

Bank of America Private Bank Oll & Gas Management PO Box 830308 Dallas, TX 75283-0308

Fax 214.209.2924

November 2, 1999

Oil Conservation Division State of New Mexico 2040 S. Pacheco Santa Fe, NM 87505

RE: EGL Resources, Inc. Case #12278

Gentlemen,

Please be advised that this office has approved the replacement of the operator of the Arco State Lease in Lea County. Pride Petroleum has not evidenced acceptable practices with Revenue distributions from the lease nor has the joint interest account been acceptably managed. Three trusts of which Bank of America, N.A. is Trustee, owns a substantial portion of the working interest in this lease. Thank you for your consideration in these regards.

Very truly yours,

-H. Greg Holcomb Senior Vice President and Mineral Property Manager

GH/

	A REPORT OF A R	
<u>,</u>	DEPORE EXAMINER STORMAN	
1944 A. M.	ON CONCEPTATION DAY 193	
	0%. CC390299749 5	(
Ì	EAL STATESTAND 5	
P. D. D. Con		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
	CASE NO.	

. . . . ***

November 2, 1999

State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 2040 South Pachecho Street Santa Fe, NM 87505

Re: <u>Case No. 12278</u> ARCO State #1 Well (1339) Lea County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

The Grayrock Corporation is a working interest owner in the captioned well and strongly supports the removal of Pride Energy Company as operator. Our vote for removal is based on Pride Energy's failure or refusal to operate the well in a prudent manner under the terms of that certain joint operating agreement dated December 8, 1980 that covers the subject property.

Pride acquired the interests of the previous operator and assumed operations of the ARCO State #1 well on June 1, 1998. Since that time, Pride has failed to timely (a) remit revenue payments to the working interest owners entitled to receive same and (b) bill the working interest owners in compliance with the terms of the operating agreement.

In August 1999, Pride began distributing oil revenues for the period of January 1999 through August 1999 and gas revenues for the period of December 1998 through July 1999, along with saltwater disposal credits for the period of June 1998 through July 1999. Billings have now been received through August 1999. Please note that these actions were initiated about the same time that the working interest owners unanimously voted to remove Pride as operator.

In light of the foregoing, The Grayrock Corporation respectfully requests that you uphold the removal of Pride Energy Company as operator and the approval of E.G.L. Resources, Inc. as successor operator as reflected on Form C-1 approved by the Oil Conservation Division on October 5, 1999 (copy attached).

Should you have questions, feel free to contact me at 972-664-0664, ext. 236.

