

**STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING  
CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION  
DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF  
CONSIDERING:**

**CASE NO. 12894  
ORDER NO. R-11799**

**APPLICATION OF TEXAKOMA OIL & GAS CORPORATION FOR AN  
UNORTHODOX COAL GAS WELL LOCATION, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW  
MEXICO.**

**ORDER OF THE DIVISION**

**BY THE DIVISION:**

This case came on for hearing at 8:15 a.m. on July 11, 2002, at Aztec, New Mexico, before Examiner Michael E. Stogner.

NOW, on this 16th day of July, 2002, the Division Director, having considered the record and the recommendations of the Examiner,

**FINDS THAT:**

(1) Due public notice has been given, and the Division has jurisdiction of this case and its subject matter.

(2) The applicant, Texakoma Oil & Gas Corporation ("Texakoma"), seeks approval to drill its proposed Texakoma – USA "23" Well No. 1 (**API No. 30-045-31110**) at an off-pattern non-standard coal gas well location 390 feet from the South line and 627 feet from the East line (Unit P) of Section 23, Township 32 North, Range 13 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico. The E/2 of Section 23, being a standard 320-acre stand-up gas spacing and proration unit for the Basin-Fruitland Coal (Gas) Pool, is to be dedicated to this well.

(3) Texakoma originally filed this application with the Division on June 3, 2002, for administrative review pursuant to the provisions of: (i) Rule 8 of the "*Special Rules and Regulations for the Basin-Fruitland Coal Gas Pool*," as promulgated by Division Order No. R-8768, as amended; (ii) Division Rule 104.F; and (iii) Rule 1207.A (2).

(4) An objection filed by Pure Resources, L.P. ("Pure") on June 17, 2002, caused

this application to be set for hearing.

(5) On July 4, 2002, Pure withdrew its objection. This case was then remanded to the Division's administrative process.

(6) By Administrative Order NSL-4756, dated July 5, 2002, the Division approved the subject application, thereby making this case unnecessary.


(7) Furthermore, the applicant, prior to the hearing, requested this matter be dismissed.

(8) Dismissal of this case should therefore be granted.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:**

Case No. 12894 is hereby dismissed.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



S E A L

STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

  
LORI WROTENBERY  
Director