STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO CASE NO. 12,929

)

)

)

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: DAVID K. BROOKS, Hearing Examiner

September 19th, 2002

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID K. BROOKS, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, September 19th, 2002, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

1

INDEX	
September 19th, 2002 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 12,929	
	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	4
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
<u>D. PAUL HADEN</u> (Landman) Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce Examination by Mr. Feldewert	6 14
<u>BRIAN M. MONTGOMERY</u> (Engineer) Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	17
<u>MIKE BURKE</u> (Geologist) Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce Examination by Examiner Brooks	20 28
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	34
* * *	

EXHIBITS

Applicant's		Identified	Admitted
Exhibit	1	6	14
Exhibit	2	7	14
Exhibit	3	10	14
Exhibit	4	12	14
Exhibit	5	17	19
Exhibit	6	20	28
Exhibit	7	21	28
Exhibit	8	23	28
Exhibit	9	24	28
Exhibit	10	24	28
Exhibit	11	25	28
Exhibit	12	26	28
Exhibit	13	13	14

* * *

,

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

DAVID K. BROOKS Attorney at Law Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department Assistant General Counsel 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

JAMES G. BRUCE Attorney at Law 324 McKenzie Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

FOR HARVEY E. YATES COMPANY and SHINNERY INVESTMENT COMPANY:

HOLLAND & HART, L.L.P., and CAMPBELL & CARR 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 1 P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 By: MICHAEL H. FELDEWERT

* * *

ALSO PRESENT:

MICHAEL E. STOGNER Hearing Examiner New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South Saint Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87505

* * *

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

4

	5
1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	11:30 a.m.:
3	EXAMINER BROOKS: And we'll call Case Number
4	12,929, the Application of Mewbourne Oil Company for
5	compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.
6	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe,
7	representing the Applicant. I have three witnesses.
8	MR. FELDEWERT: Michael Feldewert with the Santa
9	Fe office of the law firm of Holland and Hart. I'm here on
10	behalf of Harvey E. Yates Company as well as Shinnery
11	Investment Company, and I have no witnesses today.
12	MR. BRUCE: And Mr. Examiner, if the record could
13	reflect that the three witnesses I have, Mr. Haden, Mr.
14	Montgomery and Mr. Burke, have all been previously sworn
15	and qualified.
16	EXAMINER BROOKS: The record will so reflect.
17	The exhibit folder, I take it, is the one labeled
18	"Esperanza '14' State Com. #1 Well"?
19	MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir.
20	EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good, you may proceed
21	Hold on a second.
22	(Off the record)
23	EXAMINER BROOKS: We will In order to be more
24	expeditious about disposing the docket, Mr. Stogner has
25	indicated to me that he would like to hear the Application

1 in Case Number --(Off the record) 2 EXAMINER BROOKS: Oh, I see, I see. 3 EXAMINER STOGNER: Let's go off the record. 4 (Off the record) 5 EXAMINER BROOKS: Back on the record. 6 7 D. PAUL HADEN, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 8 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 9 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BRUCE: 11 12 ο. Mr. Haden, could you identify Exhibit 1 and state 13 what Mewbourne seeks in this case? 14 Α. Exhibit Number 1 is a land plat. It indicates the proposed spacing unit, being outlined in yellow. 15 It also indicates the proposed location, which is a red dot. 16 The proposed location is currently at 685 feet from the 17 south line and 660 feet from the west line of Section 14, 18 Township 21 South, Range 27 East, the spacing unit being 19 the west half. 20 And do you seek to pool in this one from the -- I 21 0. 22 forget, from the surface or from the base of the Yates formation? 23 This would be from below the base of the Yates 24 Α. 25 formation through the base of the Morrow formation.

	,
1	Q. And do you also seek to pool the southwest
2	quarter of Section 14 and the southwest southwest of
3	Section 14 for 160- and 40-acre units, respectively?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. This is state land involved in this state
6	minerals involved in this Application?
7	A. Yes, the west half is all state minerals.
8	Q. Now, although it's not in the Application, in
9	this case do you seek to exclude the Atoka formation from
10	the pooling Application?
11	A. Yes, sir, we seek to exclude the Atoka formation
12	at this current time, as there is a marginal Atoka gas well
13	in the northwest quarter which is currently dedicated to
14	the north half of 14.
15	Q. Okay. Looking at the west half unit, as in the
16	previous Exhibit 2, does that set forth the interest
17	ownership in the three well units you are talking about?
18	A. Yes, it does.
19	Q. And without going into detail, let's perhaps turn
20	to the page 2 of this exhibit, which shows the west half
21	of Section 14. At this time, who do you seek to pool in
22	this case?
23	A. At this time we seek to pool Devon Energy
24	Production Company, L.P.; Shinnery Investment Company;
25	Yates Energy Corporation; Cibola Energy Corporation;

