Page\_\_\_\_\_\_

# NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

# **COMMISSION HEARING**

# SANTA FE. NEW MEXICO

Hearing Date	APRIL 27, 2001	lime_9:00 A.M.
NAME	REPRESENTING	LOCATION
	Holland + Hart	South Fe
rartyne Kieling	Environmental Bureau O	ico Santo Fe
Pill Olion	OCO	Sent Fe
Ane R. Curs	MONTGONSRY & ANDREWS	SANTA FE
		1

#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

## ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

### OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY
THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION TO ENACT
A NEW RULE 712 PERMITTING DISPOSAL OF
CERTAIN NON-DOMESTIC WASTE ARISING FROM
THE EXPLORATION, DEVELOPMENT, PRODUCTION
OR STORAGE OF CRUDE OIL OR NATURAL GAS,
CERTAIN NON-DOMESTIC WASTE ARISING FROM
THE OIL FIELD SERVICE INDUSTRY, AND
CERTAIN NON-DOMESTIC WASTE ARISING FROM
THE TRANSPORTATION, TREATMENT OR
REFINEMENT OF CRUDE OIL OR NATURAL GAS,
AT A SOLID WASTE FACILITY REGULATED BY
THE NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT,
WITH THE CONCURRENCE OF THE NEW MEXICO
ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

CASE NO. 12,626

# ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSION HEARING

BEFORE:

LORI WROTENBERY, CHAIRMAN JAMI BAILEY, COMMISSIONER ROBERT LEE, COMMISSIONER

April 27th, 2001

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the Oil Conservation Commission, LORI WROTENBERY, Chairman, on Friday, April 27th, 2001, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

### INDEX

April 27th, 2001 Commission Hearing CASE NO. 12,626

**PAGE** 

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

7

\* \* \*

## APPEARANCES

## FOR THE COMMISSION:

STEPHEN ROSS
Deputy General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
2040 South Pacheco
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR CONTROLLED RECOVERY, INC.:

HOLLAND & HART, L.L.P., and CAMPBELL & CARR 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 1 P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 By: WILLIAM F. CARR

\* \* \*

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 9:05 a.m.:
CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Okay, we'll get started

here this morning. This is the meeting of the Oil
Conservation Commission. It's April 27th, 2001, and we're
here in the Oil Conservation Division hearing room in Santa
Fe, New Mexico.

I think we'll skip the introductions today because it looks like we all know each other here. But just for the record, all three Commissioners are in attendance this morning, so we have our quorum.

We had a fairly lengthy agenda to begin with, but for various reasons I think we're going to get through quickly here this morning. Most of the matters have taken care of themselves in one form or fashion.

We do have the minutes of the last meeting of the Commission, which was held on March 30th, 2001.

Commissioners, I believe you've had a chance to take a look

at these, is that right? Any changes that you see we need to make?

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: No, I've reviewed them and I move that we adopt them.

COMMISSIONER LEE: I second.

CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: All in favor say "aye".

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Aye.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Aye.

CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Aye. And I'll sign those on behalf of the Commission. Thanks, Florene.

\* \* \*

CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: We also have some follow-up discussion on Rule 711. This particular matter came up initially in our last meeting where we adopted the new Rule 712, and there were some questions about the treatment of OCD-permitted solid waste management facilities under 712 and whether -- or under 711, excuse me -- and whether we had some more onerous requirement for Rule 711 facilities than we were establishing for some of the ED-permitted facilities under Rule 712.

And I believe Roger Anderson is here to talk to us about the discussions that have taken place since then and what he's proposing to do at the administrative level.

MR. ANDERSON: Madame Chairman, members of the Commission, the Division has looked through the existing Rule 711 and compared it with the conditions in Rule 712, and they have redrafted and looked at the changes that the changes had proposed previously to Rule 711 that had not gone through the system yet and determined that those changes that are in the proposed Rule 711 would rectify any of the problem -- any of the discrepancies between the two rules and the two different types of facilities.

Those proposed rule changes are going through the legal review within the Division right now. I'm anticipating within a week we should have a draft that we can present to industry and public interest groups and for discussion purposes, and we'll set it for hearing at the Commission's pleasure when all the changes are done and all the agreements are made.

In the interim, there will be a draft letter that will be presented to the Director today for signature, administratively correcting the wastes that are identified in the D (1) section of Rule 712. Basically, it's a blanket authorization to accept those wastes without having to go through the approval process. The same way in Rule 712 for their waste management facilities, the Rule 711 facilities will also be able to do the same thing. And that will be an administrative change. It will basically be authorized by directive.

And that's where we stand right now.

CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Any questions from the Commission.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: No.

COMMISSIONER LEE: No.

CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Mr. Carr, did you want to make a statement?

MR. CARR: I'm here today to represent Controlled

Recovery, Inc. As you'll recall, last month Mr. Marsh was 1 here and raised the concern -- I think you recognize there 2 was an inequity where OCD-regulated facilities had to get a 3 permit and ED did not. And I appreciate Mr. Anderson's 4 comments and would encourage the Director to sign the 5 letter. We'd like to have a copy of it as soon as 6 7 possible. During the last month, on a couple of occasions 8 while a C-138 was being obtained by CRI, they did that and 9 then they called and said they could take the waste and 10 were advised that it had already been taken to another 11 facility. So the problem is real, and I appreciate your 12 concern and the timely way you've responded. Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Thank you, Mr. Carr. 14 Is there anybody else that would like to comment 15 16 on this particular matter? Mr. Ross, do you have anything to add? You can 17 18 just say no. (Laughter) 19 20 MR. ROSS: Uh, no. CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: No, okay. 21 Thank you very much, Mr. Anderson. 22 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 23 24 9:10 a.m.)

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

25

### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL April 30th, 2001.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002