### HOLLAND & HART ILP CAMPBELL & CARR

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

DENVER • ASPEN
BOULDER • COLORADO SPRINGS
DENVER TECH CENTER
BILLINGS • BOISE
CHEYENNE • JACKSON HOLE
SALT LAKE CITY • SANTA FE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

SUITE 1
110 NORTH GUADALUPE
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501-6525
MAILING ADDRESS
P.O. BOX 2208
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504-2208

TELEPHONE (505) 988-4421 FACSIMILE (505) 983-6043 www.hollandhart.com

February 5, 2001

#### HAND DELIVERED

Mr. Michael E. Stogner
Hearing Examiner
Oil Conservation Division
New Mexico Energy, Minerals and
Natural Resources Department
1220 South Saint Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504



Re

Application of BP Amoco for establishment of a downhole commingling reference case and pre-approval of downhole commingling for formations and pools in the Gallegos Canyon Unit pursuant to Division Rule 303.C(4) and the adoption of special administrative rules therefore, San Juan, County, New Mexico.

Dear Mr. Stogner:

Pursuant to your request, enclosed in hard copy and on disc, is BP Amoco's Revised Proposed Order of the Division in the above-referenced case.

If you need additional information from BP Amoco to proceed with your consideration of this application, please advise.

Very truly yours,

William F. Carr

cc: Bill Hawkins Carroll Crawford

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF BP AMOCO FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF A DOWNHOLE COMMINGLING REFERENCE CASE AND PRE-APPROVAL OF DOWNHOLE COMMINGLING FOR FORMATIONS AND POOLS IN THE GALLEGOS CANYON UNIT PURSUANT TO DIVISION RULE 303.C(4) AND THE ADOPTION OF SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE RULES THEREFORE, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

CASE NO. 12320		773
CASE NO. 12520		
	CASE NO. 12520 ORDER NO. R-	3

### BP AMOCO'S REVISED PROPOSED ORDER OF THE DIVISION

#### BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 8:15 a. m. on October 19, 2000, December 21, 2000 and January 25, 2001 at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Michael E. Stogner.

NOW, on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of February 2001, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

#### **FINDS THAT:**

(1) Due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has

Case Nos.	12520
Order No.	R
Page 2	

jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

- (2) The applicant, BP Amoco, pursuant to the provisions of Division Rule 303.C (4)(b), seeks pre-approval of downhole commingling for all formations in the Gallegos Canyon Unit (the Unit) which includes the Farmington, Fruitland Sand, Fruitland Coal, Pictured Cliffs, Gallup and Dakota formations. BP Amoco, pursuant to the provisions of Division Rule 303.C (4) (a), also seeks to establish a downhole commingling reference case to provide for modification of notification rules on a unit-wide basis for downhole commingling of gas production within existing or future drilled wells within the Gallegos Canyon Unit, San Juan County, New Mexico.
- (3) BP Amoco requested that the portion of its application which relates to the adoption of special administrative rules for the subsequent applications for approval to downhole commingle wells within the Gallegos Canyon Unit be dismissed since the rules which BP Amoco seeks are now included in Division Rule 303C (4)(b)(iii) which was adopted by Order No. R-11363, dated April 26, 2000.
- (4) The Gallegos Canyon Unit is a federal unit which is supervised by the Bureau of Land Management. The Bureau of Land Management, the largest royalty owner in the unit, appeared on each hearing date and presented statements in support of the application of BP Amoco.
- (5) At the December 21, 2000 hearing, Mr. Carroll E. Crawford, a royalty owner in the Gallegos Canyon Unit appeared in protest to the application of BP Amoco. Mr. Crawford expressed concerns about the commingling procedures to be utilized by BP Amoco in the Gallegos Canyon Unit Area and stated he had not been properly paid royalty on production from the unit area. (Crawford Exhibits 1 and 2, Testimony of Crawford)
- (6) At the January 25 hearing, BP Amoco testified about its recent conversations with Mr. Crawford in which it explained the commingling procedures it intended to utilize in the Gallegos Canyon Unit and their efforts to clarify and make certain payments to Mr. Crawford. (BP Exhibit 19, Testimony of Hawkins)
- (7) BP Amoco presented a letter from Mr. Crawford to the Division in which he withdrew his exception to BP Amoco's commingling procedures.
- (8) BP Amoco also reviewed the history of its efforts to pay royalty to Mr. Crawford and testified that, for certain royalty payments previously returned to BP/Amoco, new checks

Case Nos.	12520
Order No.	R-
Page 3	

were being prepared to pay Mr. Crawford for his share of production from the unit area. (Testimony of Hawkins)