Very truly yours,

THE GRAYROCK CORPORATION

John S. Nichols President

Enclosure



. -- - - -

11910 Greenville Avenue Suite 302 Dallas, Texas 75243 TEL (972) 664-0664 FAX: (972) 664-0333

11/02/99	16	:18	FAX 972	664 0	333		GRAY	YROCK	CORP					KE 003
District (PO Bus (966, B	labia. N	im es	741-1984	الكنية)	Stal	te or	New	Mer	ico	·++++				Form C
PO Bus 1968. Habbe. NM 97241-1980 Dutrics (1						M andre singe			Re		struary 10.			
TO Drower UD. Artumin. NM \$9211-0729 Distant III				C	DL CON	VAT Box	ON	Insurance on Submit to Appropriate District O						
1000 Kis Brains District IV					Santa	NM I		-	.	5 C				
PO Ben 3083, 6.	48th Fe	. MM RI	OUEST	FOR A	LLOWA	BT E	4 NI	ד א ד י	THOP	T-7 A -T-1		 		ended rep
E.G.L. R					HA LOS Addre				INOK	12.61		· 06 ju	D Nem	
P.O. Box	10686	5	•								173413			
Midland,			102				' Ramon for Filling Code CH 9-1-1999							
	27321					• • ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	· · ·	nol Name	•					Post Cude
	-	سلسوت		vacuum,	South (Wo	p) ' Prei			<u>_</u>	62360				
	رلو			Acco Sta	ite								1	Veli Number
II. 10 g	Surra		Ocation	Range	Louida		. (row (Nurus		1 2 4 M 1 M 1 M 1	1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ani ilan	
P	16		18 5	35 E	₽		330'	~~	Sout	-	900*	East		Comony Lett
11 3 UL or 64 88-4	Botto	m I	Iole Loca											
UL or the se-		•	Township	Rangu	Lot Ida	Fai	t from	ıbe	Nonk/Se	with Las	Fost from the	East	et ilas	. Couldry
" Lee Code	-		4 Mathead Code		Coaserile, p		" C-1	19 Perm	i Nomer	·	C-129 Ell'undire	Data	- 	119 Supirains /
III. Oil au			ransporte	<u> </u>				.						
Trateport		4.5 1					T	11 PO		1 O/6	·····	- 700 U		
				and Addre							 	• • • • •	Deneri più	••
021778 7022770	<u> </u>	S	NID					450210						
9171		Œ	M Gas Car					2450230 G						
1			_											
								د بر منابع الله به . مراجع معدد ده سوم						
M. B. C. S. G. L. S. L. S.														
		<u></u>							lan sa sasi Pi <u>n di dist</u>					_
IV. Produ	rop	YY RI						POD UT	STR Lora					
											•			
	Comp	leu	on Data	W Ready D							* TETD		· · · · ·	* Performinens
2450250								ידי יי	4		- 1810			,
	¹⁰ Uole	51 <u></u>			Caning & Tub	متح عمة		1		Depth No	•			ta Cimet
				<u></u>		·		╉───						
								+		·				
								-						· · · · ·
VI. Well		Da								······				
¹ Date N	09	ł	P Gas Dal	way Dule		rei Da	La	}	" Test Lo	way the				** Cap. Prant
- Chek	• Sint					Weller			* Gai		- *	OF		" Tus blach
· I haven'y years	-		st of the Cil Ca gives shows a l		Division Auros e		ff				NSERVAT	70127	11170	ION
knowindys and i Signamus	belief.		/					•						
mining						Approves by: Drig. Signed by								
The Western Borry						Geologiet								
Des 10.5.99 Prove 915.682.1305						April Dec								
TI the b - sharps of sportant di in the OCRUD matches of the provide operators														
BRUDE Free								- Fri-	al Home-	<u></u>				
l												•		-

•

LoIn Energy Corporation

Phone 409-968-3495 Fax 409-968-6021

100 00 1000 10 00

November 2, 1999

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Dept. Oil Conservation Division 2040 South Pacheco Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

Re: Arco State # 1 (the "Well") Arco State # 2 Sec. 16- 18S-35E Lea County, NM Case No. 12278

Gentlemen:

On August 16, 1999, LoIn Energy Corporation ("LoIn") executed a Ballot for Removal of Operator and Election of New Operator (the "Ballot"). The Ballot was sent to LoIn by E.G.L. Resources, Inc. ("EGL") which called for the removal of the Operator, Pride Energy Company ("Pride") and for EGL to be the successor operator.

The Ballot was sent subject to that certain Operating Agreement dated February 20, 1980, by and between Cal- Mon Oil Company, as Operator and Alantic Richfield, as non-operator, covering the SE/4, S/2SW4,NE/4SW4, Section 16, T-18-S, R-35-E, Lea County, New Mexico. LoIn agrees that this is the Operating Agreement that governs the Well.

Pride has violated the payment and accounting procedures pursuant to the Operating Agreement by failing to pay revenues and invoices for the joint account. Pride has also not furnished LoIn with Joint Interest Billings in accordance with the Operating Agreement. LoIn also believes that the Well can be operated more economically by EGL as evidenced by their willingness to reduce the overhead charge.

LoIn and the other working interest owners have voted to remove Pride as Operator, subject to Article V. Section B., Resignation or Removal of Operator and Selection of Successor, pursuant to the Operating Agreement.

Pride has been removed as Operator and has not contested or responded to LoIn regarding the Ballot and has not offered any explanation for not disbursing revenues or joint interest billings timely.

LoIn hereby respectfully requests that the Oil Conservation Division uphold the working interests owners decision to remove Pride as Operator and recognize EGL as successor operator.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely

Randy White

I E L S O N & Associates, Inc.

