Page	1

# **NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION**

# **EXAMINER HEARING**

# **SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO**

Hearing Date	AUGUST 9, 2001	Time_8:15 A.M.
_		
NAME	REPRESENTING	LOCATION
Willalin	Kellit Jell	A. Santa Fe
Mike Feldewert	Holland + Hatt an	Santa Fe
James Bu	campbell + Cats	Soutate
MegMuhlinghan Jos & Sallies	1 / N 25 ///	OKI, OK
Scott German	Nadel + Gussman Permi	AN NIOWOTY
JAM Jolliffe	'(	11
William & McCaw	SECK	ATTICSIA, NM
	į .	

#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

# ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 12,704

APPLICATION OF TIFFANY GAS COMPANY
APPEALING ORDER ASSESSING A CIVIL
PENALTY, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

**ORIGINAL** 

### REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

#### EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner

August 9th, 2001

Santa Fe, New Mexico

新 十 5

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID R. CATANACH,
Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, August 9th, 2001, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department,
1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7
for the State of New Mexico.

## INDEX

August 9th, 2001

Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 12,704	
	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	4
APPLICANT'S WITNESS:	
BRUCE MARTIN (Deputy Oil and Gas Inspector, Aztec District Office, District 3, NMOCD) Direct Examination by Mr. Brooks Cross-Examination by Mr. Burr Examination by Examiner Catanach	9 23 38
TIFFANY WITNESS:	
JIMMY D. HICKS (Engineer) (Present by telephone) Direct Examination by Mr. Burr Cross-Examination by Mr. Brooks Examination by Examiner Catanach	42 51 53
CLOSING ARGUMENTS: By Mr. Brooks By Mr. Burr	57 58
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	61

			3
	ЕХНІВІТS		
Applicant's	Identified	Admitted	
Exhibit 1	10	22	
Exhibit 2	10	22	
Exhibit 3	10	22	
Exhibit 4	(not identified	d or offered)	
Exhibit 5	11	22	
Exhibit 6	14	22	
Exhibit 7	15	22	
Exhibit 8	18	41	
Exhibit 9	18	41	
Exhibit 10	18	41	
Exhibit 11	18	41	
Affidavit	6	7	
	* * *		
Tiffany:	Identified	Admitted	
Exhibit G-1	23	_	
Exhibit G-2	23	-	
Exhibit G-3	23	_	
Exhibit G-4	24	-	

## APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

DAVID BROOKS
Attorney at Law
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
Assistant General Counsel
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR TIFFANY GAS COMPANY:

BURR & COOLEY
2901 East 20th Street
Farmington, New Mexico 87402
By: JOEL BURR (Present by telephone)

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 8:25 a.m.: EXAMINER CATANACH: Call the hearing to order 3 I will call the this morning for Docket Number 26-06. 4 5 continuances and dismissals at this time. 6 (Off the record) 7 EXAMINER CATANACH: At this time I will call Case 8 12,704, which is the -- Maybe we should get Mr. Burr on the 9 phone before I call the case. 10 (Off the record) EXAMINER CATANACH: With that, we'll proceed with 11 the case. Let me call the case, Case 12,704, which is the 12 Application of Tiffany Gas Company appealing order 13 assessing a civil penalty, San Juan County, New Mexico. 14 Call for appearances in this case. 15 MR. BROOKS: May it please the Examiner, I'm 16 David Brooks with the Department of Energy, Minerals and 17 Natural Resources appearing on behalf of the New Mexico Oil 18 Conservation Division. 19 EXAMINER CATANACH: Call for additional 20 appearances. 21 22 MR. BURR: Mr. Examiner, my name is Joel Burr, 23 J-o-e-l B-u-r-r. I am a licensed attorney in the State of New Mexico. My offices are at 2901 East 20th Street, 24 Farmington, New Mexico, and I appear on behalf of the 25

Respondent, Tiffany Gas Company. 1 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. 2 I have one witness, Mr. Examiner. 3 MR. BROOKS: EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Burr, do you have any 4 5 witnesses today? MR. BURR: Yes, I have one witness, depending --6 on direct. His name is Jimmy Hicks, who is an officer at 7 Hicks Oil and Gas Company, and Hicks Oil and Gas was 8 contracted by Tiffany to pump the subject well and to 9 submit all the reports required in connection with 10 operating that well. And we have filed an affidavit of Mr. 11 12 Hicks with the exhibits, which is part of our appeal, and it's in the record. 13 And we would hope in good faith that perhaps with 14 15 the affidavit we would be able to -- it might form the basis of some type of equitable settlement between the 16 staff, the Division and the Respondent. 17 But that hasn't occurred, so in the interest of 18 time I would like to introduce that affidavit with the 19 exhibits into evidence, in lieu of taking the testimony on 20 direct of Mr. Hicks. All of this, of course, would be 21 subject to the right of Mr. Brooks to cross-examine Mr. 22 Hicks concerning the affidavit. 23 24 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. Mr. Brooks, have you examined the affidavit?

MR. BROOKS: I have. 1 EXAMINER CATANACH: Do you have a copy of that? 2 MR. BROOKS: No, I don't have a copy. 3 I have examined the one that's in the case file. 4 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. Do you need a copy for 5 any purpose today? 6 7 MR. BROOKS: I don't think so for the hearing, if 8 can refer to the copy from the case file if it's necessary. EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. So as I understand it, 9 10 Mr. Burr, you would just submit your affidavit as evidence 11 in this case, and you would not have Mr. Hicks testify; is that correct? 12 Yes, I would save the time of the 13 MR. BURR: Commission in taking the direct testimony of Mr. Hicks and 14 just rely on his affidavit and the exhibits attached 15 thereto, and would offer them into evidence. Mr. Brooks is 16 free to cross-examine Mr. Hicks. It appears to me this 17 18 would save some time. EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, we will admit Mr. 19 Hicks' affidavit as evidence in this case. I don't know if 20 you want to -- Mr. Brooks, I don't know if you want to wait 21 to cross-examine Mr. Hicks at a later time or --22 MR. BROOKS: Yes, sir, I would like to put on the 23 Division's case first. 24 25 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. Is that okay, Mr.

Burr? 1 MR. BURR: I missed that. What did Mr. Brooks 2 want to do? 3 EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Brooks is going to put on the Division witness at this time. 5 MR. BURR: Okay. All right, so if the affidavit 6 7 is accepted into evidence, together with the exhibits, then 8 we will rest our case and he may proceed with the Commission case. 9 10 EXAMINER CATANACH: And you understand we may 11 call Mr. Hicks for cross-examination at a later time. 12 MR. BURR: Yes. EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. Can you hear 13 14 everything okay, Mr. Burr? 15 MR. BURR: I hear you just fine, and I hear Mr. 16 Brooks. I only missed one statement he made. 17 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, just want to make sure. Will the witness please stand to be sworn in at 18 this time? 19 20 (Thereupon, the witness was sworn.) MR. BROOKS: Okay, I have the exhibits, tender 21 the originals to the Examiner. The pictures are Xerox 22 23 copies on the others. We actually do have additional 24 copies of the pictures, however I wasn't aware of that when 25 I marked the exhibits, so the additional color copies are

not marked. 1 At this time, if it please the Examiner, the 2 Division will call Bruce Martin. 3 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. 4 5 MR. BROOKS: You've already been sworn. Ιf 6 you'll take the witness stand --7 EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Martin, why don't you sit 8 up here? 9 BRUCE MARTIN, 10 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 11 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. BROOKS: 14 Q. Mr. Martin, will you state your name for the 15 record, please? 16 Α. Yes, Bruce Martin. I'm Deputy Oil and Gas Inspector out of the Aztec District Office for the OCD. 17 And do you reside in San Juan County? 18 Q. Yes, sir, I do. 19 Α. 20 Q. Mr. Martin, are you familiar with Tiffany's 21 Navajo Number 3 well that -- I'm sorry, Navajo Number 18 22 well that is the subject of this proceeding? 23 Α. Yes, sir. 24 Q. And I want to show you the exhibits here, Exhibit 25 Number 1, and would you state what that is, please?