- (9) BP Amoco is the operator of the Gallegos Canyon Unit which currently encompasses 43,146.62 acres, more or less, of Federal, Indian Alloted, State and Fee lands in San Juan County, New Mexico, as fully described on Exhibit A to this order. (BP Amoco Exhibit No. 8, Testimony of Reinert at 9)
- (10) Within the Gallegos Canyon Unit, BP Amoco operates 1 well which produces from the Farmington formation, 21 wells which produce from the Fruitland Sand formation (North Pinion-Fruitland Sand Pool-Code 82920), 65 wells which produce from the Fruitland Coal formation (Basin-Fruitland Coal Gas Pool-Code 71629), 121 wells which produce from the Pictured Cliffs formation (West Kutz-Pictured Cliffs Gas Pool-Code 79660), 5 wells which produce from the Gallup formation (Pinon Gallup Pool-Code 50380, Totah-Gallup Pool-Code 59750, Meadows-Gallup Pool-Code 45450) and 195 wells which produce from the Dakota formation (Basin-Dakota Gas Pool-Code 71599). (BP Amoco Exhibits 11 through 15, Testimony of Hawkins at 28-30)
- (11) In support of it application for pre-approval of downhole commingling of production from all producing formations within the Gallegos Canyon Unit, BP Amoco presented evidence and testimony which shows:
  - a) The tops and bottoms of the pay sections in each of the pools to be commingled (BP Amoco Exhibit Nos. 9, 16, Testimony of Jeffery at 24-25);
  - b) Rates of production of gas, condensate and water from the wells in each pool to be commingled (BP Amoco Exhibits 11 through 15, Testimony of Hawkins at 28-30);
  - Wells which produce from the pools which are the subject of this application produce only small volumes of fluids. (Testimony of Hawkins at 29-30) All produced fluids from the commingled zones are compatible and combining the fluids will not result in damage to any of the formations to be commingled (BP Amoco Exhibits 11 through 15, Testimony of Hawkins 32, 47);
  - d) Commingling will not result in shut-in or flowing wellbore pressures in

Case Nos.	12520
Order No.	R-
Page 4	

excess of the fracture parting pressure of any commingled pools (BP Amoco Exhibit 16, Testimony of Hawkins at 31-32);

- e) Commingling will not result in permanent loss of revenues due to crossflow in the wellbore (Testimony of Hawkins at 32-33, 46);
- f) The production allocated to any well in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool will not exceed the top gas allowable rate set by the Division for that pool pursuant to its prorationing authority (Testimony of Hawkins at 33); and
- g) Commingling will not reduce the value of the total remaining production from the Unit (Testimony of Hawkins at 33).
- (12) Few pools have been approved for commingling within wellbores in the Gallegos Canyon Unit because of the heavy notice burden on any operator who sought to downhole commingle production in the Gallegos Canyon Unit due to the limitations on commingling authority imposed by the Division's recently abolished pressure requirements for the downhole commingling of production. (Testimony of Hawkins at 35-37)
- (13) Downhole commingling of production has been pre-approved for the Basin-Dakota Pool and the West Kutz Pictured Cliffs Pool and for the Basin Fruitland Coal Gas Pool and the West Kutz-Pictured Cliffs Pool (Order No. R-12346). Downhole commingling of production has been approved in individual wells from the North Pinion-Fruitland Sand Pool and the West Kutz Pictured Cliffs Pool (Administrative Order Nos. DHC-1367 and 1407) and from the Basin-Fruitland Coal Gas Pool and the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool (Administrative Order No. DHC-2790). (BP Amoco Exhibit 18, Testimony of Hawkins at 35)
- (14) BP Amoco recommended the following allocation methods be adopted for commingled wells in the Gallegos Canyon Unit:
  - a) Subtraction Method -- When a new zone is opened for flow prior to rate stabilization, production from the zones with stable production rates should be forecast using the established decline rate from that zone and the existing zone production is subtracted from the total well production.
  - b) Fixed Percentage Method When all zones have established stable production rates a fixed percentage allocation method should be used

where production from each zone is calculated based its percentage of total well production.

