STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY
THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE
PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF HOLCOMB OIL AND GAS,
INC., FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, RIO
ARRIBA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 12,799

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Hearing Examiner

January 24th, 2002

Santa Fe, New Mexico

0; C

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID K. BROOKS, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, January 24th, 2002, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

3

INDEX

January 24th, 2002 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 12,799

PAGE

APPEARANCES

APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:

WILLIAM J. HOLCOMB (Landman) Direct Examination by Mr. Carr 5 Examination by Examiner Brooks 16 Further Examination by Mr. Carr 19

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 21

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's		Identified	Admitted
Exhibit	2	7	16
Exhibit		9	16
Exhibit		10	16
Exhibit	5	10	16
Exhibit		12	16
Exhibit		13	16
Exhibit	6	15	16

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE APPLICANT:

HOLLAND & HART, L.L.P., and CAMPBELL & CARR 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 1 P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 By: WILLIAM F. CARR

FOR NM&O OPERATING COMPANY and THE RAMONA SWEET REVOCABLE TRUST:

JAMES G. BRUCE, Attorney at Law 324 McKenzie Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

ALSO PRESENT:

MICHAEL E. STOGNER Hearing Examiner New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South Saint Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87501

* * *

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	8:30 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, at this time we'll call
4	the one remaining case on this morning's docket, Case
5	Number 12,799. It's the Application of Holcomb Oil and
6	Gas, Inc., for compulsory pooling, Rio Arriba County, New
7	Mexico.
8	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is
9	William F. Carr with the Santa Fe office of Holland and
10	Hart, L.L.P.
11	We represent Holcomb Oil and Gas, and I have one
12	witness.
13	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe.
14	I represent NM&O Operating Company and the Ramona Sweet
15	Revocable Trust.
16	I have no witnesses.
17	EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. Will the witness
18	please identify himself for the record?
19	MR. HOLCOMB: I'm William Jeffrey Holcomb,
20	president of Holcomb Oil and Gas.
21	EXAMINER BROOKS: Swear the witness, please
22	(Thereupon, the witness was sworn.)
23	EXAMINER BROOKS: You may proceed when ready, Mr.
24	Carr.
25	MR. CARR: Thank you, Mr. Brooks.

1	WILLIAM J. HOLCOMB,
2	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
3	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. CARR:
6	Q. Would you state your full name for the record,
7	please?
8	A. William Jeffrey Holcomb.
9	Q. Mr. Holcomb, where do you reside?
10	A. Farmington, New Mexico.
11	Q. And by whom are you employed?
12	A. Holcomb Oil and Gas.
13	Q. What is your position with Holcomb Oil and Gas?
14	A. President.
15	Q. Have you previously testified before this
16	Division?
17	A. Yes, I have.
18	Q. Have you previously testified before Examiner
19	Brooks?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Could you just briefly summarize your educational
22	background for the Examiner?
23	A. I received a bachelor's in engineering from the
24	University of Missouri at Rolla in 1974, was employed by
25	Amoco Production Company in various engineering and

management positions through 1985 and have been selfemployed, involved in the oil and gas business in the Four Corners since then.

- Q. At all times since graduation you've worked as a petroleum engineer?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Are you familiar with the Application filed in this case on behalf of Holcomb Oil and Gas?
 - A. I am.
- Q. And are you familiar with the status of the lands in the area which is the subject of this Application?
- A. I am.

- MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, we tender Mr. Holcomb as an expert witness in petroleum engineering.

 EXAMINER BROOKS: He is so qualified.
- Q. (By Mr. Carr) Mr. Holcomb, would you summarize for Examiner Brooks what it is that Holcomb seeks with this Application?
- A. We're seeking an order pooling all mineral interests in the formations from the surface through the base of the Pictured Cliffs in the southwest quarter of Section 4 of Township 25 North, Range 3 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.
- Q. And this spacing unit is to be dedicated to what well?

- A. The McCroden B Number 1 well, which is located at a standard location in the southwest quarter of Section 4.
- Q. Let's go to what has been marked as Holcomb

 Exhibit Number 1, two plats, and I'd ask you to identify

 those and review them for the Examiner.
- A. The first plat is a nine-section plat which delineates the Pictured Cliff completions in Townships 25

 North, 3 West, and 26 North, portions of 26 North, 3 West.

