

From: Bill Baker <billbaker@arringtonoil.com>
To: Randy Patterson <randyp@ypcnm.com>; Scott Hall <shall@mstLAW.com>; Michael Feldewert <MFeldewert@hollandhart.com>
Date: Thursday, September 12, 2002 11:31 AM
Subject: DHAO&G Huma Huma "34" #1

>Randy,
>David asked that I send you a letter discussing the conversation that you and David had last friday about the Huma Huma 34 #1
>please see the attachment and let me know what your thoughts are.
>bill baker

9/27/02

September 12, 2002

Yates Petroleum Corporation
105 South 4th
Artesia, New Mexico 88210

Attention: Mr. Randy Patterson

Re: Huma Huma "34" #1
Section 34, T15S-R34E, Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Randy,

Per a conversation that you had with David Arrington in your offices on Friday, September 6, 2002, Mr. Arrington understood that Yates Petroleum would support David H. Arrington Oil and Gas, Inc. as operator in the above referenced well, with the understanding that Yates does not desire to acquire any non-consent interest that might be available should Great Western Drilling decide to not participate in the well. It is our understanding that DHAO&G would assume any non-consent interest that might be available. If this your understanding, it is very important that we know this as soon as possible to help prepare our defense for the hearing scheduled on October 10, 2002 at the OCD. If you could please write a letter indicating your support of Arrington as operator in the above referenced well, it would be greatly appreciated.

Thank you for your immediate attention in this matter and should you have any additional questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me at (915) 682-6685, ext. 39.

Sincerely,

Bill Baker Jr.
Exploration Manager

Cc: Bill Carr/ Holland & Hart and Campbell & Carr
Scott Hall/ Miller, Stratvert & Torgerson, P.A.

From: Randy Patterson <randyp@ypcnm.com>
To: Bill Baker <billbaker@arringtonoil.com>
Date: Monday, September 16, 2002 9:58 AM
Subject: RE: DHAO&G Huma Huma "34" #1

Bill, you indicate that we AGREED that we would support. We did not agree on the spot. I told David that we will consider his request. We have partners to deal with, as you know. THANKS, RP

-----Original Message-----

From: Bill Baker [mailto:billbaker@arringtonoil.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 12, 2002 9:31 AM
To: Randy Patterson; Scott Hall; Michael Feldewert
Subject: DHAO&G Huma Huma "34" #1

>Randy,
>David asked that I send you a letter discussing the conversation that you and David had last friday about the Huma Huma 34 #1
>please see the attachment and let me know what your thoughts are.
>bill baker

From: Bill Baker <billbaker@arringtonoil.com>
To: Randy Patterson <randyp@ypcnm.com>
Date: Tuesday, September 17, 2002 4:33 PM
Subject: Re: DHAO&G Huma Huma "34" #1

>Randy, thanks for your reply. I understand having to deal with partners. Please try to pool your partners as soon as possible and let us know soon as to your
>support in this well. I know that you and David discussed the right thing to do in these situations and that the single largest interest owner should be the
> operator of the well. I believe that you would support this position as it is the right thing to do. Thanks for your immediate attention in this matter.
>Bill

-----Original Message-----

From: Randy Patterson <randyp@ypcnm.com>
To: Bill Baker <billbaker@arringtonoil.com>
Date: Monday, September 16, 2002 9:58 AM
Subject: RE: DHAO&G Huma Huma "34" #1

Bill, you indicate that we AGREED that we would support. We did not agree on the spot. I told David that we will consider his request. We have partners to deal with, as you know. THANKS, RP

-----Original Message-----

From: Bill Baker [mailto:billbaker@arringtonoil.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 12, 2002 9:31 AM
To: Randy Patterson; Scott Hall; Michael Feldewert
Subject: DHAO&G Huma Huma "34" #1

>Randy,
>David asked that I send you a letter discussing the conversation that you and David had last friday about the Huma Huma 34 #1
>please see the attachment and let me know what your thoughts are.
>bill baker

From: Bill Baker <billbaker@arringtonoil.com>
To: Randy Patterson <randyp@ypcnm.com>
Date: Friday, September 27, 2002 2:28 PM
Subject: DHAO&G Huma Huma "34" 1

>Randy,
>on September 17th I sent you an e-mail requesting Yates to please contact your partners in the Huma Huma well
>and determine your position as to supporting Arrington as operator of this well. I hope you have had time to contact
>these partners as I really need to know Yates position in supporting Arrington. If you could please let me know this
>as soon as possible, I would greatly appreciate it. Thank you for your attention in this matter.
>Bill Baker

9/27/02

From: David Arrington <david@arringtonoil.com>
To: Randy Patterson <randyp@ypcnm.com>
Cc: Bill Carr <wcarr@hollandhart.com>; Bill Baker <BILLBAKER@ARRINGTONOIL.COM>; DALE DOUGLAS <DDRDMIDLAND@AOL.COM>
Date: Wednesday, October 02, 2002 5:20 PM

DEAR RANDY, OCTOBER 2, 2002

RE :HUMA HUMA

HAVE YOU MADE A DECISION YET ON WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO DO??? WE HAVE A HEARING NEXT WEEK AND STILL HAVE NOT HEARD FROM YOU ON YOUR DECISION.

THANK YOU

DAVID H. ARRINGTON

10/4/02