10	EXAMINER STOGNER: Well, that stands to reason
11	because there's rules and regulations in this book that
12	have to be abided by.
13	MR. CARROLL: Yes, Mr. Examiner.
14	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. With that, hearing
15	adjourned.
16	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
17	4:02 p.m.)
18	* * *
19	
20	i do hereby certify that the foregoing is
21	n complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 1/8/2.
22	heard by me on 21 Jugust 1997.
23	Oil Conservation Division
24	
25	

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL August 27th, 1997.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 1998