 The center of this is Section 4, with the McCroden B Number 1 well noted in the southwest quarter.
 - Q. All right, and the second plat?
- A. The second plat is a blow-up of that southwest quarter, showing the two federal lease tracts, Tract 1 of 120 acres and Tract Number 2 which is 40 acres.
- Q. Mr. Holcomb, the McCroden B Well Number 1 has been drilled; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.

- Q. When was it drilled?
 - A. In July of 1957.
 - Q. And what is the current status of that well?
- 21 A. The well is currently shut in.
 - Q. And who has been the operator of that well?
 - A. Prior to Holcomb taking operations, Energen was the operator and had recommended the well be plugged and abandoned due to a suspected casing leak. We objected to

that, based upon offset performance felt that the well could be repaired and returned to a producing status.

Q. And that's what you're proposing to do?

A. That's correct.

- Q. When did you acquire your interest in the well?
- A. In 1997.

- Q. And what is your current interest in this spacing unit?
- A. Holcomb Oil and Gas's interest is approximately 12 1/2 percent.

We have a joint venture agreement with Whittier Energy, which owns 49.7 percent, so the combined interest is 62.2 percent of this particular wellbore.

- Q. Now the spacing unit to be dedicated to this well was the subject of a communitization agreement, was it not?
 - A. That's correct, it was.
- 17 Q. And what is the status of that?
 - A. In, I believe, early 1999 the communitization agreement was terminated by the BLM office.
 - Q. And what is required to obtain a new communitization agreement for this acreage?
 - A. In order to obtain a new communitization agreement, we require voluntary agreement of all owners.
 - Q. And you have been unable to get -- reach voluntary agreement with all owners; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

- Q. What is required, if all owners do not voluntarily agree to communitize the lands for this attempt to re-enter and re-complete the well?
- A. We had contacted the OCD office here in Santa Fe and were instructed that a compulsory pooling order would be in order to be able to effect this com agreement.
- Q. And that's why this Application is before the Division today?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. What is the primary objective in the well?
- A. The primary objective is to re-establish production in the Pictured Cliffs zone.
- Q. Is there any real secondary objective in the property?
 - A. No.
- Q. Let's go to what has been marked as Holcomb

 Exhibit Number 2, and I'd ask you to just identify this.
- A. Exhibit Number 2 is comprised of two pages, the first of which delineates the ownership from an operating rights and record title position for the 40-acre tract that was referenced in Exhibit 1. The second page does the same for the 120-acre tract.
- Q. Now, the status of the commitment for these individual interest owners will be reviewed in a subsequent

exhibit; is that correct?

- A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Would you refer to what has been marked as
 Holcomb Exhibit Number 3 and review your efforts to reach a
 voluntary agreement with all interest owners in this
 property for the re-entry and recompletion of this well?
- A. As you can tell from this exhibit, it's somewhat lengthy, beginning with an original letter that was written by the Bureau of Land Management in 1998 terminating the communitization agreement.

If you'll go to approximately the fourth page of this section, there's a time line which addresses our proposal, which was initiated on March 17th of the year 2000, to attempt to establish production back in the Pictured Cliffs zone.

And through this scenario, this time line, you'll see references of which a lot of the documents are included here, to our efforts to establish a new communitization agreement, which weren't successful, and ultimately the discussions with Mr. Stogner and Mr. Carr, which brings us to this hearing today.

- Q. What percentage of the working interest at this time is voluntarily committed to the well?
 - A. I believe if we go to -- There's another exhibit.
 - Q. Exhibit Number 4, behind the tab. Mine is marked

Number 15.

- A. Right.
- Q. It's the next tab in the exhibit book, "Voluntarily Committed".
 - A. Approximately 81 percent of the operating rights are voluntarily committed.
 - Q. If we go to the second page behind that tab, it says "Non-Committed" at the top.
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Can you review this for us, please, and identify those interest owners who are not voluntarily committed to the well, or to the re-entry?
 - A. The noncommitted interest represents approximately 19 percent from the working interest standpoint, with Hooper Kimball Williams and Mountain States Natural Gass.

And then the record title section, again there's a Hooper Kimball interest, the Mountain States, the Mary Alice Gilliland and the Duer Wagner.