- A. Exhibit 1 is an application to drill the said

  Navajo Tribal 18 Number 3 well. Their intent was to drill

  surface hole with cable tools.
- Q. Okay, before you go into what's in the exhibit, was this Exhibit 1 a copy of an official record from the well file maintained in the Aztec office of the OCD?
  - A. Yes, sir, it is.

- Q. Okay. And what does that reflect about the manner in which this well was intended to be drilled?
- A. It indicates that they drilled a -- they set
  7-inch casing at 96 feet, they went in and drilled a 5-5/8
  hole to 825 feet and ran 4-1/2-inch casing.
- Q. Okay. And I will now show you what's been marked as Exhibit Number 2 and ask you to identify it.
- A. This is a well completion report received by our office and also out of our well file, and it reiterates everything that the APD had, with the exception that they have an open hole from 816 to 824 feet.
- Q. And is this again a copy of a document that is maintained in the official files of the Aztec Office of the OCD?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Now I will show you what has been marked Exhibit Number 3 and ask you to identify it.
  - A. This is a sundry notice on the same well. It

indicates the spudded the well 6-18 of 1968. The drilled an 8-inch hole to 96 feet, set three joints of 7-inch pipe, cemented with ten sacks of cement. They went in and drilled a 5-5/8 hole to 816 feet, ran 26 joints of 4-1/2-inch casing, cemented with 50 sacks of cement, and they drilled a 4-3/4-inch hole with air to 824 feet.

- Q. Okay. And is Exhibit Number 3 also a copy of an official record maintained in the Aztec Office of the OCD?
  - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Now, there is no Exhibit 4, because the document that was marked is not going to be offered, so I will show you what's been marked as Exhibit Number 5 and ask if you can identify it.
- A. Yes, this is a sundry notice dated 8-25 of 1988. The comments are, "Unable to produce in paying quantities under existing market conditions" and that they have "removed the pump jack do to [sic]" vandalism.
- Q. Okay. Well, we'll get into the significance of that statement in a little bit, but once again is Exhibit Number 5 a copy of an official document that's maintained in the Aztec Office of the OCD?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. I have so far been asking you only about facts, so I haven't gone into your experience, but could you briefly state your experience in working with oilfield

equipment and gas wells?

A. Yes, I've got a chemistry degree from Colorado
State University. I worked 19 years in management with
City of Farmington; I worked two years as an oil and gas
production operator for Holcomb Oil and Gas in Farmington,
New Mexico; I worked four years for Williams Field Service
at an amine treating plant in Bloomfield, New Mexico; and
for the past three years I've been an OCD inspector.

MR. BROOKS: Okay, Mr. Examiner, I ask that the witness's credentials as an expert in oilfield operations be accepted.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Any objection, Mr. Burr?
MR. BURR: No.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Martin is considered qualified.

MR. BROOKS: Very good. Thank you, Mr. Examiner.

- Q. (By Mr. Brooks) Would you explain to the Examiner what -- Well, for the purpose of the record, because I'm sure the Examiner understands it very well, much better than I do, but would you explain what the purpose of a Bradenhead test is?
- A. Yes, the purpose of the Bradenhead test is to assure the mechanical integrity of the well casing, to prevent the waste of oil and gas and prevent contamination of the environment and protect health.

- Q. And what physically is a Bradenhead test?
- A. The Bradenhead test is a valve on the annulus of the surface casing and the casing string.
- Q. And if the well were correctly equipped, the way wells normally are, that annulus would be closed off with a valve at the top of the casing --
  - A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
  - Q. -- is that correct?

And what does the test person do when they take a Bradenhead test?

- A. The requirements of the OCD is that the well be shut in 24 hours prior to the test, that the valve be dug up and the operation of the valves inspected to make sure they're operable, and after that 24-hour shut-in the operator will attach a pressure gauge to the Bradenhead valve, open it up, note any pressures or fluids and note it on the Bradenhead test report. If there's any fluid, then the test will continue for 30 minutes. All pressures, casing strings, will be noted on the Bradenhead test report.
- Q. And is this a routine procedure that is required to be conducted from time to time by the regulations of the New Mexico Oil and Gas -- Oil Conservation Division?
- A. Yes, sir, OCD rules require that this be performed every three years, whether or not the well is

producing or not.

- Q. Okay. In addition to that I'm going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit Number 6. I ask you to identify it.
- A. This is a memorandum sent by Charlie T. Perrin,

  Deputy Oil and Gas Inspector for District 3. It's a

  schedule for the Bradenhead tests that are required

  December -- the date of this memorandum is December 15th,

  1999.

It indicates the method by which the Bradenhead test will be conducted, spelling out basically what I just told you, indicate whether the well is shut in or producing, record pressures measured on the tubing in each casing string, including the intermediate casing, using a deadweight test or a calibrated pressure gauge, open valve to atmosphere, record pressures at each five-minute interval, describe any discharge.

And at the bottom of page 2 it says, "All buried valves <u>MUST</u> be dug out and checked <u>BEFORE</u> testing begins."

- Q. Okay, was Exhibit Number 6 disseminated to all of the operators in the San Juan area of which the OCD has records?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. But that was not done by certified mail, was it?
  - A. I don't believe it was.

- Q. Okay, so we don't have a receipt to prove that Tiffany actually received it?
  - A. No, sir.

- Q. Okay. I'm now going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit Number 7, ask you to identify it.
- A. This is the actual Bradenhead test report submitted on the day of the test, 6-13 of 2000, and our office received it, it appears, on June 23rd, 2000, signed by agent Jim Hicks. In this test Mr. Hicks notes the pressures that he obtained when he did the Bradenhead test.
- Q. Okay, and in your experience as an examiner for the OCD, would the receipt of that document cause you to conclude that Mr. Hicks or someone acting under his direction had gone out to the well, dug out the Bradenhead valve, opened it and conducted the test --
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. -- as prescribed?
    - A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- Q. Does his signature on that document reflect, in your opinion, your familiarity with OCD records, does that reflect a certification that that was, in fact, done?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, did you have occasion to examine the location at the Navajo Number 18 sometime within a short period of time after June the 23rd of 2000?

A. Yes, sir, on July 18th of 2000 I had occasion to visit the Tiffany Gas Navajo 18 Well Number 3. I took a copy of the Bradenhead test with me to verify the accuracy of the test. Please note that the well indicates that it was a producing well. He indicated he obtained a pressure of 20 pounds on the tubing, the intermediate casing string was not applicable, he obtained a pressure of 45 pounds on the casing string, and he obtained a Bradenhead pressure of zero.

Also note below the "intermediate flowed", he's got an arrow drawn through it that says not applicable.

Under the "Bradenhead flowed" he's got checked "nothing".

Upon examination of the well, I could not locate a Bradenhead valve. A Bradenhead pressure of zero indicates to me that he's done the test correctly, he's put the gauge on the Bradenhead valve, opened it, he obtained zero pressure, he took the gauge off, opened the valve, and it had nothing on it.

Also, he indicates he had a tubing pressure of 20 pounds, and if you'll examine your photographs, which have not --

- Q. -- been offered yet.
- A. -- been offered yet, do you want to --
- Q. Well, we will. Let me first ask you, what is the significance of the different casing pressures and tubing

pressures shown on the -- reflected on the exhibit? 1 2 Okay, the tubing pressure and the casing pressure indicate pressures on those casing strings located within 3 the wellbore, and this particular Bradenhead test would 4 indicate that there were two production strings inside the 5 surface casing bore. 6 Okay. If -- Assuming that no test was actually 7 0. 8 taken, would you say that somebody had to show a certain 9 amount of imagination in order to make up those figures and 10 put them on that report? 11 MR. BURR: Objection --12 THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BURR: -- we do not need that description 13 14 from counsel. EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Brooks, can you rephrase 15 16 that question? 17 MR. BURR: The Hearing Examiner will have to conclude --18 EXAMINER CATANACH: I've asked Mr. Brooks to 19 20 rephrase his question. (By Mr. Brooks) Okay, I will rephrase the 21 Q. 22 question. Mr. Martin, is there any way that a person could 23 24 have come to the conclusions that those pressures actually 25 existed on the casing and the tubing as specified in that

1 report without taking a test? 2 Α. No, sir. Objection, calls for a conclusion of MR. BURR: 3 There hasn't been sufficient predicate laid 4 the witness. 5 that he is an expert on psychiatry as to what somebody would conclude and what somebody would not conclude. 6 7 EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Burr, I'm going to allow 8 that question. (By Mr. Brooks) Okay, go ahead and answer the 9 Q. 10 question, please, Mr. Martin. No, sir, I don't believe so. Upon examination of 11 Α. 12 the photographs, please note that there is no tubing string, so --13 We'll go ahead to the photographs now, Mr. 14 Q. I'm going to show you photographs that have been 15 Martin. marked as Exhibits 8, 9, 10 and 11 and ask you if you can 16 17 identify them. 18 Yes, sir, these are photographs that I took on four separate occasions upon visiting the Tiffany Gas 19 20 Navajo 18 Number 3. 21 And are there dates on those photographs? Q. 22 Yes, sir, there is. Α. And would you read those dates, please, giving 23 Q. the exhibit number and the date? 24

25

Α.

Exhibit Number 8 is dated 7-18 of 2000, Exhibit

Number 9 is dated 8-17 of 2000, Exhibit Number 10 is dated 8-18 of 2000, and Exhibit 11 is dated 8-23 of 2000.

- Q. Are the dates that appear on the originals of those photographs the dates on which those photographs were taken?
- A. Yes, sir, these dates are imprinted with -- We've got a digital camera, the dates are imprinted by the camera and they also match the dates that I was -- visited the site.
  - Q. And did you personally take those photographs?
  - A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. And is each of those photographs, Exhibits 8, 9, 10 and 11, a true and accurate representation of the equipment on the Navajo 18 site --
  - A. Yes --
  - Q. -- at the time that you examined it?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay, could you characterize what those photographs show?
- A. Okay, Exhibit Number 8 is the initial visit I made to the site on 7-18 of 2000, and it shows the wellbore in question. My initial field trip report was that the Bradenhead valve was not plumb to the surface and that the well sign has the wrong operator name on it.

At that time I contacted Tiffany Oil and Gas, and

they said they would correct the sign, and --

Q. Which they subsequently did?

A. Which they subsequently did, yes, sir. I informed them that we needed to retest the Bradenhead, and Mr. Hicks was out of the office -- apparently he was in Colorado -- and I was given the phone number of a Mr. Wendell Webb, who I contacted. He said he would go out there and attempt to locate the Bradenhead valve.

Exhibit Number 9, dated 8-17 of 2000, I went out and the note I put on my trip report was, I checked the progress. The Tiffany 18 Number 3 well sign is okay, and he's digging up the Bradenhead valve.

Exhibit Number 10, dated 8-18 of 2000, I talked to Mr. Wendell Webb with Tiffany, and he's indicated that the dirt was packed so hard around the casing string that he was having trouble digging it out and that he was going to get some water and bring it back the same day and he was going to dig some more.

He called me back and said that he was unable to locate a Bradenhead valve.

The final exhibit, Number 11, dated 8-23-2000, just shows basically the same condition of the valve as when I was there on the previous week before that, on the 17th.

Q. Do any of those exhibits, 8 through 11, reflect

that the top of the casing was ever reached in their 1 digging around there, top of the 7-inch casing? 2 No, sir. 3 Α. Does the condition of the dirt around the top of 4 Q. 5 the well indicate anything to you about the probability that there was a Bradenhead test actually conducted on that 6 well in June of 2000? 7 8 Α. No, sir. MR. BURR: Objection, speculation. 9 MR. BROOKS: Well, I believe the witness answered 10 that it did not indicate anything; is that --11 1.2 THE WITNESS: No, sir, it did not. 0. (By Mr. Brooks) Okay. Is there any indication 13 that you found on the site that led you to conclude that 14 there was not a Bradenhead test taken on June 23rd? 15 Yes, sir, there was no Bradenhead valve. 16 A. there was no tubing valve, so a pressure on the tubing 17 could not have been obtained. Yet there was one on the 18 test, there's an indication that he obtained a 20-pound 19 test on the tubing string. 20 21 Q. Okay, I call your attention to Exhibit Number 5. 22 I believe that was the one that had the observation about 23 the pumpjack? Yes. 24 Α. Could you tell me what, in your opinion, is 25 Q.

significant about that statement about the pumpjack?

- A. Well, it appears to be that at one time there was a pumpjack on location, which would have indicated that there was another rod string and a pump downhole, and at some time it was removed.
- Q. Okay. In what sense is that relevant to the present situation? Or is it?
  - A. I don't believe it is.

MR. BROOKS: Okay, very good.

We tender Exhibits Numbers 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11, Mr. Examiner.

MR. BURR: Mr. Examiner, I have no objection to the exhibits, which are the records of the Division on file with the Division. I withhold my acceptance of the introduction of the exhibits which are the photographs till I've had an opportunity to question the witness on voir dire with respect to those pictures. I do not have copies of them that I can clearly see here, so I'd like to ask him a few questions before I would be ready to --

MR. BROOKS: Okay, well, I'm going to pass the witness, so it will not be necessary to take them on voir dire.

EXAMINER CATANACH: All right, let me admit Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7 at this time, and we will hold off on admitting the other exhibits until you've had a

chance to cross-examine the witness, Mr. Burr. 1 MR. BROOKS: Very good, I'll pass the witness. 2 EXAMINER CATANACH: Go ahead, Mr. Burr. 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. BURR: 5 Okay, Mr. Martin, I would like for you to look at 0. Respondent's Exhibit 2 -- G-1, -2, -3 and -4, which are in 7 the file, which purport to be colored pictures --8 EXAMINER CATANACH: Hold on, Mr. Burr. Mr. Burr, 9 could you hold on for a second? 10 11 MR. BURR: Yes. 12 EXAMINER CATANACH: Please. All right, Mr. Burr, 13 you may proceed. 14 (By Mr. Burr) Okay, I would like for you to look 0. at Exhibits G, G-1, G-2 and G-3, which purport to be 15 16 pictures of the well location and well sign taken by Respondent and filed in this case. Would you please get 17 18 those and look at them? 19 Yes, sir, I've got them in front of me. 20 All right, I'd like for you to tell me what 0. differences there are between those pictures and the 21 22 pictures that you took, that I am not able to read. Okay, Exhibit G-1 is identical to our Exhibit 23 24 Number 9. It shows the Tiffany Gas Company sign has replaced the previous well sign, and it is -- it's at a 25

- different angle, but the photo is essentially exactly the same.
  - Q. Okay, let's just stop right there. Now, with respect to that well sign, that well sign is identical with the well sign that you found on location --
    - A. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. -- except -- you say except for the name of the operator?
- A. When I was there on 7-18 of 2000, the well sign had an operator of J.M. Richardson.
- Q. Okay. All right. And what makes you -- Do you have a picture that shows the Richardson --
- 13 A. Yes, sir, I do. I do.
- Q. And one of the pictures that you want to introduce as evidence shows Richardson as the operator?
  - A. Yes, sir, it's Exhibit Number 8.
- Q. Okay. Let's go then to Exhibit G, Respondent's

  Exhibit G --
- 19 | A. G what?
- 20 Q. -- a picture that --
- 21 A. G -- G which number?
- 22 Q. It's just G, and then there's G-1, G-2 and G-3.
- A. Okay, I -- Well, I've just got 1 through 4. Do
  you have G?
- 25 Q. You don't have Exhibit G-1?

- A. I've got G-1 through 4 but not just G.
- Q. Okay, does G-1 purport to be the wellhead with a green valve handle?
  - A. Right, and it shows the Tiffany Gas Company sign in the foreground?
    - Q. No, that's G-1.
  - A. Yeah, that's all I have. I've got G-1 through G-4.
  - Q. Okay, do any of those pictures differ from the pictures you took in any material respect?
    - A. No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Did the pictures you took -- were they before or after the hole was dug out around the wellhead?
- A. The sign that has the Richardson Oil Company on it was taken prior to any digging.
  - Q. Okay, and the other pictures are taken after a cellar was dug around the wellhead?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. All right, in looking at those pictures, then, can you see a Bradenhead?
- 21 A. No, sir.
- Q. All right. So I gather, then, that you will agree with me that there is no Bradenhead on this well.
- A. After looking at it after they've dug it up, I will agree.

Q. Okay. Do you see a conductor pipe? 1 Yes, sir. 2 Α. Okay, and do you see the production string inside 3 Q. 4 the conductor pipe? 5 Yes, sir, I do. Α. 6 Q. Do you see a valve on the production string? 7 No. Α. What is the green handle? 8 Q. That's the ball valve on the casing string. 9 Α. 10 Okay, I'm calling the production string the Q. 11 casing string. 12 Α. Okay. So there is a valve on it, then, isn't there? 13 Q. That's correct. 14 A. Okay, do you know the size of that valve --15 Q. It appears to be --16 Α. -- the pressures it was intended to withstand? 17 Q. 18 Α. It appears to be a 2-inch valve, and I don't know what the working pressure is. 19 20 Q. Would you deny that it's a 2000-pound ball valve? 21 No, sir. Α. 22 Okay. All right, in looking at these pictures, Q. 23 these colored pictures, do you maintain that the well is all corroded up and incapable of producing? 24

Are you done?

25

Α.

Q. Yeah, how would you describe -- Strike that previous question. How would you describe the condition of that wellhead and valve?

A. Well, it looks like a typical wellhead that's

something in my file here.

of the ball valve to see if it was operable or not.

Q. Uh-huh. Just a minute, I'm going to try to find

been buried for several years. I didn't try the operation

Okay, in paragraph 6 of the Commission's order it is alleged that the well was -- let's see, that on July the 18th Bruce Martin inspected the well in question and found the wellhead in a corroded and unusable condition.

After looking at these pictures, do you still maintain that that wellhead is in a corroded and unusable condition?

- A. I did not have anything to do with the wording of that. I read you what I had in my field notes. I didn't indicate anything about corrosion.
- Q. Okay. Well then, looking at it, what is your testimony? Is it corroded and in an unusable condition?
- A. Again, I don't know the condition of the valve.

  It's not our -- It's not the OCD's procedure to go

  operating valves that don't belong to us.
- Q. Well, does the wellhead appear any different from any other wellhead that's been in the area, been in service

for 10 or 12 years?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Is it corroded?
- A. Not any more than any of the others, I would say.
- Q. Okay, let's go on, then, to your examination of the wellhead at the time. If there is no Bradenhead on this well, how is Mr. Hicks supposed to conduct a Bradenhead test?
- A. The value that he put on the Bradenhead is zero. Zero indicates to me that he did do a test and he obtained a pressure of zero. You'll note on the Bradenhead test report that under the intermediate he put N/A, not applicable, however on the Bradenhead he put zero. Why would he not put N/A if there was no Bradenhead valve?
- Q. Well, we'll have to ask him that in a minute.

  But if there is clearly no Bradenhead on this well -- is that correct?
- A. Well, that's unable to determine without digging it up, which they did after I went out and did my initial inspection.
- Q. But since they dug it up, you were able to determine that there was no Bradenhead on this well?
  - A. That appears to be the case, yes.
- Q. And isn't it the case that there are numerous wells in the Basin in which there is no Bradenhead?

- A. That's probably true, but I don't know. Speculation on my part.
- Q. You have not been advised by other operators that there are no Bradenheads on some of their wells?
  - A. Yes, I have.
  - Q. You have been advised?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So you do know, then, there are other wells in the area that do not have Bradenheads.
  - A. But those have been dug up --
- 11 Q. Okay.

- A. -- and shown to be -- they didn't have Bradenheads.
- Q. My question is, are there other wells in the Basin in a similar condition as the Tiffany gas well, which is the subject of these proceedings, in that they do not have Bradenheads?
  - A. I imagine that is the case.
  - Q. Well, do you know, or do you imagine?
- A. Well, there's 22,000 wells that we've got out there, and I imagine that of those 22,000 there's probably several that don't have Bradenheads. But without going through the well files, I have no idea.
- Q. Have you ever witnessed any other wellhead in the area, other than the one in question, that did not have a

#### Bradenhead?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. Yes, sir, I have.
  - Q. You have or you haven't?
  - A. I have, yes, sir.
- Q. You have. So you are aware of other wells in the area that do not have Bradenheads?
- A. That's correct, but on the Bradenhead test report they put N/A; they didn't put down a pressure.
- Q. Have you had any conversations with Mr. Hicks prior to his submitting the report in question?
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. You have never talked to Mr. Hicks about this particular well and the fact that there was no Bradenhead on this well? Is that your testimony?
- A. I do recall calling him and asking him about witnessing some wells, yes.
- Q. Okay. And at that time he told you there's no Bradenhead on many of these wells that you were going to witness?
- A. I don't recall that.
- Q. You just recall a conversation with Mr. Hicks, but you don't recall the subject of it?
- A. I don't recall him saying that there's no Bradenhead tests -- or Bradenhead valves on some of the wells.

- Q. Well, why did he call you for?
- A. I called him, to ask him when he was going to be doing some tests so that I could witness them.
- Q. So the subject of your call was Bradenhead tests, was it not?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. But you don't recall the substance of those conversations with Mr. Hicks?
- A. Only in the fact that I called him to ask him when he would be conducting his Bradenhead tests so that I could arrange my schedule to witness the tests.
- Q. And you deny that at any time he mentioned to you that some of the wells that you had call for Bradenhead tests on did not have a Bradenhead?
- A. I don't recall that.
- Q. So you can't say whether he told you that or not; is that correct? Or you definitely remember he didn't tell you --
- A. I do not remember any conversation about the lack of Bradenheads on any of his wells.
- Q. Okay. Did you subsequently find out that there wasn't a Bradenhead on this well?
- A. I'm sorry?
- Q. You subsequently found out that there wasn't a Bradenhead on this well, did you not?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. Did you talk to Mr. Hicks after that fact?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did anyone in the Division talk to Mr. Hicks, that you were aware of, about this well not having a Bradenhead?
  - A. I don't believe anybody's talked to him about it.

    My conversations were with Mr. Wendell Webb, who I called several times.
- Q. Did he tell you there wasn't a Bradenhead on this well?
  - A. I asked him what he knew about that and he said that Jim Hicks did all the Bradenhead testing and he didn't know anything about it.
    - Q. Who is Mr. Perrin? Do you know that person?
    - A. Yes, sir, he's my supervisor.
  - Q. Have you had any conversations with him about this well and the Bradenhead test that was filed?
  - A. Only copies of the reports that I've sent to him regarding the -- what I've found, and also photographs that I've taken.
  - Q. Did you ever tell him that you'd had a conversation with Mr. Hicks about this well and about taking a Bradenhead test?
    - A. The only conversation I had was the fact that I

had visited the well after the Bradenhead test was submitted, and I could not find a Bradenhead valve.

- Q. So Mr. Perrin knew that there wasn't a Bradenhead on this particular well?
- A. Yes, sir. In fact, he's the one that wanted me to call and reschedule a test that I could witness.
- Q. Do you know whether Mr. Perrin ever talked to Mr. Hicks about there not being a Bradenhead on this well?
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. You never had any conversations with him about that?
- A. No, sir.

- Q. After you examined the well in question, did you arrive at any conclusion as to whether or not the well was a danger to the environment?
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. Is it or isn't it?
- 18 A. I would say that it's not.
  - Q. All right. Did you notice any waste of petroleum or natural gas from this wellhead?
    - A. I did notice a small drip. There's a half-inch valve on the bottom of that just before the check valve, and there was a small drip underneath there, but that's all I noticed. And I think you can probably see it -- yes you can -- on our photograph, when I did the initial inspection

- 34 1 on 7-18, you can see a small stain underneath the valve. Did you advise Tiffany that this should be 2 Q. 3 cleaned up? Α. No, sir. 4 So you didn't consider it a threat to the surface 5 Q. or the environment? 6 7 A. No, sir. Is this a pumping well or a flowing well? 8 Q. It's a flowing well. 9 Α. Okay, so a pumpjack isn't necessary in a flowing 10 Q. well, is it? 11 That's correct. 12 Α. 13 Okay. Now, I assume that you witness hundreds of Q. Bradenhead tests during the year; is that correct? 14 Yes, sir. 15 Α. And are records kept of these Bradenhead tests --16 Q. Yes, sir. 17 Α. -- in the office? 18 ο. Yes, sir. 19 Α. 20 Q. How many do you suppose there are? Is it in the thousands or in the hundreds? 21 22 Approximately 22,000. Α.
  - We keep -- They're on a three-year rotation, so that every three years there's approximately 7000 wells

How long are these records kept?

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

tested, and we keep the last five years, and I believe we sent a copy to Santa Fe, which I'm sure they've got boxes somewhere that go back farther than that.

- Q. So you would have records of all Bradenhead tests in the Basin for the last five years?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. In your office in Aztec?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And what part of the office are they kept in?

  Are they kept in your office or --
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. -- are they kept in the well files? Where are 13 they kept?
- 14 A. They're kept in my office.
- 15 Q. In your personal office?
- 16 A. I share with another inspector.
- Q. How many file cabinets of records would you say there are in your office?
  - A. Just -- I've got a file cabinet with the

    Bradenhead test results and also mechanical integrity tests
    on injection wells.
    - Q. Well, what would you think if I told you that we sent an engineer over to your office yesterday to check for Bradenhead reports and they couldn't find anything past 1971 in your office?

1	A. Well, we only keep the last five years.
2	MR. BROOKS: I'm sorry, I need to interpose an
3	interjection to attempting to inject testimony into the
4	record other than by a witness, by the form of the
5	question.
6	EXAMINER CATANACH: Sustain that, Mr. Burr.
7	MR. BURR: Well, if they can't verify that the
8	records are kept, why should an operator be fined for
9	failing to file one when the records aren't even kept?
10	EXAMINER CATANACH: Well, I'm not sure what
11	bearing that has on the case, Mr. Burr.
12	THE WITNESS: We have records
13	MR. BROOKS: Excuse me, there's no question.
14	Q. (By Mr. Burr) Well, where can I find these
15	records, say for 1970 and nineteen sixty and
16	nineteen I mean 1999 and 1998?
17	A. In my office.
18	Q. In your office?
19	A. In the file cabinet.
20	MR. BURR: Fine. Well, we'll have to go back
21	over there and look again.
22	Okay, if I could just have a minute to confer
23	with my client before I release this witness.
24	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay.
25	MR. BURR: Okay, just a couple of questions here,

Mr. Examiner.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

- Q. (By Mr. Burr) Mr. Martin, when you observed the wellhead and the annulus, the conductor pipe, did you notice any flow coming out of there, of gas or oil or water?
  - A. Notice flow from where?
  - Q. Coming out of the annulus, which would be between the conductor pipe and the production string, which is the casing.
- 10 A. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. So when Mr. Hicks put on the report there
  was no flow, that was correct, wasn't it?
- 13 A. Well, there was nothing. Did you hear me?
  - Q. Yes, is that the end of your answer?
  - A. Yeah, he put nothing on there, and that is correct, there was nothing on there.
  - Q. Very good, thank you. Now, with respect to this notice you sent out to all the operators that you were going to conduct these Bradenhead tests, you said that you require that the well be shut in for 24 hours?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Shut in? Is that required now, or has that been changed.
  - A. It's required now.
  - Q. I understand that in the last year that's been

1 changed and is not required --No, it is required. It's required to see if the 2 Α. 3 pressure builds up. Q. Yeah, just a minute. I have to leave the phone a 4 minute. 5 6 Okay, Mr. Martin, looking at your memorandum, 7 which is one of your exhibits, where you tell everybody how to conduct these tests, I can't find anything on that that 8 9 says that the annulus has to be shut in for 24 hours. 10 Could you show me where it says that? 11 Α. No, sir, I can't. 12 MR. BURR: All right, thank you. 13 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 14 MR. BURR: I don't have any further questions of this witness. 15 16 EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Brooks, anything further 17 of this witness? MR. BROOKS: No, thank you. 18 19 EXAMINER CATANACH: Just a couple of questions, 20 Mr. Martin. 21 EXAMINATION 22 BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 23 Q. On your picture dated 7-18-2000, you testified 24 that you observed a drip at the wellhead? 25 Α. Yes, sir. It appears -- It's right in front of

that rock. Can you see that?

