STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 12,682

APPLICATION OF DAVID H. ARRINGTON OIL AND GAS, INC., FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, DIRECTIONAL DRILLING AND AN UNORTHODOX WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

June 28th, 2001

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, June 28th, 2001, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

I N D E X

June 28th, 2001 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 12,682

	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	3
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES: <u>ENICK DIFFEE</u> (Landman)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Feldewert	4
Examination by Examiner Stogner Examination by Mr. Brooks	10 12
JOHN R. MCRAE (Geologist)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Feldewert	14
Examination by Examiner Stogner	28
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	32

^ ^ ^

EXHIBITS

Applicant's		Identified	Admitted
Exhibit		6	10
Exhibit	2	7	10
Exhibit	3	8	10
Exhibit	4	8	10
Exhibit	5	9	10
Exhibit	6	10	10
Exhibit	7	15	28
Exhibit	8	17	28
Exhibit	9	18	28
Exhibit		21	28
Exhibit	11	22	28
Exhibit	12	24	28

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

DAVID BROOKS
Attorney at Law
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
Assistant General Counsel
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

HOLLAND & HART, L.L.P., and CAMPBELL & CARR 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 1 P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 By: MICHAEL H. FELDEWERT

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 10:57 a.m.: EXAMINER STOGNER: At this time I'll call Case 3 Number 12,682, which is the Application of David H. 4 5 Arrington Oil and Gas, Inc., for compulsory pooling, directional drilling and an unorthodox well location in Lea 6 County, New Mexico. 7 At this time I'll call for appearances. 8 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, my name is Michael 9 Feldewert with the law firm of Holland and Hart and 10 Campbell and Carr, for the Applicant, David H. Arrington 11 12 Oil and Gas, Inc. I have two witnesses today. 13 EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other appearances? Will the witnesses please stand to be sworn? 14 15 (Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.) ENICK DIFFEE, 16 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 17 18 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 20 BY MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Diffee, would you please state your full name 21 22 and address for the record? 23 My name is Enick Diffee, and I reside in Roswell, Α. 24 New Mexico. 25 Q. And by whom are you employed and in what

capacity?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I'm employed by David H. Arrington on a consulting basis. I personally am an independent petroleum landman.
- Q. Have you previously testified before this Division?
- A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. And your credentials as an expert in petroleum land matters were accepted and made a matter of record?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with the Application that's been filed by David Arrington in this case?
 - A. I am.
 - Q. And are you familiar with the status of the lands in the subject area?
- 16 A. Yes.
- MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, are the witness's qualifications acceptable?
- 19 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Diffee is so qualified.
 - Q. (By Mr. Feldewert) Mr. Diffee, would you please briefly state what Arrington Oil and Gas seeks with this Application?
 - A. Yes, Mr. Examiner, we are seeking an order pooling all minerals from the surface to the base of the Mississippian formation under the south half of Section 30,

Township 15 South, Range 35 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in the following manner:

The south half for all formations and/or pools developed on 320-acre spacing;

The southeast quarter for all formations and/or pools developed on 160-acre spacing;

The southeast quarter of the southeast quarter, which is Unit P, for all formations and/or pools developed on 40-acre spacing that includes the Undesignated Townsend-Permo Upper Pennsylvanian Pool and the Undesignated Big Dog-Strawn Pool.

And we are also seeking an order approving the directional drilling of Arrington's proposed John's Hopper Well Number 1 in an easterly-southeasterly direction from a surface location in Unit O of the southeast quarter to a standard bottomhole location to test the Mississippian formation in Unit P of the southeast quarter.

This drilling plan will result in potential unorthodox oil well locations in the shallower Wolfcamp, Cisco, Canyon, Strawn and Atoka formations.

- Q. Okay, would you identify and review for the Examiner Arrington Exhibit Number 1?
- A. Yes, this is a land plat, showing again the south half of Section 30 of Township 15 South, Range 35 East, Lea County, New Mexico. It shows a surface location of Unit O

of the southeast quarter and a bottomhole location at a standard position in Unit P of the southeast quarter to test the Mississippian formation, being located 660 feet from the south and east lines of Section 30.

- Q. Okay. Now, what is the status of the acreage in the south half of Section 30?
 - A. Fee minerals.

- Q. Is it an undivided interest throughout the entire section?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Okay, would you identify and review for the Examiner Arrington Exhibit Number 2?
- A. Yes, this is list of the unleased mineral interest owners within the south half of Section 30, along with all the leasehold owners, again, Arrington being the largest interest owner under the south half of Section 30.
- Q. Okay. Aside from Arrington's interests, are the interest owners shown on Exhibit 2, are they all still subject to this pooling Application?
- A. Yes, and I think it's worthy to mention that Arrington has received at least a verbal commitment from all these parties to either participate or to lease their mineral interest.
- Q. Okay, so you've been able to contact all of the interest owners shown on Exhibit 2?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Then why don't you identify for the Examiner, beginning with Arrington Exhibit Number 3, your first contact with these individuals about this project?
- A. Yes, you have before you a sample letter dated February the 9th of year 2001, sent by certified mail, return receipt requested. This letter, of course, was sent to all of the interest owners that were listed on Exhibit 2. Contained within the letter is a well proposal to drill a vertical well, to test the Mississippian at the proposed standard bottomhole location in the southeast quarter of the southeast quarter.

Attached to the letter was an AFE, and I will make note that there was a typographical error made in paragraph number one, in which Section 31 was referenced rather than Section 30.

- Q. Okay, and did you then correct that typographical error?
- A. Yes. Also to your attention is a letter, again dated February the 26th of year 2001, sent again to all of the interest owners listed on Exhibit A, sent again by certified mail, return receipt requested, in which a correction was made from Section 31 to Section 30.
 - Q. Has that been marked Arrington Exhibit Number 4?
 - A. Yes, it has.

And that was sent to all the interest owners 1 Q. 2 listed on Exhibit Number 2? Α. Yes. Okay. Then why don't you turn, then, to 4 5 Arrington Exhibit Number 5, identify that and review that for the Examiner. 6 7 Yes, this letter dated May 2nd, year 2001, again sent to all the owners listed on Exhibit 2, sent by 8 certified mail, return receipt requested. In this 9 particular letter a proposal is made to these interest 10 owners to re-enter an existing wellbore and to proceed with 11 directional drilling operations to the same bottomhole 12 location as was originally proposed in the southeast 13 quarter of the southeast quarter. 14 There was also attached to this letter a revised 15 AFE. 16 And this is a sample letter, but a similar letter 17 went to all the interest owners on Exhibit 2; is that 18 correct? 19 20 Α. Yes. In your opinion, have you made a good-21 Okay. 22 faith effort to obtain the voluntary joinder of all the 23 interest owners shown on Exhibit Number 2? 24 Α. Yes.

Okay, and does Arrington Oil and Gas seek to be

25

Q.

designated operator of the proposed well? 1 2 Α. Yes. Is Arrington Exhibit Number 6 an affidavit with 3 attached letters giving notice of this hearing? 4 Yes, it is. 5 Were Arrington's Exhibits 1 through 6 prepared by 6 Q. 7 you or compiled under your direction and supervision? 8 Α. Yes. MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I would move the 9 admission into evidence of Arrington Exhibits 1 through 6. 10 Exhibits 1 through 6 will be 11 EXAMINER STOGNER: admitted into evidence. 12 MR. FELDEWERT: And that concludes my direct 13 examination of this witness. 14 **EXAMINATION** 15 BY EXAMINER STOGNER: 16 Again, Mr. Diffee, referring to Exhibit Number 2, 17 Q. all of these parties that are represented here are to be 18 force pooled? 19 20 Α. Yes. Now, have you had written correspondence or any 21 Q. kind of communications with any of these people? 22 23 Α. Yes, we have. How about written communications with anybody? 24 Q. 25 Α. Yes, everything, of course, sent by certified

mail, return receipt requested.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

19

20

21

22

25

- Q. I mean from these parties, what kind of --
- A. Yes, we've had those receipts, of course, returned to us, and we've had verbal communications with them.

And again, as previously stated, we have verbal commitments from all of these parties to either lease their minerals or to participate in the drilling of the well.

- Q. But nobody has signed?
- A. Correct, in all forms.
- Q. What's the date of your AFE that you've submitted? Is that February, 2001?
- A. I'm checking my package here for a copy of the
 AFE. The date of the AFE appears to be April the 25th of
 year 2001.
 - MR. FELDEWERT: I believe it's attached to Arrington Exhibit Number 5.

18 THE WITNESS: Correct.

- Q. (By Examiner Stogner) Okay, the AFE that's attached to Exhibit Number 5. So that is the latest one, and that's the one you're referring to?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And how much more is being included for the directional drilling portion of this well?
 - A. You know, I would really defer that question to

the geologist that works for Arrington as being probably 1 2 more closely related to those costs. EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. And who's going to 3 discuss the directional drilling portion of this, Mr. 4 5 Feldewert? MR. FELDEWERT: Our next witness. 6 7 EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other questions of this 8 witness? MR. FELDEWERT: No, Mr. Examiner. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. BROOKS: 11 The owners listed on Exhibit Number 2, these are 12 0. working interest owners? 13 The first three parties, Bellwether Exploration Α. 14 Company; Robert L. Graham, Jr.; and Brook H. Graham, are 15 mineral interest owners, an undivided interest under the 16 entire south half of Section 30, and the remaining parties 17 on the list are leasehold owners. 18 Any unleased interests? These first three are Q. 19 unleased interests? 2.0 Which all three of these parties have verbally 21 Α. committed to execute oil and gas leases in favor of David 22 23 H. Arrington Oil and Gas, Inc., however as of this date we 24 have not received those oil and gas leases. 25 Q. And the other people listed below David

Petroleum, McMillan, et al., these are all owners of 1 working interests or --2 Leasehold. 3 Α. They're --4 Q. 5 Α. Yes, and they have agreed to participate in the 6 drilling of the well. In the drilling of the well. 7 Q. 8 Α. Yes, sir. 9 Q. Orally agreed --10 Α. Absolutely. -- to participate in the drilling of the well? 11 Q. Uh-huh. 12 Α. But no one has agreed in writing to any of 13 Q. 14 these --We have signatures on the AFE, but the joint 15 Α. 16 operating agreement has not yet been executed by all these 17 parties. Okay, and these parties collectively own 100 18 19 percent of the working interest? That's correct, all parties listed on Exhibit 2, 20 inclusive of David H. Arrington Oil and Gas, Inc., would 21 22 own 100 percent. MR. BROOKS: Okay, nothing further. 23 24 EXAMINER STOGNER: You may be excused. 25 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

1	JOHN R. MCRAE,
2	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
3	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. FELDEWERT:
6	Q. Mr. McRae, would you please state your full name
7	and address for the record?
8	A. My name is John Robert McRae, and I reside in
9	Midland, Texas.
10	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
11	A. David H. Arrington Oil and Gas as senior
12	exploration geologist.
13	Q. Have you previously testified before this
14	Division and had your credentials as a petroleum geologist
15	accepted and made a matter of record?
16	A. Yes, I have.
17	Q. And are you familiar with the Application filed
18	in this case?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Have you made a study of the area that is the
21	subject of this Application?
22	A. Yes.
23	MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, are the witness's
24	qualifications acceptable?
25	EXAMINER STOGNER: They are.

(By Mr. Feldewert) Would you briefly describe 1 Q. Arrington Oil and Gas's drilling plan for its proposed 2 John's Hopper Well Number 1? 3 We propose to re-enter the BTA well in Unit O of 4 Section 30, 15 South, 35 East, and directionally drill to a 5 standard bottomhole location 660 from the south, 660 from 6 the east line of Section 30 to test the Atoka, Morrow and 7 8 Mississippian formations. 9 What is the primary target for Arrington's 10 proposed John's Hopper Well Number 1? The primary target is the Atoka, Morrow and 11 Α. Mississippian gas reservoirs. 12 Okay, why don't you now turn to Arrington Exhibit 13 Number 7 and discuss with the Examiner the production in 14 the area that's surrounding Section 30? 15 16 Okay, Exhibit 7 is a nine-section plat with Section 30 in the center. Outlined in red is the proposed 17 spacing unit, which is the south half of Section 30. On it 18 19 I have color-coded the wells that are currently producing. 20 The blue wells are Wolfcamp/Permo-Penn wells. say currently producing; they either have produced from the 21 Permo-Penn or they are currently producing. 22 The tan wells are producing from the Strawn 23 reservoir. 24 25 I've also noted below each well symbol the TD of

the well, and the open well symbols, which are just a circle, are locations at the present time, or currently drilling wells.

Also I've noted in green an arbitrary seismic line, which we'll enter as another exhibit, that starts in the south half of Section 30 and goes through the BTA well, along the proposed directional leg of this re-entry, ending at the standard bottomhole location in the southeast southeast.

- Q. Do you have any well control data in this area for the -- your primary targets, the Atoka, Morrow and Mississippian?
- A. As of this date there's no Atoka, Morrow or Mississippian gas reservoirs producing in this nine-section area.
- Q. With respect to that primary zone, what is the status of that BTA well that you're re-entering? What's the history there?
- A. The BTA well was drilled in 1994. It was plugged and abandoned 7-12-94, and it's our plan to re-enter that well and to kick it.
 - Q. Was it a Mississippian test?
 - A. It tested the very top of the Mississippian.
 - Q. And was it dry there?
 - A. It was dry.

- Q. Okay. Now, there's a Yates well that is shown in the adjacent Section 29. Do you know the status of that well and what it tested?
- A. There's two wells -- well, actually three wells in Section 29. The Big Bear Number 2, which is in the southeast quarter of Section 29, according to the PI reports, Petroleum Information reports, that well TD'd at 13,255 and was plugged and abandoned. There's been no logs or data released on that well to date since it was plugged. We assume it was dry in the Atoka and the Morrow and the Mississippian. The Big Bear Number 2, we heard in the previous case, is producing from an Atoka oil reservoir.

And the well in the northwest of Section 29 TD'd at 13,000, which was in the upper part of the Atoka, and it's currently producing from the Permo-Penn.

- Q. So would you consider your proposal here to be a wildcat in this area for the Atoka, Morrow and Mississippian?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Why don't you then identify for the Examiner Arrington Exhibit Number 8?
- A. Exhibit Number 8 is a type log, which is the BTA Number 1 Townsend well, located in the southwest southeast of Section 30. It's a gamma-ray sonic log. It starts at approximately 9100 feet and goes to TD at 12,750.

On this log I've noted several things. In black I've put on the formation tops, top of the Wolfcamp 9712, and then Cisco, Canyon, Strawn, Strawn clastics, Atoka shale, Atoka lime, Morrow lime and Mississippian Austin formations.

Also on this log I've put in red our proposed kickoff point from the BTA well at 9250 and the estimated end of building of the angle at 9884, and these are true vertical depths. So that shows the formations that we will be encountering as we drill this directional well.

- Q. Okay. Now, you reference a seismic line on Arrington Exhibit Number 7. Why don't you turn to Arrington Exhibit Number 9, identify that and explain that to the Examiner, please.
- A. Okay, Exhibit Number 9 is an arbitrary seismic line A, which is denoted on Exhibit 7 as a green line. As I stated before, it starts in the west half of the south half of Section 30 and goes in the east-southeasterly direction through the BTA well and ends at our standard bottomhole location in the southeast southeast of Section 30.

As you can see on the seismic line, which is Exhibit 9, on the left-hand side it says northwest, on the right side it says southeast.

Also noted at the top is the BTA Number 1

Townsend location. And below that well in a dark line is the wellbore of that BTA well.

Also at the bottom on the right-hand side, the formation tops are noted. There's a red line that says Morrow lime. The blue line is the Austin, top of the Mississippian. The green is the Chester, and the rose color is lower Miss.

The BTA well encountered only the top of the Mississippian Austin formation, as denoted by that black line below the BTA Townsend well symbol.

In red is our proposed directional drill. We will kick the well just above the top of the Wolfcamp and TD the well just in the top of the lower Mississippian.

- Q. So you're trying to reach that thicker area shown on the seismic?
- A. Correct. As you look at the seismic line, there's a fault noted with the upthrown side and the downthrown side. If you look at the interval between the top of the Morrow lime and the top of the Austin, you'll notice that the Morrow interval is much thicker on the downthrown side. Also the Austin-to-Chester interval is thicker on the downthrown side of that fault, and that's the proposed target for this re-entry.
- Q. Okay. Now, based on your analysis of this area, do you believe that there's a chance you could drill this

directional well at your proposed location and it would not be a commercial success?

A. There's always that risk. First of all, there's no Atoka-Morrow-Mississippian gas reservoirs in this ninesection area. Second of all, the BTA well tested the Morrow lime but they did not test the Austin, and it was a dry hole in those lower intervals.

We have found in this area to the south, 16-35, that if you drill into the thicker sections on the downthrown side of the faults, quite often you find sands. But the risk is whether we'll find any sand or not. We just don't know that. There have been wells drilled on downthrown sides of faults in thicker intervals that have no sand, so there's significant risk involved.

- Q. So what is your recommendation to the Examiner as to the risk penalty that should be assessed against nonconsenting interest owners for this project?
- A. Because there is no production in here from these reservoirs and it is a high-risk venture, we're asking for 200 percent.
- Q. Okay. Now, Arrington is seeking approval of potential unorthodox well locations in shallower oil formations; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay, why don't you turn to Arrington Exhibit

Number 10, identify that for the Examiner and explain it to him, please?

A. Exhibit 10 is a vertical profile of the proposed re-entry direction, prepared by Baker Hughes INTEQ. This is a busy exhibit, so what I'll do is just kind of go through and explain what each of these lines represent.

You start at the top -- north -- Let's see, it would be the left top part of this exhibit, we show "BTA Oil Producers' Townsend Number 1" well location, and that's denoted by a heavy black line, vertical line.

In the lower left-hand corner I have "Unorthodox Area for Wolfcamp, Cisco and Canyon", and that's denoted between the two vertical red lines.

Then there's an unorthodox area for Strawn and Atoka oil reservoirs, and that's denoted between the blue line and the far right red line.

Also, there are horizontal lines across this exhibit that represent the top of the Wolfcamp, top of the Cisco, top of the Canyon, Strawn, Atoka shale and Atoka lime, Morrow lime and Mississippian Austin. These are all true vertical depths, and these are the depths that were shown on Exhibit 8, which was the type log.

Also of the two red lines, the left red line is the west line of the southeast southeast quarter of Section 30.

Q. Okay, why don't you then turn to Arrington -- Do you want the Examiner to keep this exhibit out for a minute?

- A. Yes, Exhibit 11 and Exhibit 12, which we'll discuss now will explain a little bit more what Exhibit 10 is showing, so if you'll keep all three exhibits out, we can talk about that.
- Q. Okay, why don't you identify Arrington Exhibit Number 11 and go through that with the Examiner, please?
- A. All right, Exhibit 11 is a plat showing the southeast quarter of Section 30, and it shows each of the quarter quarters in the southeast quarter. Within the southeast southeast of Section 30 I have a box drawn, which is the orthodox window for an oil reservoir, 330 from the outside lines and 330 from the quarter quarter lines.

Also I'm showing the orthodox location for the southwest of the southeast, in which is located the BTA Number 1 Townsend well. As you can see, that well is located on the extreme right side of the orthodox window. When we re-enter this well and kick it, we'll re-enter it just above the top of the Wolfcamp, so we will encounter the Wolfcamp very quickly. It actually will be in the unorthodox area, between the orthodox window and the east quarter quarter line.

I've also noted on the directional portion of

this wellbore where we'll encounter the Cisco, the Canyon, the Strawn and the Atoka shale. All of these are based on the tops in the BTA well. There's a lot of stratigraphic things going on in this particular interval, and so we're not sure exactly where we'll encounter that. But the area that we're asking for, unorthodox for oil reservoirs in the Wolfcamp, Cisco and Canyon, is between the two red lines, and it's shaded in red.

- Q. Okay, so would that be -- What would be the footage location that you're seeking approval for, for an unorthodox location?
- A. In our Application we ask for unorthodox no closer than 10 feet from the west line of the southeast southeast. And at the bottom I've noted the 1320 width for the southeast southeast. I've also noted the 1310, which would represent ten feet from that quarter quarter line, all the way back to the 6- -- or 330 from the west quarter quarter line.
- Q. Okay, and that would be for the Wolfcamp, the Cisco and the Canyon?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. Now, you left the Wolfcamp in there because -- why?
- A. The Cisco top, as I mentioned before, this area has a lot of stratigraphic complexities, and the top of the

- Cisco, as we've noted on this Exhibit 8, can be higher or lower in the section, depending on the stratigraphy. It's very possible that the lower portion of the Wolfcamp will fall in the southeast southeast, and the top of the Cisco will be much further to the east than I've noted.
- Q. Okay. Now, your Application indicates that Arrington is seeking approval of an unorthodox oil well location for the Strawn and the Atoka at 660 feet from the south line and 1170 feet from the east line; is that correct?
- A. Correct, and that's noted on Exhibit 12.
- Q. Okay, so is Exhibit Number 12 a map of the area for this Strawn and Atoka area?
 - A. That's correct. The left side is marked in blue, the right is marked in red, and it's shaded blue where it will be unorthodox.
 - Q. Okay. Does Arrington Oil and Gas intend to run a directional survey of this well?
- 19 A. Yes, we do.
- 20 Q. All right.

A. I'd like to go back to Exhibit 10 at this point.

As I noted before, we showed the vertical wellbore, the BTA well. Also in black it shows the directional angle. Now that we've looked at Exhibits 12 and 11, showing the unorthodox areas that we're interested in, I'd like to just

walk down this directional portion of the well.

We're going to kick the well at 9250, which will be above the top of the Wolfcamp. The first horizontal line that we encounter, which is about 9700, will be the top of the Wolfcamp.

Then the next line that we encounter is that vertical red line, which is the west line of the southeast southeast. And then ten feet to the right would be 1310, which we enter the left side of the unorthodox area for the Wolfcamp, Cisco and Canyon.

Then at about 11,000 feet we encounter the top of the Canyon, then at about 11,200 feet we encounter the west side of the unorthodox area for the Strawn and Atoka oil reservoirs, then at 11,645 top of the Strawn, 11,870 top of the Atoka shale, and then we cross the red line into the orthodox window for oil reservoirs, and we should encounter the top of the Atoka lime approximately 12,000 feet. And then we're orthodox for Atoka, Morrow and Mississippian gas reservoirs.

The bottom of this directional well will be -The hard line is 660 from south and east of the southeast
quarter.

- Q. Okay. Would you pull out the AFE for this well, which is attached as Arrington Exhibit Number 5?
 - A. Okay.

- Q. The costs that are shown on this exhibit, are they in line with what has been shown by Arrington and other operators in the area for similar wells?
- A. Yes, we've drilled quite a few wells in this area. In fact, we have a well drilling in 16-35 at this present time, and these costs are essentially actual costs.
- Q. Okay. Now, there was a cost savings here by using this directional plat; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. Okay. Mr. Stogner had a question about the directional well components of this AFE. Can you identify those for him, please?
- A. All right. The BTA well, as I stated before, drilled to 12,750. They shot the 5-1/2-inch casing at 10,080 and -- I'm sorry, they were not able to get it free there. They shot the 5-1/2-inch at 9380, and they pulled that 5-1/2-inch casing out.

So we're going to set a plug, and we're going to kick the well at 9250, right above the old plug. That will save a substantial amount by not having to set surface casing or intermediate, because we can use the old casing.

The directional portion, as shown on this AFE is line 8. It says "Drilling - Directional". We estimate 20 days at \$7300 a day, for a total of \$146,000 drilling costs to do the directional work.

Have you made an estimate of the overhead and 1 0. 2 administrative costs while drilling this well and also while producing if it is successful? 3 Α. Yes. Q. And what are those amounts? 5 \$6000 for a drilling well and \$600 a month for Α. 6 7 operations overhead. Are these overhead rates and administrative costs 8 in line with what's being charged by the operators in the 9 10 area? Yes. 11 Α. Are these the rates that are going to be included 12 in the JOA for this property? 13 14 Α. Correct. Do you recommend that they be incorporated into 15 Q. any order that results from this hearing? 16 17 Α. Yes. Does Arrington request that these overhead rates 18 Q. approved by the Division be subject to adjustment in 19 accordance with Section III.1.A.3 of the COPAS form titled 20 "Accounting Procedures - Joint Operations"? 21 22 Α. Yes. In your opinion, will the granting of this 23 Application be in the best interests of conservation, the 24 prevention of waste and the protection of correlative 25

rights? 1 Α. 2 Yes. Were Arrington Exhibits 7 through 12 prepared and 3 Q. compiled under your supervision and direction? 4 Yes, they were. 5 Α. MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I move the 6 admission into evidence of Arrington Exhibits 7 through 12. 7 8 EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 7 through 12 will be 9 admitted into evidence. 10 MR. FELDEWERT: And that concludes my direct examination of this witness. 11 12 EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER STOGNER: 13 What zones are actually being force pooled? 14 0. really don't see that in the advertisement. From where to 15 16 where? I take that back, it's down there at the bottom. 17 You're going from the surface to the base of the 18 19 Mississippian; is that correct? That's correct. 20 Α. Okay. Before the kickoff point, which is above 21 the top of the Wolfcamp, what formations or what producing 22 formations are in that interval from the surface down to 23 the Wolfcamp? 24 25 Α. To date, there are no producing -- None of those

shallower horizons produce in that immediate area on this plat that I've provided. Let's see, where is it here?

There is some Abo production immediately to the -- well, I say immediately to the south: in the township to the south of us. But in 15-35 there is no shallow producing zones to date. When I say shallow, I mean

- Q. Shallower than the Wolfcamp, yes.
- A. Correct.

shallower than the Wolfcamp.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

- Q. You're referring to -- You're asking for a risk penalty of 200 percent, plus payback. Now, I can see that from the Wolfcamp down to the Mississippian, you're kicking off an existing well, so that's essentially a new drill, but re-entering an old well for anything above that, but you're saying there's really nothing up there; is that correct?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. And when was this well originally drilled and plugged?
- 20 A. Let's see --
- 21 Q. You said 1994 or something like that?
- 22 A. It was plugged 7-12-94.
- Q. So it was dry and abandoned, so that would mean it was drilled in 1994 also; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.

1	Q. Are there any 80-acre pools in this particular
2	area, or within a mile of this area, that you know of?
3	A. Oh, let's see. Okay, the Big Dog-Strawn
4	immediately southeast of us in the northwest of Section 32.
5	MR. FELDEWERT: I believe that's 40 acres.
6	THE WITNESS: That's 40.
7	MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah.
8	THE WITNESS: Okay.
9	MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, we can check. I
10	don't think there's any 80-acre.
11	EXAMINER STOGNER: All right.
12	THE WITNESS: And then the Permo-Penn, Townsend-
13	Permo-Upper Penn, those are also 40 acres.
14	Q. (By Examiner Stogner) Okay. Because you're
15	northwest of the City of Lovington, are you not?
16	A. Yes, sir.
17	Q. So back to the south of you I know there's a lot
18	of 80-acre pools, but you're in an area where it hasn't
19	quite reached up there, then, is what you're saying?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, I have no other
22	questions of this witness.
23	Are there any other questions?
24	MR. FELDEWERT: No, Mr. Examiner.
25	MR. BROOKS: No.

```
EXAMINER STOGNER: You may be excused.
 1
 2
                 THE WITNESS:
                                Thank you.
                 EXAMINER STOGNER: Is there anything further in
 3
     this case?
 4
 5
                 MR. FELDEWERT:
                                  No.
                 EXAMINER STOGNER: Then Case 12,682 will be taken
 6
 7
     under advisement.
                 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
 8
     11:35 a.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
                                  I do hereby cartify that the foregoing is
15
                                  a complime record of the proceedings in
                                              Je and of Case No. 12682.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL July 1st, 2001.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002