And you'll notice that there's an extensive listing there of Damson Oil, which doesn't exist anymore.

- Q. And who holds that interest?
- A. We believe we do at this time.
- Q. Okay, and you've acquired that through the acquisition?

Through the acquisition of Energen's position. 1 Α. Let's go to Exhibit Number 5, the AFEs. Identify 2 Q. 3 and review these, please. Well, I'm not sure which Exhibit 5 we're going to 4 Α. 5 talk about here. 6 Q. We've got both of them here. We've got two of them. Would you like the 8-1/2-7 Α. 8 by-11 discussion or the 8-1/2-by-14 discussion? 9 Well, the numbers are different. Could you Q. explain what the difference is? 10 The 8-1/2-by-11 Exhibit 5 was the original AFE 11 Α. 12 that was prepared for the re-entry back in March of 2000. 13 And then the larger exhibit is --0. -- is a more current estimate of what the costs 14 Α. would be incurred to complete this --15 And you've changed it because of changes in 16 Q. various costs? 17 18 The costs have gone up in the last two years, Α. 19 that's correct. 20 Let's go to the 8-1/2-by-14 --Q. 2.1 EXAMINER BROOKS: If I can interrupt for a 22 moment --23 MR. CARR: Yes, sir. EXAMINER BROOKS: -- in order that we have an 24

orderly record in this case, I'm going to suggest that we

redesignate the 8-1/2-by-14 AFE as Exhibit Number 5-A.

You may proceed, Mr. Carr.

- Q. (By Mr. Carr) All right, Mr. Holcomb, let's go to Exhibit 5-A, and I'd ask you to review the costs that will be necessary for the re-entry and recompletion of this well. You can go just really to the totals.
 - A. I'm sorry?
 - Q. If you'll just review the totals.
 - A. The total of the \$116,950 --
- Q. Yes.

- A. -- is comprised of approximately -- the efforts that are going to be undertaken here are going to be to repair a suspected casing leak if, in fact, it does exist, by putting a liner in the wellbore -- we have a large casing situation for a Pictured Cliff well here with 5-1/2-inch casing -- cementing that to surface, reperforating and frac'ing the Pictured Cliffs zone.
- Q. Do you operate other Pictured Cliffs wells in the area?
 - A. Yes, we do.
- Q. Do the costs reflected on the AFE -- are those consistent with costs for similar work on other wells in the area?
- A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Have you made an estimate of the overhead and

administrative costs to be incurred while operating this well?

- A. We have, and we've evaluated based upon Ernst & Young's latest COPAS overhead releases, I think, for the years 2000-2001, which for a well of this depth were estimated at \$398 per month.
- Q. And you recommend that this figure be incorporated into the order?
 - A. I do.

- Q. And there is no request for a drilling cost because the wellbore exists, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Are you prepared to make a recommendation to the Examiner as to a risk penalty that should be assessed against interest owners who do not voluntarily commit to the re-entry?
- 17 A. I am.
 - Q. And what is that?
 - A. Well, in that the wellbore exists and we don't feel that there's any risk, obviously, associated with drilling of a well, the risk itself is more associated with the recompletion attempt.

And in that, with the prior operator recommending that the well be plugged and abandoned, there is some risk associated with the mechanical problems of what we propose

to do, and that -- should the well be noncommercial. We don't think that will happen, obviously, but it is possible.

And with that, I believe the recommendation of 100 percent would be reasonable.

- Q. Mr. Holcomb, is Exhibit Number 6 an affidavit confirming that notice of this hearing has been provided all affected interest owners in accordance with Division rules?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And does Holcomb Oil and Gas seek to be designated operator of the well in the order that results from this hearing?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. In your opinion, will approval of this

 Application and the re-entry and recompletion of this well

 result in the recovery of hydrocarbons that otherwise would

 be left in the ground?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is approval of the Application also in the best interest of conservation, the prevention of waste and the protection of correlative rights?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 6 either prepared by you, or have you reviewed them and can you testify to their

accuracy? 1 2 Α. Yes. MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Brooks, we move the 3 admission into evidence of Holcomb Exhibits 1 through 6. 4 5 EXAMINER BROOKS: 6 being your affidavit of notice --6 7 MR. CARR: Yes, sir. 8 EXAMINER BROOKS: -- which presumably is the correct one this time. 9 10 MR. CARR: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. 11 EXAMINER BROOKS: Couldn't resist that. 12 THE WITNESS: That had to do with why you got that piece of paper back earlier. 13 MR. CARR: Yes, it did. 14 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, Exhibits 1 through 5, 5-A 15 and 6 will be admitted. 16 17 MR. CARR: I did not notify Mr. Hartman in this case. 18 19 EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER BROOKS: 20 21 Now, let me be sure I have everything correct, 0. see if I -- Holcomb Oil and Gas, Inc., is the Applicant and 22 23 also requests to be appointed as the operator; is that correct? 24 25 Correct. Α.

And this is in -- Section 4 is in Township 25 1 0. North? 2 3 25 North, 3 West, that's correct. Α. Yeah, the line between 25 North and 26 North on Q. 4 5 Exhibit 1 runs a third of the way down, correct? 6 Α. Correct. 7 And you've requested it be pooled from the Q. surface to the base of the Pictured Cliffs? 8 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 Now, is that -- That's 160-acre spacing in the Q. 11 Pictured Cliffs; is that correct? 12 Α. Yes. 13 So the southwest quarter would be the applicable unit. And are there any other-size units that are to be 14 15 formed here, or just the 160? Just the 160. 16 Α. 17 Okay. And what is the pool name there? Q. 18 Tapicito-Pictured Cliffs. Α. 19 How is that spelled? Q. T-a-p-a-c-i-t-o. 20 A. T-a-p-a-c-i-t-o? 21 Q. Is that right, Mike? 22 Α. 23 EXAMINER STOGNER: It's T-a-p-i-c-i-t-o. 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. 25 EXAMINER BROOKS: T-a-p-i-c- -- Tapicito-Pictured

Cliffs, and that's 160-acre spacing, correct? 1 Α. Yes. 2 I believe I already asked that. And of course Q. 3 this well has already been drilled, it is at a standard 4 location, I assume? 5 Α. Yes. 6 7 Q. And looking at this plat, it appears to be in the northeast of the southwest; is that correct? 8 Yes, sir. 9 Α. 10 Q. Do you have the footages? 11 Α. It's 1850 from the south line and 1850 from the 12 west line. I believe that's correct. 13 MR. CARR: That's correct. (By Examiner Brooks) And it's in the northeast 14 Q. southwest. 15 16 Α. Yes. And one thing I didn't hear was the 17 0. recommendation on administrative overhead. 18 19 Α. We address that with the \$398 per month, based on the Ernst and Young's latest survey of the year 2000-2001. 20 \$398 producing rate, and there is no drilling 21 Q. 22 rate since you're already drilled the well? Correct. 23 Α. Now, the well was drilled pursuant to the com 24 Q.

25

agreement; is that correct?

Α. Yes. Well, it was drilled based upon an 1 operating agreement at that time and a subsequent com 2 agreement, that's right. 3 Okay, so that the drilling costs have already 4 0. 5 been paid by everyone? 6 A. Yes, sir. And the 100-percent penalty you recommend would 7 8 apply to the costs of recompletion -- to re-entry and 9 recompletion? Yes, sir. 10 Α. EXAMINER BROOKS: I think that's all I have. 11 Do 12 you have anything, Mr. Stogner? 13 EXAMINER STOGNER: I have no questions. 14 MR. BRUCE: I have not questions. 15 MR. CARR: Mr. Examiner, we might confirm for the record, if I could ask one more question. 16 FURTHER EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. CARR: 18 Mr. Holcomb, the interests of the individuals 19 20 represented here today by Mr. Bruce are not going to be 21 subject to pooling; isn't that correct? No, they're participating. 22 Α. That's all I have. 23 MR. CARR: 24 EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. If there's nothing 25 further, then Case Number 12,799 will be taken under

1	advisement.
2	And there being no further matters pending on the
3	docket, the hearing docket will stand adjourned.
4	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
5	8:48 a.m.)
6	* * *
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	de An er that to a Angle
13	the Example of the profession of the Example of the
14	neard by me - Jan 24, 2502.
15	David & Betthe Execution
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL January 24th, 2002.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002