## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

## ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

## OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF RICHARDSON PRODUCTION
COMPANY TO REOPEN CASE 12,643 FOR THE
PURPOSE OF AMENDING ORDER NO. R-11,577
BY RECONSIDERING THE CHARGE FOR RISK
INVOLVED IN DRILLING A WELL IN THE
BASIN-FRUITLAND COAL (GAS) POOL AND THE
TWIN MOUNDS-FRUITLAND SAND-PICTURED
CLIFFS POOL, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION OF RICHARDSON PRODUCTION COMPANY TO REOPEN CASE 12,644 FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING ORDER NO. R-11,578 BY RECONSIDERING THE CHARGE FOR RISK INVOLVED IN DRILLING A WELL IN THE TWIN MOUNDS-FRUITLAND SAND-PICTURED CLIFFS POOL, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

and 12,644

) CASE NOS. 12,643

(Consolidated)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

## EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

May 31st, 2001

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, May 31st, 2001, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

#### INDEX

May 31st, 2001 Examiner Hearing CASE NOS. 12,643 and 12,644 (Consolidated)

PAGE

STATEMENT BY MR. CARR

4

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

8

EXHIBITS

Applicant's

Identified Admitted

Notice Affidavit

5

\* \* \*

APPEARANCES

## FOR THE DIVISION:

DAVID BROOKS Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

# FOR THE APPLICANT:

HOLLAND & HART, L.L.P., and CAMPBELL & CARR 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 1 P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 By: WILLIAM F. CARR

# ALSO PRESENT:

RICHARD EZEANYIM NMOCD Chief Engineer

\* \* \*

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 11:39 a.m.:

EXAMINER STOGNER: Hearing will come to order.

At this time I'll call both cases, 12,4643 and 12,644, both of which is an Application of Richardson Production Company to reopen both of these cases for the purpose of amending a single order -- I'm sorry, two different orders, Order

Number R-11,578 and Order Number R-11,577, by reconsidering the charge for risk involved in drilling a well, or two different wells, in a particular section in San Juan County, New Mexico.

This case involved the south half of Section 8,

Township 29 North, Range 14, West, two different wells, one
down to the Fruitland Coal, and the other one to the

Pictured Cliffs. They were both assigned 156-percent risk

penalty factor based on the evidence presented at that

time.

These matters are now being reopened to address that issues.

Call for appearances.

MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is William F. Carr with the Santa Fe office of Holland and Hart, L.L.P.

We represent Richardson Production Company in this matter, and I have no witnesses.

I have a statement.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Carr?

MR. CARR: As you stated, Mr. Examiner, these cases were presented on April the 19th, and orders were entered granting the pooling Applications on April the 30th.

Two pools, or two formations were pooled, the Basin-Fruitland Coal Gas Pool and the Twin Mounds-Fruitland Sand-Pictured Cliffs Pool. A single risk penalty of 156 percent was imposed.

Richardson has requested that the risk penalty for the Twin Mounds-Fruitland Sand-Pictured Cliff Pool be increased to 200 percent.

At the original hearing, Mr. Richardson testified that they were seeking the maximum penalty. He then testified that a 156-percent penalty was appropriate. I have read them, and the record really isn't clear. The Order was entered, and the Order imposed one penalty, 156 being the standard maximum penalty for the Basin Fruitland Coal Gas Pool, but for other formations up there the maximum penalty is 200 percent.

We believe there was confusion as to what we were seeking and what was granted, and since that time -- This

is part of a drilling program that is going to involve a 1 number of wells in very close proximity to the City of 2 Carlsbad, and there are hearings that are coming, and 3 you're going to see them again and again, I suspect --4 EXAMINER STOGNER: Which city? 5 MR. CARR: I believe it -- I'm sorry, it's the 6 7 City of Farmington. 8 EXAMINER STOGNER: Oh, okay. MR. BROOKS: Thought you were in the wrong part 9 10 of the state. MR. CARR: I'm in the right state, that's all I 11 12 can guarantee anymore. 13 In any event, there were follow-up hearings. Orders were entered in May, and it broke out the penalties, 14 and it said 156 percent in the Fruitland Coal, 200 in the 15 16 other formations. We have renotified the parties affected, and as 17 18 you will note, the cases were advertised that in the 19 absence of objection it would be taken under advisement. I discussed this matter with the Division, and I 20 have to present here today notice affidavits confirming 21 that all affected parties have, in fact, been renotified. 22 And I also have prepared in each case proposed 23 findings. All these are are findings that are exactly like 24 the findings entered in the other cases for wells in this 25

immediate area, the findings that were entered and the 1 2 orders entered on May the 8th. And with that, I would request permission to 3 tender my notice affidavit and submit the proposed 4 5 findings. EXAMINER STOGNER: Prepared to admit them at this 6 7 time. MR. CARR: I didn't mark them as exhibits. 8 There's one for each case, and there's a notice affidavit 9 for each case with a notice letter and the parties. 10 11 And Mr. Stogner, that concludes my presentation in this case. I have -- or my statement. And I can 12 13 respond to any questions you may have, or at least I'll try 14 to. EXAMINER STOGNER: Also I believe there's been a 15 recent order issued by the Commission that had a -- what? 16 It's not really a split risk, but in some way it was. 17 you have that number? 18 MR. CARR: There were two orders entered that had 19 the split risk. One is Order -- They are Orders 11,581 and 20 21 11,582. 22 EXAMINER STOGNER: I'll take administrative notice of those two orders. 23 I don't believe I have any questions or anything 24

25

concerning at this point.

	1	i
1	Is there anything further?	
2	Since there's nothing further in Cases 12,643	
3	and/or 12,644, then this matter, these matters, will be	
4	taken under advisement.	
5	With that, this hearing is adjourned today.	
6	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at	
7	11:45 a.m.)	
8	* * *	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	# homeway with the the terms .	
15	1 1 1 200/ 300/	, A
16	Mat 1001	eop!
17	Conservation Division Exeminer	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

#### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL June 4th, 2001.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002