P.O. Box 2850 Cody, Wyoming 82414

(307) 587-2445 FAX (307) 527-4943

November 1, 1999

The Oil Conservation Division 2040 So. Pacheco Street Santa Fe, NM 87505

RE: Case #12278 Arco State #1 & #2 Lea County, NM

Ladies & Gentlemen:

Nielson & Associates, as a working interest owner in the above wells, recently voted in favor of EGL Resources, Inc. as new operator of the wells. The previous operator, Pride Energy Company, was not administratively complying with the terms of the Joint Operating Agreement to our satisfaction. Specifically, Pride paid both oil & gas revenues from the property on an extremely delayed schedule, often accumulating three or more months of production before disbursement. We expect to receive revenues within 30 to 60 days from the end of the production month, and preferably from the purchaser directly. The following is a synopsis of our actual revenues received under Pride's operating:

Production Month	Check Date	Received in Mail Date	<u>Total check Amount</u>
6/98,7/98,8/98 9/98, 10/98	12/31/98	03/16/99	\$972.67
11/98	01/18/99	02/08/99	\$171.43
1/99,2/99,3/99	05/27/99	06/07/99	\$903.13
4/99,5/99,6/99	08/05/99	08/23/99	\$1127.06
12/98,1/99,2/99	05/05/99	09/30/99	\$116.49
7/99	9/10/99	10/07/99	\$383.08

On the joint interest billing side we believe that Pride has over charged for monthly administrative overhead based on the original JOA rate and escalated per COPAS. We never received a response to our letter asking for their overhead calculation. There were also several thousands of dollars in SWD credits that were consistently missing from the JIBs from June 1998 through June 1999.

We finally received these credits on a Pride Energy check dated 9/28/99. There were several months in which we did not receive a JIB at all, and had to request copies of such after seeing the charges on our accounts receivable statement several months later.

It is for these reasons that Nielson & Associates seeks a change in operator of the wells in hopes that revenues will be paid and expenses accounted for on a more consistent and timely basis.

Amy C. Brosious Controller

Controller

DON P. OLIVE 200 N. LORAINE, SUITE 1270 MIDLAND,TX 79705 (915) 683-8873

November 1, 1999

Oil Conservation Division 2040 South Pacheco Street Santa Fe, NM 87505

RE: Case #12278

Dear Examiner:

In response to case #12278 which concerns change of operations for the Arco State #1 in Lea County, New Mexico, the forthcoming documentation is a synopsis of my experience with Pride Energy Company ("Pride") as operator and consequently my reason for signing the ballot for change of operator.

- Pride took over operations effective 6/1/98. They changed purchasers immediately from Koch to Equiva. Equiva did not issue division orders and it was determined later that my interest in the well was represented to Equiva as being owned by Pride. Pride did issue division orders effective 6/1/98, but I received no oil or gas revenue until 12/31/98. Pride indicated they would net my revenue check and even thought the net revenue number was positive after operating expenses, no revenue was distributed. "Netting" without prior consent is not industry standard.
- In January 1999, letters were issued by Amarco Oil Corp. ("Amarco") to purchasers of oil and gas on the Arco State #1 documenting mine and others ownership in the well and our request to be distributed to individually. The gas purchaser did not comply but the oil purchaser did distribute for 3 months and then my distribution was stopped, assumably by Pride.
- Although an attempt was made in September of 1999 by Pride to update and reconcile my interest, there remains 3 months of gas revenue and one month of oil revenue not accounted for, as well as negative "corrections" to these months of non revenue that resulted in deductions from positive cash flow.
- Many of the working interest owners in the Arco State #1, including myself, are also working interest owners in the Arco State water disposal well operated by Maralo Inc., ("Maralo"). This water disposal well has a positive cash flow which is distributed by Maralo. Pride has received all cash flow for my interest but none has been distributed to me. I am charged for water disposal into this well from the Arco State #1.

- Pride charges interest on what they consider outstanding joint interest bills but does not pay interest on revenue they are holding.
- In addition to the \$848.30 monthly COPAS allowed overhead charge, which should be more than adequate to cover internal expense to operate one oil well, Pride has added a \$75.00 monthly "Supervision" charge and a \$20.00 monthly "Communication" charge, which are not specified in the Joint Operating Agreement. Supervision and communication are essentially what the COPAS overhead charges are designed for and intended to cover.
- In July 1999, Pride charged the working interest owners for a joint interest bill that Pride owed to the previous operator for it's own working interest.

