KELLAHIN AND KELLAHIN

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
EL PATIO BUILDING
II7 NORTH GUADALUPE
POST OFFICE BOX 2265

JASON KELLAHIN (RETIRED 1991)

*NEW MEXICO BOARD OF LEGAL SPECIALIZATION RECOGNIZED SPECIALIST IN THE AREA OF NATURAL RESOURCES-OIL AND GAS LAW

W. THOMAS KELLAHIN*

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504-2265 July 17, 2001 TELEPHONE (505) 982-4285 TELEFAX (505) 982-2047

HAND DELIVERED

Mr. Richard Ezeanyim, Chief Engineer Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

Re: NMOCD Case 12587

Amended Application of Sapient Energy Corp. for an unorthodox well location, non-standard proration units, Lea County, New Mexico

NMOCD Case 12605
Application of Sapient Energy Corporation for special pool rules for the West Monument-Tubb Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Ezeanyim:

On behalf of Sapient Energy Corp., I have received a copy of a letter dated July 2, 2001 written to you by William F. Carr, attorney for Chevron USA Production and Conoco Inc. concerning the referenced case.

Mr. Carr admits in his letter that on several occasions he has had conversations with the Director, the Hearing Examiner and now with you concerning this case. As Mr. Carr knows, his actions are in direct violation of Division Rule 1223 concerning "ex parte communications". I would appreciate you providing me with a written explanation of those conversations so that I may determine what course of action may best protect my client's interest.

Mr. Carr's letter is nothing more than a regurgitation of arguments Conoco and Chevron made at the prehearing conference and at the hearing. However he misleads the Division by stating that "Chevron and Conoco have asked the Division to order the Barber Well (the Sapient well) shut in until it is in full compliance with Division rules. The Oil Conservation Division has not acted on these requests." To the contrary, at a prehearing conference held on January 24, 2001 the Division Examiner denied Conoco and Chevron's efforts to have the Sapient well shut-in.

Mr. Richard Ezeanyim, NMOCD July 17, 2001
-Page 2-

In addition, contrary to Mr. Carr's statements in his letter, Sapient's interest in the Bertha J. Barber Well No. 12 is not for sale and Sapient intends to remain the operator of this well. As Examiner Stogner has already determined, there is no reason to order the well shut-in.

Mr. Carr also complains about the inability of Chevron to drill an offsetting "protection well" to the Sapient well which was originally recompleted by Cross Timbers as a Tubb gas well on August 21, 1999. Thereafter, it took Chevron until October 11, 2000, to decide it needed a protection well. On October 31, 2000, Sapient filed an objection and continually attempted to settle this dispute with Chevron. Finally, at a prehearing conference held on January 24, 2001, Sapient withdrew its objection to the Chevron well and the Division issued Order NSL-3752-A. Thereafter, at the March 1, 2001, it became apparent that Chevron had obtained the administrative order for its well without providing proper notice to the affected parties. Examiner Stogner's stayed the order pending Chevron's compliance with the notice rules.

Chevron's failure to drill a protection well is either attributed to their own delay or is the direct result of Chevron's own failure to properly notify affected parties. It is not Sapient's fault that Chevron has failed to drill a protection well.

Very truly yours,

W. Thomas Kellahin

cc: Mr. Michael E. Stogner, Hearing Examiner Ms. Lori Wrotenbery, Director

William F. Carr, Esq.
Attorney for Chevron and Conoco

Sapient Energy Corp.
Attn: Chuck Perrin
(918) 488-8994