STATE OF NEW MEXICO	
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT	
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION	
IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: APPLICATION OF PRAIRIE SUN, INC., FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO ORIGINAL	
REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
EXAMINER HEARING	
EXAMINER HEARING 3 P BEFORE: MARK ASHLEY, Hearing Examiner 5 P	
December 2nd, 1999	
Santa Fe, New Mexico	
This wetter come on four becuive before the New	
This matter came on for hearing before the New	
Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MARK ASHLEY, Hearing	
Examiner, on Thursday, December 2nd, 1999, at the New	
Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Porter Hall, 2040 South Pacheco, Santa Fe, New Mexico,	
Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the	
State of New Mexico.	

* * *

	2
INDEX	
December 2nd, 1999 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 12,236	
	PAGE
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	6
* * *	
EXHIBITS	
Applicant's Identified A	dmitted
Exhibit A 3	4
* * *	
APPEARANCES	
FOR THE DIVISION:	
RAND L. CARROLL Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division	
2040 South Pacheco	
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505	
FOR EXXON CORPORATION:	
JAMES G. BRUCE, Attorney at Law 3304 Camino Lisa	
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 P.O. Box 1056	
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504	
* * *	

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 1:20 p.m.: EXAMINER ASHLEY: At this time the Division calls 3 Case 12,236, Application of Prairie Sun, Inc., for 4 5 compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. This case was continued from October 21st, 1999, hearing. 6 7 Call for appearances. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe, 8 representing Exxon Corporation. I just have a very short 9 10 statement. EXAMINER ASHLEY: Any additional appearances? 11 Mr. Bruce? 12 13 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, as you know, this 14 matter came up for a hearing. It was continued a couple of times. 15 Today I would like to submit as Exhibit A an 16 17 affidavit prepared by the landman at Exxon who testified in 18 this matter. Exxon's position in this matter is that Prairie 19 Sun is now offering a worse deal than they were when they 20 21 initially began bargaining on this. Once again, you may 22 have heard this term before today, but bad faith negotiations. 23 24 Secondly, Mr. Examiner, I'm not asking for a continuance or anything else today. It's ready to be taken 25

1	under advisement. If it is, we had thought the parties	
2	were going to come to terms. They have not as yet. If you	
3	will recall, this is a re-entry to the Morrow. If the	
4	pooling is granted, Exxon requests that a penalty less than	
5	200 percent be assessed against the nonconsenting working	
6	interest owners, and I base that on two orders:	
7	R-11,047, which was a re-entry and a deepening of	
8	a certain well described in that order, in which 150	
9	percent was granted as the production penalty because they	
10	were re-entering an existing well.	
11	And secondly, there is an order and I cannot	
12	recall the number; I will try to find it for you. The	
13	Applicant was Primero Operating Company, similar to this	
14	case. They were re-entering a well, and I believe the	
15	Hearing Examiner in that case granted a 100-percent penalty	
16	on production, because they did not have to drill or deepen	
17	but simply complete the well.	
18	And with that, I'd move the admission of Exxon's	
19	Exhibit A.	
20	EXAMINER ASHLEY: Mr. Bruce Exhibit A will be	
21	admitted at this time.	
22	Mr. Bruce, can you find out what order that	
23	Primero decision is?	
24	MR. BRUCE: I will find out what order number	
25	that is, I just forgot to do it this morning.	

EXAMINER ASHLEY: And has Prairie Sun been 1 2 provided a copy of this exhibit? 3 MR. BRUCE: I just got it last night, and I slipped it in the mail to them today --4 EXAMINER ASHLEY: Okay. 5 MR. BRUCE: -- to Mr. Carroll on behalf of 6 7 Prairie Sun. EXAMINER ASHLEY: Okay, thank you. 8 There being nothing further in this case, Case 9 10 12,236 will be taken under advisement. MR. CARROLL: Mr. Bruce? 11 MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir. 12 MR. CARROLL: Where is Prairie Sun located? 13 Do you remember? Roswell or --14 MR. BRUCE: Well, it's -- Yeah, Roswell and/or 15 16 Artesia. I think the principals are in Roswell, although 17 they might have operations out in Artesia. MR. CARROLL: Okay, thanks. 18 19 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 20 1:28 p.m.) 21 22 1 do haroby controp the rate of CON INTERCORD OF MERCY 23 the Exercises Learling of . aeard by me on 24 1.000 25 Off Conservation DAistor

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL December 8th, 1999.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002

AFFIDAVIT

My name is Bob Mathew and I am a Senior Land Representative for Exxon Corporation in Houston, Texas, with responsibility for Land issues in the State of New Mexico. I have previously testified in person and submitted chronological affidavits in connection with Prairie Sun's Compulsory Pooling Application (Case No. 12236) before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. The following statements are being submitted in connection with Exxon's request to the NMOCD that 1) the application of Prairie Sun be dismissed or 2) that the penalty be reduced to reasonable amount due to the existence of a well bore.

1) Prairie Sun continues to demonstrate bad faith in its negotiations since September 2, 1999. Prairie Sun had offered Exxon a bonus of \$75.00 per acre for a 78% NRI trade at the commencement of negotiations and now offers a bonus of \$zero for a 78% NRI trade at trade for the land being force pooled, <u>a worse offer than at the start.</u> Exxon on the other hand has reduced its bonus expected from \$250 per acre to \$75 per acre and then to zero dollars for a 75% NRI trade.

2) Exxon has attempted to promote the acreage to other parties in the industry who have had an interest in taking Exxon's interest. Mr. Gene Lee has refused to return the phone calls from Mr. Tom Beall of Fuel Products Inc. who had attempted to secure more information from Mr. Lee regarding the workover procedures for the well. Mr. Beall has offered to testify to this effect in the event the Division should so desire. My experience with Mr. Gene Lee has been similar in that Mr. Lee has not returned many of my telephone calls or is not available to answer his phone. My overall impression during my contact with Prairie Sun, is that they are not a professional oil & gas company and it would not be our company's desire to be forced into a partnership with them.

Signed :

Bob Mathew

Subscribed and sworn before me this 157 day of December, 1999.



Votary Public

NEW MEXICO **UIL CONSERVATION DIVISION** EX XON_EXHIBIT_ CASE NO. _/22.36