When presented with the opportunity to elect a new operator for the Arco State #1, I quite willingly signed the ballot in hopes that we could return this property to a more profitable and less frustrating venture.

Sincerely,

Don P. Olive

POLARIS PRODUCTION CORP. OIL & GAS PRODUCERS 415 WEST WALL STREET. SUITE 2010 1124 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79702

DAVIS PAYNE PRESIDENT

November 12, 1999

TELEPHONE 915-684-8248 REPLY TO: P. O. BOX 1749

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department 2040 South Pacheco Street Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

> Re: Case No. 12278. (Pride Energy, Arco-State Lse. Lea County, New Mexico)

Dear Sirs:

As a Joint Interest Working Interest Owner in the Referenced Lease, this is to advise you that we support E.G.L. Resources, Inc. of P. O. Box 371, Midland, Texas, to be Operator of this Lease.

Pride Energy Company assumed operation of this Lease after acquiring the interest of Swift Energy and without the approval of the other joint working interest owners. A ballot was never circulated.

Pride has not timely made disbursement of proceeds from oil sales, and their accounting of other matters has not been complete. Further, they charged for "produced water" disposal when the joint operation owned a disposal well. And their "overhead" charge was approximately double that others charge for similar operations.

Therefore, we would appreciate the approval of the Form C-104 naming E.G.L. Resources, Inc. as Operator of this property.

Thank you for your consideration.

Yours very truly,

POLARIS PRODUCTION CORP.

Davis Payne

.

PREMIER OPERATING COMPANY BOX 30864 *ULSA, OH 74153

November 1, 1999

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 2040 South Pacheco Street Sante Fe, New Mexico 87505

RE: case 12278- Arco State #1

Deer Sir or Madem:

Premier Operating Company voted in favor of EGL Resources as operator of the Arco State #1 well located in T-188, R-35E, Section 16 for the following reasons:

- 1. Pride Energy is not considered a prudent operator in our opinion,
- 2. Revenue was not dispersed in a timely manner, and
- 3. JIB's were not sent as per the "JOA".

Premier recently purchased our interest in the well. The previous owner supplied the information concerning revenue and JIB's to us.

Sincerely,

Netalto

Virgil Landreth

Starck Family, LTD. P. O. Box 10886 Midland, TX 79702

November 2, 1999

Oil Conservation Division 2040 South Pacheco St. Santa Fe, NM 87505

RE: Case # 12278 Arco State #1 Section 16 T18S R35E Lea County, NM

Dear Sir or Madam:

Please be advised that Starck Family, LTD. voted for E.G.L. Resources, Inc. for the following reasons in order of importance.

Pride failed to distribute oil and gas revenue in a timely manner for both the Arco State #1 and Arco State #2 SWD.

When questioned about the revenue distribution problems never could explain why they were not distributing revenue and repeatedly did not act on promises to rectify the problems. Pride even claimed no knowledge of substantial 1998 revenue that was paid to them by Maralo on the Arco State SWD for disbersment to the remaining SWD well owners.

Pride billed their share of prior Joint interest bills owed to Rhombus Energy Company for prior periods back to the joint interest. This effectively had the non operated owners paying bills that were entirely owed by Pride Energy which is to me an unforgivable oversight.

Pride is charging 75\$/mo Supervision and 20\$/mo telephone expense to the Arco State Lease. I have over 100 interests with over 40 other operators and am not treated this way by any other operator. The JOA provides for operators to allocate a portion of district or field office expenses to individual wells but Pride Energy has no such office and is clearly taking liberty by charging arbitrary phantom expenses to the joint account.

We believe that each of the above listed reasons by themselves is reason enough to be deemed and imprudent operator and as such voted out by the non operators as is provided for by the JOA. Cumulatively Pride's actions and or inactions are in our opinion beyond mere oversights and constitute and fraudulent operation and we urge the Commission to uphold their previous decision to approve the wishes of the former Non Operators to have EGL Resources, Inc. elected as operator of the Arco State #1 lease.