#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

# ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY
THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE
PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF SUNVALLEY ENERGY
CORPORATION FOR COMPULSORY POOLING,
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION OF SUNVALLEY ENERGY
CORPORATION FOR COMPULSORY POOLING,
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

(Consolidated)

# REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Hearing Examiner

February 7th, 2002 Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID K. BROOKS, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, February 7th, 2002, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

# I N D E X

February 7th, 2002 Examiner Hearing CASE NOS. 12,804 and 12,805 (Consolidated)

PAGE

APPEARANCES

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

11

3

\* \* \*

## EXHIBITS

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit A	8	9
Exhibit B	7	-
Exhibit C	-	-
Exhibit D	_	_
Exhibit E	7	_
Exhibit F	8	-
Exhibit G	_	_
Exhibit H	8	_
Exhibit I	-	-
Exhibit B	(12,804) 9	9
Exhibit B	• •	9

\* \* \*

#### APPEARANCES

## FOR THE APPLICANT:

HOLLAND & HART, L.L.P., and CAMPBELL & CARR 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 1 P.O. Box 2208
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208
By: WILLIAM F. CARR

#### ALSO PRESENT:

DAVID R. CATANACH Hearing Examiner New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South Saint Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87501

\* \* \*

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 9:01 a.m.: 3 EXAMINER BROOKS: Next case will be Case 12,084, 4 Application of SunValley Energy Corporation for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. 5 Call for appearances. 6 7 MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is William F. Carr with the Santa Fe office of the law firm 8 Holland and Hart, L.L.P. We represent SunValley Energy 9 10 Corporation in this case. This is a companion case to Case 12,805, and I 11 12 would request that since the evidence is virtually the same 13 in each of these cases, that they be consolidated for the 14 purpose of this hearing. 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: Are there any other appearances 16 in Case 12,804? 17 Very good, we will then call Case 12,805, 18 Application of SunValley Energy Corporation for compulsory 19 pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. 20 And Mr. Carr, we'll note your appearance also in 21 Case 12,805. 22 Are there any other appearances in Case 12,805? 23 There being none, the motion to consolidate for purposes of hearing Case 12,805 and Case 12,804 will be granted. 24 25 Do you have any witnesses?

MR. CARR: No, sir, I do not.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Very good, you may proceed.

MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, SunValley Energy Corporation is presenting these cases pursuant to the alternative procedure authorized by Division Rule 1207.A.(1).(b), and what we're seeking is an order pooling 240-acre oil units for wells to be drilled to an approximate depth of 4900 feet.

This alternative procedure is available where an applicant is unable to locate all operators of interest to be pooled and where the Application is also unopposed by those who can be located. Here we're pooling certain owners whose whereabouts is unknown, and also Devon Energy Corporation who has advised us that they do not oppose the Application.

The nature of the search of the records made by SunValley is spelled out in the affidavit of Tony

Krakauskas, K-r-a-k-a-u-s-k-a-s. He's the vice president of SunValley, and he prepared all the submittals attached to the Application, and his affidavit confirming the accuracy of those submittals is attached to the Application.

As to those parties that we've been unable to locate, the first is Ruth E. Rouse, R-o-u-s-e. The last

oil and gas lease from Ms. Rouse was taken in 1980, and at that time she had an address of 1245 Cherry Street in Denver, Colorado.

She no longer lives at this address, and her whereabouts has been -- we've been unable to identify it either through computer searches or telephone records. The current resident at that address is unable to provide any information as to her whereabouts. Residents of all adjoining properties were contacted. No one there was able to provide any information to us, except one neighbor believes she may have moved to New Mexico about 15 years ago. We again conducted the computer and telephone searches in New Mexico; her whereabouts is unknown.

The second person who we have been unable to locate is James Millward, M-i-l-l-w-a-r-d. He acquired his interest in this property in 1930. There was no address on the original deed to him. There is no record of any oil or gas lease having ever been taken on this interest. There is no evidence of any subsequent conveyance for Mr. Millward. He does not appear in any computer or telephone-record search of the area.

The third unknown and final unknown person is Frankie Oldenburg, O-l-d-e-n-b-e-[sic]-r-g. She's the only known direct heir of Clifton Clem, C-l-e-m, who died in 1988. No oil and gas lease was ever given by Ms.

Oldenburg. Her last known address was in Paramount,

California. The address was obtained from a sister of her

father, Mr. Clem. The address is seven or eight years old,

the sister has lost contact with Ms. Oldenburg, and a

search of the computer records in that portion of

California, in the Los Angeles general area, has produced

no information as to her whereabouts.

Those three individuals' whereabouts is unknown.

The final interest subject to pooling is an interest of Devon Energy Corporation. SunValley has been working with Devon on this matter since March of 2001. A formal well proposal was delivered to them on November the 6th of 2001, and letters to Devon are attached to the Application as Exhibit E.

Devon finally called SunValley in December of this year and advised SunValley they would not oppose the pooling Application. We did, however, provide notice of the hearing and our Application in both of these cases, they were provided to Devon, and they were advised of today's hearing. They have not appeared in this matter.

Now, under this alternative procedure, an applicant provides a map outlining the spacing units to be pooled. The map is attached to each application as Exhibit B. We are to provide the names of the formations and pools. Those are identified on the compulsory pooling form

that I have delivered to the Examiner. These formations involved are the San Andres and Queen formations. The oil pools involved are the Undesignated East Bishop Canyon-San Andres Pool and the Undesignated Bishop Canyon-Queen Pool.

Mr. Krakauskas prepared a structure map, which is marked Exhibit F, and also attached what he has identified as an executive summary. This summary reviews the reason for trying to drill these wells and identifies the risk involved, and it's spelled out in the executive summary. But basically it shows that when you look at the structure map, the target for each of these wells is a narrow structural ridge. If they fail to penetrate this ridge on the high, on the highest portion of it, the well will be wet and not productive. And it also identifies offset wells which miss the high, which in fact were wet and nonproductive.

The overhead and administrative charges which they seek are \$3602 a month while drilling and \$398 a month while producing. Those are set forth on the compulsory pooling forms we have filed.

And Exhibit A to each Application is the Ernst and Young 2000-2001 survey of average rates which confirms that these are, in fact, the appropriate rates for wells in these areas to these depths. An AFE for each well is attached as Exhibit H.

And based on this submittal, and pursuant to the alternative procedure authorized, we request that the Applications in each of these cases, based on the attached affidavits, which we request be treated as the record, be the basis for pooling orders entered by the Division for each of the subject spacing units.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. Does that conclude your presentation?

MR. CARR: That concludes my presentation.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay, the affidavits and attachments will be considered for the record for the purposes of this case, together with your statement.

MR. CARR: Mr. Brooks, we have in each case as Exhibit A the Application with the attached exhibits and affidavit, and I have as Exhibit B in each case a notice affidavit which confirms that the Applications were, in fact, also provided to Devon Energy, and I would request that the Exhibit A and B in each case be admitted into the record.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Exhibits A and B will in each case be admitted and made part of the record.

MR. CARR: Thank you, sir.

EXAMINER BROOKS: Assuming that all the information on the form provided is correct, I don't believe I have any further questions.

```
Mr. Catanach?
 1
 2
                EXAMINER CATANACH: No, sir.
 3
                EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good, Cases Numbers 12,804
     and 12,805 will be taken under advisement.
 4
 5
                MR. CARR:
                            Thank you, sir.
 6
                EXAMINER BROOKS:
                                   Thank you.
 7
                (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
 8
     9:11 a.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

#### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL February 8th, 2002.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002