- Q. I can see in your photograph --
- A. A dark spot --
- Q. -- a spot.
- A. -- that's it, that's all there was.
- Q. Now, would that indicate to you that there was some sort of fluid at the surface of that well?
- A. It would indicate to me that either there's a real small drip from that valve, or perhaps if Mr. Hicks had tested it the month before, when he put his gauge on there he might have got just a small drip. It's very small, just a couple of ounces.
- Q. But the fact that there's a drop there, would that indicate to you that there may be fluid present at the surface or some type of pressure present at the surface of that well?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Mr. Martin, do you know if there's any -- In this area, do you know if there's any fresh water?
- A. I do not. The well is located probably less than a mile from the San Juan River, and I don't know what the water table would be, but there are also some ditches in the area.
- Q. With respect to the elevation, is it near the same elevation as the San Juan River?

Yes, sir, it is. 1 Α. So there's possibly some water sands present at 2 Q. the well site? 3 4 Α. Yes, sir. You just don't know that for sure? 5 Q. Α. Yes, sir. 6 7 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. Mr. Examiner, in Mr. Hicks' testimony 8 MR. BURR: and exhibits he goes into that question, and there are no 9 water-bearing sands or oil-and-gas-producing zones between 10 11 the surface and the production zone in this well. EXAMINER CATANACH: Thank you, Mr. Burr, I will 12 review that evidence. 13 Thank you. 14 MR. BURR: EXAMINER CATANACH: I believe that's all I have 15 of this witness. Is there anything further of this 16 witness? If not, he may be excused. 17 18 Mr. Brooks? Thank you. We again tender Exhibits 19 MR. BROOKS: 20 8 through 11 inclusive. EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Burr, any objection? 21 22 Well, I'm hard pressed not to object MR. BURR: because I haven't seen them, but I don't want to hold up 23 this matter, and the witness has testified that there 24

doesn't appear to be any difference between the two sets of

pictures, and if that's the case then I have no objection. 1 MR. BROOKS: Mr. Burr, if the Examiner determines 2 to take this matter under advisement, we will immediately 3 forward color copies of these pictures to you, and if you 4 wish to submit anything further in response to the 5 Examiner, you may do so with the Examiner's permission. 6 7 MR. BURR: Well, thank you very much. That's a reasonable offer and I'll accept. 8 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, Exhibits 8, 9, 10 and 9 11 will be admitted as evidence in this case. 10 11 MR. BROOKS: Very good, that concludes the presentation. I would like to make a brief statement, with 12 13 the Examiner's permission. 14 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. MR. BURR: Okay, well, I would like to -- In view 15 of this witness's testimony, I would like to put Mr. Hicks 16 on the stand in rebuttal. 17 EXAMINER CATANACH: Certainly. Is Mr. Hicks 18 19 present? 20 MR. BURR: Fine. Yes, he is present. Mr. Hicks, would you please state your full name --21 EXAMINER CATANACH: Hold it, Mr. Burr. Mr. Burr, 22 23 excuse me. Mr. Burr --24 MR. BURR: Yes. 25 EXAMINER CATANACH: -- excuse me. I don't

believe that Mr. Hicks has been sworn in. Would you please 1 have him stand up to be sworn? 2 MR. BURR: Yes, please stand. 3 4 (Thereupon, Mr. Hicks was sworn.) 5 JIMMY D. HICKS, 6 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 7 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BURR: 9 Please state your full name for the record. 10 Q. It's Jimmy D. Hicks. 11 Α. And where do you reside, Mr. Hicks? 12 Q. In Farmington. 13 Α. In Farmington, New Mexico? 14 Q. Yes, Farmington, New Mexico. 15 Α. Okay. And are you in business in the Farmington 16 Q. area, Mr. Hicks? 17 18 Α. Yes, I am. And what is that business? 19 0. 20 Oil and gas pumping. Α. 21 Oil and gas pumping. And what is the name of Q. 22 your company? 23 Hicks Oil and Gas. Α. 24 Okay. Could you please tell us what your Q. 25 education has been in this area? Do you hold any degrees

from any institutions of higher learning? 1 Mechanical engineer, BS, Oklahoma State 2 Α. 3 University. Q. Okay, and how much experience have you had 4 pumping wells in New Mexico? 5 6 Α. Since 1980. 7 Okay, and is Tiffany one of your customers? Q. 8 Α. Yes. 9 And how long have you pumped wells for Tiffany Q. Gas Company? 10 Since 1986. 11 Α. Okay. And how long the well in question here 12 Q. today in these proceedings? 13 14 Α. Oh, since 1986. Did Tiffany Gas Company drill the subject well? 15 Q. 16 No. Α. Who drilled the well? 17 Q. Imbt, I-m-b- -- -t, I think. 18 Α. Yeah. Mr. Bill Imbt? 19 Q. Bill Imbt, right. 20 Α. 21 And when did Tiffany acquire the well, if you Q. 22 know? 23 Α. I'm not real positive. I think it was like 1989 or so, something like that. 24 Have you pumped it continuously since Tiffany 25 Q.

acquired it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

22

23

24

- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Have you had the occasion to file this report that the Commission calls Bradenhead test reports in the past?
- A. Yes, I have.
  - Q. How many does your file reflect of these reports that you have filed for Tiffany?
- A. Four.
- Q. And what years were those?
- 11 A. It would be 1991, 1994, 1997 and 2000.
- Q. And have all the reports -- Have you filled them
  all out substantially the same for all those years?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And in the report do you show that there's no flow of the Bradenhead?
- 17 A. That's what I've reported.
- Q. Okay. Would you please tell us what

  conversations, if any, you've had with the Commission over

  the last ten years regarding this well or similar wells in

  the field that have no Bradenhead?
  - A. Well, back in the early 1980s I happened to come upon a well, we had a backhoe out, we dug up the Bradenhead on it, and it didn't have a Bradenhead; it had a conductor pipe like these wells have. And I contacted Mr. Gholson,

who's a Deputy Oil and Gas Inspector with the Commission, and I told him about it. And he said, Well, just file a report there's no flow and zero pressure. So that's what I've done.

And I don't remember what year it was, but I had another conversation with a Mr. Cordova, and -- with the Oil and Gas Commission. We were doing a mechanical integrity test on a well, and I asked him about that. He said he didn't see any problem with that.

So -- And then Mr. Martin called me this year, prior to the test scheduled, and I advised him that these wells had no Bradenhead and that I would like to have his reading on how to report these, and I never heard back from him. And that's the reason we never went out and did the test. I told him, you know, we could go out and dig them all up, but I was pretty familiar with the wells out there because I drilled five of them and I knew how they were completed. And they have no Bradenhead, we observe the wells daily, and if there's no flow out of them there's no flow.

- Q. Did you tell Mr. Martin about your previous conversations with Mr. Gholson and how you had filed this report in the past?
- A. I told him how I had been filing in the past. I didn't get any conversations with Charlie Gholson, no.

Do you know whether or not this well has a water 1 0. drive? 2 3 Α. The Dakota formation has a natural water drive, 4 yes. 5 Q. Is this well completed in the Dakota? Yes, it is. 6 Α. Do you have any opinion as to what would happen 7 at the surface of this well if there was a leak in the 8 9 production string, based upon the fact that it is a water drive? 10 If it was up in the surface pipe, of course the 11 12 surface pipe, they pretty much well cemented the long 13 string, the 4-1/2 casing. 14 Q. What do the records reflect the cement job was in 15 the long string? Well, they show they used 50 sacks, and that 16 Α. would be 16-percent excess in a 5-5/8 hole. 17 And the conductor pipe was also cemented? 18 0. Yes, it had 10 sacks, and it's a 49-percent 19 20 excess cement. Okay, go on then. You said that if a leak was in 21 Q. the -- above the production string, what would happen? 22 Well, if it was up in the surface pipe, if it was 23 cemented, I don't think you'd see it. It would just, you 24

know, continue to flow out the casing.

- Q. Onto the ground?
- A. No, no.

- Q. Okay, out the casing into the --
- A. It would go -- It wouldn't leak, because it's got cement there. But below the surface pipe, I don't know if we -- That's cemented too, so it's hard to say. You can't see down there.
- Q. Are you aware of the lithology or the -- under the surface of this well, between it and the Dakota where it's producing from?
- A. I'm very aware of it. We air-drilled five wells in this area, within a half a mile of this well, as a matter of fact, and they all -- Well, first we drove our surface pipe, our conductor pipe, in, cemented it back to surface, then we air-drilled them and they dusted all the way to bottom.
- 17 Q. So ---
- 18 A. We had a geologist with us, and all he reported
  19 was shale.
  - Q. To the top of the Dakota?
  - A. Well, it was actually to the top of the Greenhorn, which is a limestone formation, and right below that is where we set the long-string casing, cemented it back to surface. And then we air-drill out open hole, drilled an open --

So is it the Mancos shale on the surface at this 1 0. location? 2 3 Α. That's what the geologist called it, the Mancos 4 shale. 5 Are there any interwater sands or oil and gas Q. 6 production zones between the surface and the Dakota? 7 Α. No. Okay. Why was the conductor pipe used in 8 Q. 9 drilling these wells? Well, we attempted to drill the first well 10 without using conductor pipe, and we were on the hole 47 11 12 days, getting stuck in boulders and sloughing off sand and stuff like that, and we decided it was better to come in 13 with a cable-tool rig and set a conductor pipe so we could 14 15 drill. 16 That's to keep the boulders from sloughing into Q. the hole? 17 18 Α. Correct. Okay. Do you know whether the Commission has 19 ever required that wells drilled to the Dakota in this area 20 21 require that surface pipe be set and a Bradenhead installed? 22 I'm not familiar with what the Commission 23 requires. We had a BLM man -- these five wells we drilled, 24

he was a constant witness at the well site. He was there

with us, you know, from the time we started drilling in the morning till the evening -- it was a daylight rig -- and he never said anything about it.

Q. Do you know anything in the rules that would require that a Bradenhead be installed?

MR. BROOKS: Objection, I think that the Commission -- or the Examiner can take notice of the Commission's rules and would not require testimony from this witness.

## EXAMINER CATANACH: I would --

MR. BURR: -- for the -- Mr. Examiner, to take official notice of Rule 107, which is casing and tubing requirements. It clearly shows that no surface casing may be set where there's no water, oil or gas-bearing strata to be encountered in the drilling of any well. And also Rule 107.C, which provides that conductor pipe need not be tested or cemented.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Burr, Rule 107.C will be -- I'm sorry, Rule 107 in its entirety will --

MR. BURR: 107.A, casing and tubing requirements, and 107.B, which talks about conductor pipe and how it's to be handled.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Rule 107 in its entirety will be reviewed by the Division in analyzing this case.

MR. BURR: Thank you. Okay. Well, I will pass

the witness, then, to --1 2 THE WITNESS: Mr. Burr? MR. BURR: Yes. 3 THE WITNESS: May I mention one more thing? 4 5 MR. BURR: Of course. THE WITNESS: When we were drilling these wells, 6 7 Ernie Busch with the Commission did call me up and ask me 8 to get samples of the Fruitland in this area. And he was 9 not aware that there was no Fruitland. He wanted me to get 10 samples of the coal that we were drilling, and he was not 11 aware that that was nonexistent in these wells. So that was one of my conversations with people 12 about, you know, if there were any water-bearing or gas-13 14 bearing formations above this Dakota. And Mr. Busch called me back and confirmed that 15 there was no formation like that. This was all verbal, 16 17 though. (By Mr. Burr) So the surface is the Mancos 18 0. shale, as far as you know, in this area? 19 20 Α. That is correct. And the first productive zone is the Dakota --21 0. That's correct. 22 Α. 23 Q. -- at approximately 800 feet? In this well it's 800 feet. 24 Α. And all other formations above the Mancos shale 25 Q.

have eroded away in this area?

A. Yes, they are. They're in a heavily upthrust area around the hogback Dakota, around the hogback.

MR. BURR: Thank you. Mr. Brooks, would you like to cross-examine?

MR. BROOKS: Yes, briefly.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. BROOKS:

- Q. Mr. Hicks, would you again review your background? I'm not sure I remember exactly what is your area of expertise?
- A. Well, I was a mechanical engineer at Ford Motor Company for nine years in Detroit, Michigan. I was an automatic-transmission engineer, a supervisor as a matter of fact. And I didn't like Detroit because of the crime rate and stuff, so I came back to the oil field. I had worked in the oil field prior to that, working for Ford. And I've been back here since 1980 working as a pumper, and now I own my own business, oil and gas wells.
  - Q. Do you have any training in geology?
- A. I've worked with geologists, side by side with them, but no, I am not a qualified geologist. I --
  - Q. Okay -- I'm sorry.
  - A. In my testimony that we sent, I did send a geology report on one of the wells we drilled that's .6 of

a mile from this well.

- Q. Okay, I will call your attention to -- Do you have a copy of the Bradenhead test that was dated June 13th of 2000?
  - A. Yes, sir.
    - Q. And that is Exhibit 7 in this proceeding.
- 7 A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

13

14

15

16

24

- Q. Is that your signature on that report?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. You have filled in on the line pressure,
  "Tubing 20" and "Casing 45". Can you explain how those
  figures were arrived at?
  - A. Well, the tubing was not in the well at that time. You know, I don't know why I put that down there. I may -- I just go out and take field notes, and it may have been off another well.
- Q. Okay, so that would not have been an accurate statement since --
- 19 A. That is an inaccurate statement, that's correct.
- Q. Okay, what about the casing pressure, how was that determined?
- A. The casing pressure is accurate, I recently tested it.
  - Q. Okay, and -- Well, we'll let the exhibit speak for itself, I think.

You're talking about the distinction between 1 shell pipe and surface casing, and that -- what you call 2 the shell pipe, is that what's referred to as the 7-inch 3 casing on the well reports? 4 That was the conductor pipe, the 7-inch. 5 Α. Oh, the conductor pipe, I'm sorry. 6 Q. 7 Right. A. And that was cemented in place, was it not? Q. 9 Α. I didn't hear the question? That was submitted according to the well reports, 10 Q. was it not? 11 12 Α. Cemented in place? Yes, just like you would with casing? 13 0. 14 Α. Right. Okay, and it's referred to as casing in the well 15 reports, correct? 16 That's correct. 17 Α. MR. BROOKS: Okay. I don't think I have any 18 other questions of this witness, Mr. Examiner. 19 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, just a couple. 20 **EXAMINATION** 21 BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 22 23 Mr. Hicks, the conductor pipe was not circulated 24 in this well; is that correct? 25 Α. It should have been. They used almost 50-percent

- excess. It calculates out to be 49-percent excess.
- 2 Q. The 10 sacks?
- A. Yes, 7-inch pipe set in an 8-inch hole.
- 4 Q. Okay.

- 5 A. I've got the figures right here in front of me.
- Q. Seven-inch pipe set in an 8-inch hole. Have you had much experience with that type of wellbore configuration?
- 9 A. Yes, I have.
- 10 Q. Does that provide much annular space for the 11 cement to occupy?
- 12 A. It's a half an inch on each side.
- Q. Is that sufficient in your opinion to preclude any fluid migration in that well?
- 15 A. I don't have any opinion on that, but that's -16 The BLM requires a half-inch pipe-to-hole requirement.
- 17 Q. Uh-huh.
- 18 A. That's in their specifications.
- Q. Do you know if that casing was centered in the wellbore?
- 21 A. The long string or the --
- 22 | Q. No, the 7-inch.
- 23 A. Yeah, that's standard.
- 24 | 0. Did it have centralizers on it?
- 25 A. Not that I'm aware of. I didn't drill the well.

- Q. Okay. Now, you say you did obtain a casing pressure on this well?
- A. Yes, I did. That's what we're producing. It's flowing up the casing.
- Q. Now, this well is currently producing; is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.

1

2

- Q. Okay, and where was that pressure reading taken?
- 9 A. On that quarter-inch needle valve that Bruce said
  10 had a small drip on it.
- 11 Q. Okay, and that --
- 12 A. That's where we get samples of oil and check
  13 pressures.
- Q. Okay, and that was done at the time that the Bradenhead test was conducted on the well?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And that showed a casing pressure of 45 pounds?
- 18 A. Forty.
- 19 Q. Well, you show 45 on your report?
- 20 A. Oh, really?
- 21 Q. Yes, sir.
- A. Well, I'm not looking at my report, but I'm

  just -- I saw it this morning, I was just not real... This
- 24 | well shuts in at approximately 110 pounds.
- Q. Do you know how much this well produces, Mr.

I think the affidavit shows a barrel a day, Hicks? 1 2 approximately a barrel a day of oil. It's a very low producer. All these wells are. 3 Any gas production from this well? Q. These wells do not make any gas. We use strictly 5 Α. 6 gunbarrel equipment, no separation equipment at all. 7 Any water production? Q. 8 This particular well does not make water. 9 are other wells in the area that do, there's a natural water drive. But this well we're producing at such a low 10 rate the water's not coming up with it. 11 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, I have nothing further 12 of the witness. 13 MR. BROOKS: Nothing further, Mr. Examiner. 14 15 EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Burr, do you have anything further of this witness? 16 17 MR. BURR: No, I don't. EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, this witness may be 18 19 excused. 20 Do you have anything further in your 21 presentation, Mr. Burr? 22 MR. BURR: No, I just want to reiterate that the 23 affidavit of Mr. Hicks is now in evidence, and it is more 24 complete testimony than I elicited here on rebuttal, and I urge the Examiner to review that.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Certainly all the evidence will be reviewed in this case, Mr. Burr.

Mr. Brooks, do you have anything further?

MR. BROOKS: Just briefly.

MR. BURR: I have final argument, but --

MR. BROOKS: Oh, okay. Well, I'm not sure who is supposed to go first in this matter, Mr. Examiner.

EXAMINER CATANACH: We'll let you, Mr. Brooks, you're already standing.

MR. BROOKS: Okay, very good, Mr. Examiner.

I will simply say that it is important to the Division to be able to rely on the integrity of its records in performing its functions in regulating the oil and gas industry and protecting the environment, and it's clear that the record that was submitted in the June 23rd Braden tests is incorrect. It's clear that it was intentionally done incorrectly for whatever reason, because you cannot ascertain that there's no pressure on the Bradenhead when there isn't even a Bradenhead and the casing was not dug out to observe anything in the conduct of that test.

The tubing figure is also incorrect, and we believe that the penalty that's been assessed is a small one, it's essentially a token, and the purpose of it is to maintain the integrity of the Commission's records and to enforce the principle that accurate reporting is required.

Thank you.

EXAMINER CATANACH: Thank you, Mr. Brooks.

Mr. Burr?

MR. BURR: Yes. We feel that this whole thing has been a matter of communication. The Respondent has testified that he was unsure as to how to fill out the subject report, in view of the fact that there was not a Bradenhead on this well.

The evidence is disputed as to whether or not the Commission staff was aware of the fact that there was no Bradenhead test -- no Bradenhead on the well, and he couldn't file the report that the Division requires of other wells in the area.

It just seems inequitable and unfair for the Division to assess such an onerous fine against Respondent in view of the circumstances. It will take approximately 90 days for Tiffany, a small independent in the area, to recover this \$1000 at one-barrel-a-day production.

The statute that the fine is based upon requires that the Respondent or the person filing the report act in an intentional and willful manner. Those are pretty strong words, in view of the circumstances that have been adduced —— the testimony that has been adduced here today. Clearly Mr. Hicks had no motive to falsify a report. This well was drilled 15 years ago, and the Commission did not require

that a Bradenhead be installed.

There's no problem with waste of vital resources, there's no problem here with environmental damage. There are wells in the are that have no Bradenhead, other than those of Tiffany's. Rule 107.A does not require a Bradenhead, does not require surface casing in a well such as the one in question. There are no water-bearing, oil-or gas-bearing formations above the production zone that could be contaminated.

Previous employees of the Division have complicated the matter with their not requiring Mr. Hicks to file -- to -- well, to file -- by allowing him to go ahead and file on the -- file the test, or file on the report provided by the Division, when it's really not applicable to this situation.

It would be better if the Commission would have a conference with all these people in the area that have wells without Bradenheads and give them some guidance on how they are to report their particular well.

So I just don't feel that this is the type of case that the statute was intended to apply to, and it's just unfair to fine these people instead of getting them together and saying, Listen, if you don't have a Bradenhead write us a letter and tell us so, we're not going to require you to file a report on a well you don't have a

1	Bradenhead on.
2	That's our position, and thank you very much for
3	your consideration.
4	EXAMINER CATANACH: Thank you, Mr. Burr.
5	Mr. Brooks, the only thing I would ask is, I
6	would like to obtain additional test records on this well
7	that may be present in the Aztec office from previous
8	years. I would like to examine those if possible, if there
9	are any.
10	MR. BROOKS: Yes, Mr. Examiner, we will furnish
11	those records to the Examiner and also to Mr. Burr.
12	EXAMINER CATANACH: Thank you, Mr. Brooks.
13	Is there anything further in this case?
14	MR. BURR: No.
15	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, there being nothing
16	further, Case 12,704 will be taken under advisement.
17	MR. BURR: Thank you.
18	MR. BROOKS: Thank you.
19	EXAMINER CATANACH: Thank you, Mr. Burr.
20	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
21	9:45 a.m.)
22	* * *
23	hereby with the the Reciping to  complete the best of the Draw in  Execution has been an a Draw Draw (
24	heard by me on Dl8/01
25	- Land Start Corner
_	- a soldenous Diversity

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL August 10th, 2001.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002