

November 22, 1999

Cross Timbers Oil Company 810 Houston Street - Suite Fort Worth, Texas 76102-6298

12314

Attention: Edwin S. Ryan, Jr.

Re: Administrative application for an exception to Division Rule 104.C (3), revised by Division Order No. R-11231, issued by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission in Case No. 12119 on August 12, 1999, to recomplete its existing Federal Gas Com. "H" Well No. 2 (API No. 30-045-29705), by perforating the Pictured Cliffs formation at an unorthodox gas well location 2045 feet from the North line and 1685 feet from the East line (Unit G) of Section 31, Township 30 North, Range 12 West, NMPM, Fulcher Kutz-Pictured Cliffs Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico.

Dear Mr. Ryan:

On November 16, 1999 the Division received an objection from Jensen Brothers Partnership to the subject application, see copy of a letter attached.

This application will therefore be set for hearing before a Division Hearing Examiner on the next available docket scheduled for December 16, 1999.

Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact me in Santa Fe at (505) 827-8185.

Sincerelv

Michael E. Stogner Chief Hearing Officer/Engineer

cc: New Mexico Oil Conservation Division - Aztec
U. S. Bureau of Land Management - Farmington
Jensen Brothers Partnership (Attention: John B. Jensen) - Fort Collins, Colorado

November 10, 1999

NOV 1 6 1999

Lori Wrotenbery, Director New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 2040 South Pacheco Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

Re: Objection to the request for Administrative Approval of an Unorthodox Location Fulcher Kutz Pictured Cliffs Federal Gas Com H #2 NE/4 Section 31-30N-12W San Juan County, New Mexico

To whom it may concern:

Jensen Brothers Partnership is objecting to the above request by Cross Timbers Oil Company for the following reason(s):

- 1. It appears that the location will adversely affect our mineral rights.
 - By granting this location to Cross Timbers our mineral sources, along with other property owners, may be extracted with out consent.
 - Our mineral resources are valuable to us and do not feel we should have to gift them to Cross Timbers.
- 2. The area in request is not a good location, with the progress of the City and the type of surrounded property, granting this request adversely affects the surrounding properties including ours.

We are against the approval of this request.

On another note we currently have dealings with Cross Timbers on another piece of property of ours in which they have went beyond their limits allowed. They trespassed(beyond their easement) to drill and install a well pump, putting a fence way beyond the space allowed by the easement and tore up our property around the work they have done. Now we are in the process of taking legal action because of their "our way only" attitude.

Singerely

John B. Jensen Partner