- c) The subtraction method of allocation should be replaced with the fixed percentage method once the production from the new zone has stabilized. (BP Amoco Exhibit No. 17, Testimony of Hawkins at 35-36, 38-39)
- (15) The BP Amoco recommended allocation methods are commonly used in the oil and gas industry. (Testimony of Hawkins)
- (16) The allocation of commingled production between zones in Gallegos Canyon Unit wells will be reviewed and approved by the Oil Conservation Division and Bureau of Land Management to assure accurate allocation to the interest owners in the Unit. (Testimony of Hawkins)
- (17) Commingling of production from all formations in the Gallegos Canyon Unit will enable the operator to open additional zones in existing wellbores in the Unit thereby increasing the volume of production recovered (Testimony of Hawkins at 38) and make current low-rate producing wells in the Unit more economically attractive zones with productive potential which are currently behind pipe thereby increasing the ultimate recovery of production from the this Unit thereby preventing waste. (Testimony of Hawkins at 45)
- (18) Pre-Approval of downhole commingling of production from wells located in the Gallegos Canyon Unit will be in the best interest of conservation, will increase the volume of gas recovered from the unit thereby preventing waste, and will protect the correlative rights of all interest owners in the Unit and should be <u>approved</u>.
- (19) According to its evidence, BP Amoco seeks to establish a "reference case" for an administrative procedure for authorizing the downhole commingling of existing and future drilled wells in the Gallegos Canyon Unit without additional notice to each affected interest owner as required by Division Rule No. 303.D.
- (20) In support of its request to establish a "reference case" for providing notice within the Gallegos Canyon Unit the applicant presented evidence and testimony which indicates that:
  - a) The interest ownership between zones within a given wellbore in the

Gallegos Canyon Unit generally is not common (See, BP Amoco Exhibits 2 through 8, Testimony of Reinert at 9);

- b) Pursuant to Division Rule 303.D, the applicant is currently required to notify all interest owners within the Gallegos Canyon Unit every time an Application for Downhole Commingling (Form C-107) is submitted to the Division. There are a numerous interest owners in this unit and, in this case, over 750 interest owners had to be notified by certified mail of this application (BP Amoco Exhibit No. 19, Testimony of Reinert at 9-10);
- c) Providing notice to each interest owner within the Gallegos Canyon Unit of subsequent downhole commingling applications is unnecessary and imposes an excessive burden on the applicant (Testimony of Hawkins at 37); and
- d) The downhole commingling of production in wellbores within the Gallegos Canyon Unit will benefit working, royalty, and overriding royalty interest owners and should not violate the correlative rights of any interest owner in the Unit (Testimony of Hawkins at 38).
- (21) Approval of a reference case for obtaining approval for subsequent applications for the downhole commingling of production in wellbores within the Gallegos Canyon Unit without notice to the Unit interest owners should be approved.

#### **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:**

- (1) The application of BP Amoco for pre-approval of downhole commingling of production for all formations in the Gallegos Canyon Unit which includes the Farmington, Fruitland Sand, Fruitland Coal, Pictured Cliffs, Gallup and Dakota gas production in within existing and future drilled wells in the Gallegos Canyon Unit, San Juan County, New Mexico is hereby approved.
- (2) The application of BP Amoco to establish a "reference case" for modification of notice rules on a unit-wide basis for downhole commingling of Farmington, Fruitland Sand, Fruitland Coal, Pictured Cliffs, Gallup and Dakota gas production within existing and drilled wells within the Gallegos Canyon Unit, San Juan County, New Mexico, is

Case Nos.	12520
Order No.	R
Page 7	

#### hereby approved.

- (3) In order to obtain Division authorization to downhole commingle production in wellbores within the Gallegos Canyon Unit, the applicant shall file Division Form C-107A with the Santa Fe and Aztec Offices of the Division. Such application shall contain all the information required under Division Rule 303.C., provided however, the applicant shall not be required to provide notice to the other interest owners within the unit of such commingling.
- (4) Jurisdiction is hereby retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

LORI WROTENBERY Director

SEAL

Case Nos.	12520
Order No.	R
Page 8	

#### CASE NO. 12520 ORDER NO. R-

#### **EXHIBIT A**

## GALLEGOS CANYON FEDERAL EXPLORATORY UNIT SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### **TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST, NMPM**

Section 13:

All

Sections 23-26:

All

Sections 34-36:

All

#### TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 12 WEST, NMPM

Section 16:

SW/4

Sections 17-21:

All W/2, SE/4

Section 22:

11/2, SE/4

Section 25:

W/2, SE/4

Sections 26-36:

All

#### **TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST, NMPM**

Section 7:

All

Sections 18 and 19: All

Section 31:

S/2

#### **TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 12 WEST, NMPM**

Sections 7-36:

All

(All Township)

#### **TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST, NMPM**

Sections 11-14:

A11

Sections 23-26:

All

Sections 35 and 36: All

#### **TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 12 WEST, NMPM**

Case Nos.	12520
Order No.	R-
Page 9	

Sections 4-6:

All N/2

Section 9: