STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 12,094

APPLICATION OF MANZANO OIL CORPORATION FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

December 3rd, 1998

Santa Fe, New Mexico

98 DEC 16 NY 8: 12

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, December 3rd, 1998, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources

Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

I N D E X

December 3rd, 1998 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 12,094

	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	3
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
<pre>DEBBI JEFFERS (Landman) Direct Examination by Mr. Carr Examination by Examiner Stogner</pre>	5 12
CHARLES MICHAEL BROWN (Geologist) Direct Examination by Mr. Carr Examination by Examiner Stogner	14 18
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	24

× × ×

EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2	7 8	11 11
Exhibit 3	10	11
Exhibit 4 Exhibit 5 Exhibit 6	10 10 16	11 11 18
Exhibit 7	17	18

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

RAND L. CARROLL Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division 2040 South Pacheco Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

CAMPBELL, CARR, BERGE and SHERIDAN, P.A. Suite 1 - 110 N. Guadalupe P.O. Box 2208
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208
By: WILLIAM F. CARR

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 8:46 a.m.: EXAMINER STOGNER: At this time we'll call Case 3 4 Number 12,094. MR. CARROLL: Application of Manzano Oil 5 Corporation for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. 6 7 EXAMINER STOGNER: Call for appearances. MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is 8 William F. Carr with the Santa Fe law firm Campbell, Carr, 9 Berge and Sheridan. We represent Manzano Oil Corporation 10 in this case, and I have two witnesses. 11 EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other appearances? 12 At this time will the witnesses please stand to 13 be sworn? 14 (Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.) 15 16 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Carr, I understand that 17 there was an advertisement problem and it didn't get into the paper for one reason or another? 18 MR. CARR: That's correct. And as the testimony 19 will show, we have a rig ready to drill the well, I think, 20 on the 14th of December. The interests that are being 21 pooled are small interests. It's in an area that has been 22 divided into a number of town lots. 23 There is no one out there, we think, that will be 24 objecting to the well or writing it down, any of those 25

1 things, and so we elected to go forward with the Application today, understanding that it will have to be 2 continued and readvertised at the end of the hearing to the 3 December 7th Examiner Hearing. 4 EXAMINER STOGNER: That is correct. So this 5 case, we'll go ahead and hear it today, but it will be 6 7 continued and readvertised --8 MR. CARR: Yes, sir. 9 EXAMINER STOGNER: -- and I don't suspect it will 10 be necessary to have any other witnesses at that time. 11 we will call it on January 7th and... MR. CARR: Yes, sir, thank you. 12 13 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, Mr. Carr? MR. CARR: At this time we call Debbie Jeffers. 14 15 DEBBI JEFFERS, 16 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 17 her oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. CARR: 19 20 Q. Would you state your name for the record, please? Debbi Jeffers. 21 Α. 22 Q. Where do you reside? 23 Α. Roswell, New Mexico. 24 By whom are you employed? Q. 25 Manzano Oil. Α.

And what is your position with Manzano Oil? 1 Q. Α. Landman. 2 Ms. Jeffers, have you previously testified before 3 0. this Division? 4 Α. I have. 5 6 Q. At the time of that testimony, were your 7 credentials as a petroleum accepted and made a matter of 8 record? 9 Α. Yes. Are you familiar with the Application filed in 10 0. this case? 11 12 Α. Yes. And are you familiar with the subject area? 13 Q. Α. Yes. 14 MR. CARR: Are the witness's qualifications 15 16 acceptable? EXAMINER STOGNER: 17 They are. (By Mr. Carr) Would you briefly summarize for 18 Q. 19 Mr. Stogner what it is that Manzano Oil Corporation seeks in this case? 20 Compulsory pooling from the surface to the base 21 Α. 22 of the Strawn formation underlying Lot 15, Section 3, 23 Township 16 South, 36 East, for all formations developed on 40-acre spacing. This is to be dedicated to the Quarry 24 Number 1 well, which will be drilled at a standard location 25

of 3526 feet from the south line and 2095 feet from the east line.

- Q. Have you prepared exhibits for presentation here today?
 - A. Yes, I have.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

24

- Q. Would you refer to what has been marked for identification as Manzano Oil Corporation Exhibit Number 1?
- A. It is a land plat that shows the proration unit being Lot 15 of Section 3, 16 South, 36 East, and the red dot will -- is the mark where the Quarry Number 1 well will be drilled.
- Q. And on this first page, the spacing unit is shaded in yellow?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And it is located within the City of Lovington?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. What is the second page of this exhibit?
- A. That just shows Lot 15, and it also shows, then,
 that this is a subdivision called the Morningside
 subdivision -- part of it is, anyway -- and its lots and
 blocks within the City of Lovington.
 - Q. What is the status of the acreage in Lot 15?
- A. It is fee lease, fee lands.
 - Q. And the primary objective in the well is the Strawn formation?

A. Yes.

- Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 2. Will you identify and review that?
- A. Yes, this is the breakdown of the mineral ownership, and the summary sheet shows that we have 97.433 percent leased, and it just goes on to show the breakdown of the leases, those that we feel are still pending and then those that are still just unleased.

And some of the people are unlocatable at this time.

- Q. When we go to the second page of Exhibit 2, a number of these properties, it shows, have been leased to Middle Bay Oil Company. What is the relationship between Manzano and Middle Bay?
- A. Manzano has an assignment from Middle Bay Oil Company for these leases.
- Q. And have you also acquired those leases that are shown as being held by James R. Leeton?
 - A. Yes, we have.
- Q. Would you just summarize for Mr. Stogner the efforts that you have made to locate the individuals that are subject to the compulsory pooling?
- A. Okay, we have had a drilling title opinion done, and then also the county records, probate records, any kind of tax and lien records, phone directories, friends and

relatives. We've used all of these.

- Q. You have, using this process, been able to locate a number of the interest owners in the property?
 - A. Yes, we have.

- Q. When we look at the second page of Exhibit Number 1 -- that's the plat of Lot 15 -- we have a number of town lots. We also have several streets. Are those streets also included in the leases held by the individuals who purchased a lot?
 - A. Yes, they are. Streets and alleys are included.
- Q. Generally summarize the efforts you've made to obtain voluntary joinder.
- A. Our efforts started back in February of 1998, and we have sent out lease offers, we have spoken with people on the phone, and then we have also sent out letters asking them to lease or to participate in our well. And these have been followed up with telephone calls.
- Q. And when you have on your Exhibit Number 2 a number of individuals that -- and you indicate they're unleased (pending) --
 - A. Yes.
- Q. -- those are people with whom you still are talking and are hopeful that you'll acquire --
 - A. Yes.
- Q. -- their voluntary joinder?

- 1 A. They have leases in their hands and have been told that -- we have been told that we will get those 2 3 leases back. Let's go to Exhibit Number 3. Would you identify 4 Q. that, please? 5 Yes, this is our AFE for the Quarry Number 1 Α. 6 7 well, and it shows that there's a dryhole cost of \$499,900, with a completed cost of \$761,500. 9
 - 0. Are these costs in line with what other operators charge for similar wells in the area?
 - Α. Yes, they are.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Is Exhibit Number 4 copies of Manzano 0. correspondence which reflect your efforts to obtain the voluntary joinder of those interest owners who may be subject to pooling?
 - Yes, they are. Α.
- Is Exhibit 5 an affidavit confirming that notice Q. of today's hearing has been provided in accordance with Oil Conservation Division rules and regulations?
 - Α. Yes.
- Have you made an estimate of the overhead and ο. administrative costs to be incurred while drilling the well and also while producing it, if it is successful?
- Α. Yes, drilling rate costs of \$5500 and then an administrative fee of \$550 a month.

1	Q. And are those figures from the Ernst & Young
2	survey?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Do you recommend that these figures be
5	incorporated into the order that results from today's
6	hearing?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Does Manzano seek to be designated operator of
9	the subject well?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And how soon is it that you propose to actually
12	spud the well?
13	A. December 14th, 1998.
14	Q. Will Manzano call a geological witness to review
15	the risk associated with the development of this acreage?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 5 prepared by you?
18	A. Yes.
19	MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Stogner, we would
20	move the admission into evidence of Manzano Oil Corporation
21	Exhibits 1 through 5.
22	EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 through 5 will be
23	admitted into evidence.
24	MR. CARR: And that concludes my direct
25	examination of Ms. Jeffers.

EXAMINATION 1 2 BY EXAMINER STOGNER: Has Manzano participated -- or, I'm sorry, let me 3 rephrase that -- drilled other wells, oh, within what, 4 about a two-mile radius of Lovington in the past year? 5 Α. Yes, we have. 6 7 Q. How many? We have drilled, I believe, five. Α. 8 Five, within this area out there? Of similar 9 Q. depth? 10 11 Α. Yes. And similar cost? 12 Q. 13 Α. Yes. If I look on the second page of your Exhibit 14 Q. 15 Number 1 -- that's that blown-up plat --16 Α. Yes. -- where would the location of this well be? 17 It will be -- I believe it's in the area that 18 Α. says Russell Trammell. 19 Okay, so it's going to be up there in that 20 Q. large -- I assume that's a vacant lot? 21 22 Α. Yes. So it's not going to be in the middle of 23 Q. Okay. the quarter-quarter section, is it? 24 I'm not positive of that. 25 Α.

Okay, when did you say the first written 1 Q. correspondence to these parties occurred? 2 Back in February of 1998. 3 Α. Okay. Was that not provided or --Q. Those letters were not provided. Those -- The 5 Α. initial letters were initiated by another landman, and his 6 7 efforts started back then. And also, a lot of our effort, of course, started with our assignment from Middle Bay, 8 where they had a lot of this acreage leased already, and we 9 bought an assignment from them. 10 Are those first letters -- are they still 11 available to you and Manzano? 12 I'm sure some of them are, yes. 13 Α. MR. CARR: Mr. Stogner, would you like us to 14 15 provide you with copies that at least reflect that the written negotiations did commence in February of this year? 16 17 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Carr, you read my mind. Yes, if you would, please. 18 MR. CARR: We'll do that. 19 EXAMINER STOGNER: This being a fee acreage and 20 November 10th, here it is less than 30 days, and I think it 21 would make the record more complete in this instance, and I 22 23 would certainly appreciate it. So we'll leave the record open for the --24

MR. CARR: If we have the four weeks before the

1 case will be taken under advisement, we can certainly bring those to you. 2 EXAMINER STOGNER: Somewhere between now and 3 4 then, that will work. (By Examiner Stogner) The overhead charges 5 Q. you're requesting was \$5500 and \$550? 6 7 Yes, sir. Α. And was that what has been assigned on the 8 Q. previous orders or --9 Α. Yes. 10 11 Q. -- what have been agreed upon in the wells in the 12 other --Α. Yes. 13 14 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, I don't have any other 15 questions of Ms. Jeffers. She may be excused. MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Stogner, we'd call 16 Mike Brown. 17 18 CHARLES MICHAEL BROWN, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 19 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. CARR: 22 23 Q. Will you state your name for the record? Charles Michael Brown. 24 Α. Where do you reside? 25 Q.

Roswell, New Mexico. 1 A. By whom are you employed? 2 Q. 3 Α. Manzano Oil Corporation. And what is your position with Manzano Oil 4 Q. Corporation? 5 A. Geologist. 6 7 Mr. Brown, have you previously testified before this Division? 8 I have. 9 Α. At the time of that testimony, were your 10 Q. 11 credentials as an expert in petroleum geology accepted and made a matter of record? 12 13 Α. They were. 14 0. Are you familiar with the Application filed in this case? 15 Α. I am. 16 Have you made a geological study of the subject 17 0. area? 18 19 Α. Yes, I have. 20 Q. Is it -- The proposal is, in fact, generated based on your geological study of the area; is that not 21 correct? 22 23 Α. Yes, it is. MR. CARR: Are the witness's qualifications 24 25 acceptable?

EXAMINER STOGNER: They are.

- Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Brown, has Manzano drilled other Strawn wells in the immediate area?
- A. Yes, we've drilled seven wells that have penetrated the Strawn to the east and southeast of the quarry location. These wells are located in Sections 2, 11 and 12.

Of the seven wells, we have had three Strawn producers and four Strawn dry holes. So our success rate is slightly less than 50 percent. These wells are very high-risk but also very high-return wells.

- Q. Let's go to what's been marked for identification as Manzano Exhibit Number 6, the structure map. Would you identify this and then review the information on the exhibit for Mr. Stogner?
- A. Yes, this is a map generated from our 3-D seismic survey. It's a Tubb-Strawn isochron map which approximates the structure on top of the Strawn.

I've shown the well location with the red arrow that's on the left side of the exhibit. We're going to be drilling on the edge of a shelf. This shelf drops rapidly as you move to the north. In fact, this whole area will be low to the previous wells that we've drilled to the south and the east, so we're going to have a greater than usual chance of encountering water-bearing Strawn mounds.

Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 7, your seismic line.

A. This is a wiggle-trace section that runs east to west through the Quarry Number 1. I've noted the Strawn peak on the left side of your exhibit, about two-thirds of the way down. In this area where you have a single Strawn peak, we have shown through other testimony and other wells that these -- this indicates that the Strawn will be thin and tight.

When you move into an area where the peak begins to bifurcate into a peak-trough-peak, we have shown that that's a -- you'll have a greater than usual chance of encountering Strawn mounds. In this area I've noted our prospective seismic character in yellow. The Quarry Number 1 location is noted with a blue line. And in this location we see a distinct trough-peak-trough, and a fairly large area where that character is a little less defined.

We believe we have a good chance of finding a mound that will have sufficient porosity and perm, however our past drillings indicate that we can have thickened sections, but the porosity and perm might be sufficient to produce. So it's, again, our chance of success, around 50 percent.

Q. Are you prepared to make a recommendation to Mr. Stogner concerning the risk that should be assessed against the nonparticipating interest owners?

1 Α. Yes, I am. We're recommending a 200-percent risk 2 penalty. And just summarize the basis for that 3 0. recommendation. The Strawn is, as I've said before, it's a high-5 Α. risk, high-return venture. Our past experience indicates 6 7 our probability of drilling a dry hole will be somewhere in the vicinity of 50 to 70 percent. 9 0. In your opinion, will the approval of this Application and the drilling of the proposed well be in the 10 best interest of conservation, the prevention of waste and 11 the protection of correlative rights? 12 Yes, I do. 13 Α. 14 Were Exhibits 6 and 7 prepared by you? Q. 15 They were. Α. MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Stogner, I would 16 17 move the admission into evidence of Manzano Oil Corporation Exhibits 6 and 7. 18 EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 6 and 7 will be 19 20 admitted into evidence at this time. 21 MR. CARR: And that concludes my examination of 22 Mr. Brown. 23 **EXAMINATION** 24 BY EXAMINER STOGNER: 25 Q. What is the closest Strawn production for this

1 well? It's in Section 11, just due south. 2 Just due south. 3 0. We also have production in Section 12. It's in 4 the Chipshot Number 1. It's not currently producing. 5 6 behind-pipe pay that was tested productive but is not being 7 produced currently. Q. And you said Section -- What was the other 9 section? 10 Α. Twelve. Number -- Okay, Section 12. So that would be 11 Q. further over there to the east? 12 13 Right. And in Section 4, Chesapeake has a well Α. that's right in the middle of town. 14 15 Now, is that a Strawn-producing well? Q. 16 Α. That is. Okay, do you know what pool that's designated to? 17 Q. I do not. 18 Α. Do you know what acreage is dedicated to it? 19 Q. 20 It's a laydown 80-acre, I believe. Α. 2.1 Q. Okay, now, where in Section 4 is it? 22 Α. West half. 23 Q. The west half of 4. That Section 4 being a mile 24 and a half --25 Α. Right.

-- long. Is it going to be up toward the -- Help 1 0. me spot it here, if you know where it's at. 2 Let's see if I can --3 Α. Was it the one that was directionally drilled out 4 of Section 5? 5 Actually, looking on the map, it looks like it 6 Α. 7 may have ended up in Section 5. Okay. So as far as you know, this would be 8 Q. considered a wildcat Strawn well --9 10 Α. Right. -- and not within a mile? 11 0. Right, we had originally proposed it to be in the 12 Α. Southeast Lovington-Penn but were informed by the 13 Commission that we were greater than one mile --14 15 Q. Okay. 16 Α. -- from that -- any boundary, so that it would have to be designated as a wildcat. 17 Is there any upper potential besides the Strawn? 18 0. I don't really believe there is. There's some 19 Wolfcamp wells in the area, but we should be too far 20 shelfward to encounter porosity, but... 21 22 Q. Now, the proposed depth for this well is what depth? 23 We're proposing it for 11,800, or approximately 24 Α.

100 feet below the base of the Strawn.

- Q. That would take you into the top of the Atoka?
- A. Just the top of the Atoka so that we can then see the base of the Strawn on wireline logs.
- Q. So there's no plan to test down to the deeper gas-bearing intervals or --
 - A. No, we'll --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

15

21

- Q. -- traditional gas-bearing intervals?
- A. Right, we'll be a few hundred feet above any potential Atoka.
- Q. Right, even with the hundred foot tying in, into the Atoka?
 - A. It's just shale there at the upper part.
- Q. Do you know where that rig is going to be spotted on this map on Exhibit 1?
 - A. It's in Lot 15.
- Q. Okay. But -- I see the footage, but this
 being -- I'm trying to make a spot on your blown-up lot
 plat.
- A. I'm not sure I have it. Let's see, which one?
 What exhibit is that?
 - Q. Exhibit Number 1, second page. I'm trying to foresee impact. Have you been out there?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. Yes, it's -- there's an old -- They call it a

1 quarry, that's where the names comes from. It's really more of a dump. And we're going to be drilling on the 2 northwest side of that quarry. It's just in an open field. 3 Okay. Do you remember some of the nearest 4 structures or houses? 5 Α. There are some houses to the east --6 7 Q. Okay. 8 Α. -- just some real small lots there. You have 9 road and open lot to the west and open lot to the south. 10 Q. I believe that's the old truck bypass, or the 11 truck bypass through Lovington --That is correct. Α. 12 13 Q. -- the road that you're referring to. 14 Α. I think we're in that open area that's labeled Russell Trammell. We'll be somewhere in that. 15 And that is open, as far as your recollection? 16 Q. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. That is an open area, not --19 Α. Yes, I've been on the ground and walked it. 20 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, I don't have any other 21 questions. 22 Yeah, "Quarry" does sound a lot better than --23 THE WITNESS: -- "Dump". 24 EXAMINER STOGNER: -- "Trash Heap" or "Trash Dump Number 3" or whatever. 25

Unfortunately, due to the advertisement mix-up, this case will be continued and readvertised for January 7th. We can't issue an order until that time. Mr. Carr, if you can provide a supplement to Exhibit Number 4 --MR. CARR: We will. EXAMINER STOGNER: -- with a written notification for the early part, I believe that's -- at that time, then I believe we can take it under advisement. Okay, is there anything else at this time in 12,094? Okay, we'll move on. (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 9:10 a.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL December 6th, 1998.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002