7

1	Jalapeno Corporation; and the other parties have committed
2	their interests in written form.
3	Q. Okay. Now, with respect Mr. Feldewert is here
4	on behalf of Harvey E. Yates Company and Shinnery
5	Investment Company. Has there been a preliminary agreement
6	with those parties?
7	A. Yes, Harvey E. Yates Company, who also speaks for
8	Shinnery Investment Company, has agreed to execute a term
9	assignment in our favor, of which I've requested them to
10	submit their form of assignment for our approval. Thus far
11	I have not seen that, so until there is an executed written
12	agreement we will continue to name them in the pooling.
13	Once this agreement is finalized, we would therefore
14	dismiss them.
15	Q. Okay. And then with respect to the other ones,
16	Yates Energy, Cibola Energy and Jalapeno Corporation, have
17	tentative agreements been reached with them?
18	A. Yes, Yates Energy Corporation, as of last Friday,
19	has agreed to join in the proposed well. I am to send them
20	our proposed operating agreement the very first of next
21	week, at which time they will be executing same.
22	Also Cibola Energy Corporation and Jalapeno
23	Corporation, an agreement was made just this last Monday
24	with Harvey E. Yates, Jr., that he's agreed to also give us
25	a term assignment. That term assignment has not as of this

1	date been executed, but the form itself has been
2	tentatively agreed on, which all of these parties which
3	I've just mentioned would be dismissed from the pooling
4	Application once there is a formal executed agreement.
5	Q. And finally Devon Energy, what is their status?
6	A. They've agreed to join with us as of yesterday.
7	Again, we will forward them our joint operating agreement
8	for their review and execution, and once they are signed up
9	on the operating agreement and they have signed the AFE,
10	they would also be dismissed.
11	Q. Okay. Now, on both the cases you're testifying
12	about, Mr. Haden, I mean, there have been tentative
13	agreements reached with these parties. Why is Mewbourne
14	moving forward at this time?
15	A. We have rig scheduling which we have some
16	problems with. If we were to delay these we could not
17	drill these wells timely. We plan way ahead in scheduling
18	our drilling programs, which generally start the very first
19	of fall of each year and go on through the summertime.
20	So we have to make sure that we have all of our
21	agreements in place, made, so we can move forward on
22	drilling the wells timely, as well as trade agreements
23	expiring, et cetera.
24	Q. Approximately how many wells does Mewbourne
25	intend to drill over the next year or so?

.

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

9

1	A. Over the next year or so, we plan to drill as
2	many as 20 to 25 Morrow wells at Between now and then,
3	we are putting acreage together to do so.
4	Q. And so it's just time-consuming, and you need to
5	move forward?
6	A. Right.
7	Q. Okay. One other thing with respect to Devon.
8	Devon has been inactive during this past year, and
9	A. Yes, apparently they have Well, they've
10	indicated that their budget was limited for participating
11	in Morrow wells, and in fact, they've indicated to us, just
12	go ahead and file a pooling application and on our receipt
13	of same then we will start looking at your proposal.
14	Q. Let's move on to your Exhibit 3 and just briefly
15	discuss the outline of your communications with the parties
16	regarding this well, Mr. Haden.
17	A. Okay, Exhibit Number 3 is a summary of the
18	communications with the various parties, of which the
19	proposed well was proposed to Devon Energy February 21st,
20	'02, and an AFE was also enclosed in the proposal with an
21	offer to acquire their interest if they chose not to join,
22	and they could retain an overriding royalty interest also.
23	There's numerous conversations throughout this period.
24	The initial location was first proposed at 1980
25	from the south and then 660 from the west. Through further

1	geological evaluation, we decided to drill this well 660
2	from the south and 660 from the west, due to geological
3	considerations and also for surface considerations.
4	So you'll note that I re-proposed this well
5	August 1st. Of course, the geology doesn't change over
6	time. Our general idea is to drill a well in the southwest
7	quarter, the same
8	Q. And so there have been about over seven months
9	of communication
10	A. Right.
11	Q with some of these parties? Would the same
12	apply to Harvey E. Yates Company?
13	A. That's correct, and also Shinnery Investment
14	Company have, or we first proposed our well April 8th to
15	them. Due to some title Harvey E. Yates, Jr., supplied
16	us with some additional title information which indicated
17	that Shinnery Investment Company did, in fact, own an
18	interest in this spacing unit.
19	Q. So in other words, when you got your original
20	title report, it didn't have Shinnery
21	A. That's correct, and then
22	Q and Shinnery's interest is not of record?
23	A. Right.
24	Q. Okay.
25	A. As soon as we found that out, that's when we

1	proposed the well to them.
2	Q. Okay. But with the other parties that you're
3	seeking to pool today, there have been negotiations
4	regarding a well in the southwest quarter since February of
5	this year?
6	A. Right, and then re-proposed August 1st.
7	Q. And does Exhibit 4 just contain a packet
8	containing the information with the various parties who you
9	seek to pool?
10	A. Yes, it contains communications, either in
11	writing or notations from my phone conversations with these
12	parties.
13	Q. In your opinion, has Mewbourne made a good-faith
14	effort to obtain the voluntary joinder of these interest
15	owners in the well?
16	A. Yes, we have, and we expect to have voluntary
17	agreements with all the parties.
18	Q. Does Mewbourne request that it be designated
19	operator of the well?
20	A. Yes, we request.
21	Q. And what is your recommendation for the overhead
22	rates for the well?
23	A. We're requesting in this area \$6000 per month as
24	to the drilling cost and \$600 per month for the producing
25	well rates.

Q. And again, these amounts are equivalent to those
charged by Mewbourne and other operators in this area?
A. Right, as well as these amounts were agreed upon
with the interest owners in the unit, of which some have
already executed an operating agreement.
Q. Does Mewbourne request that the overhead rates be
adjusted under the COPAS accounting procedure?
A. Yes, we so request.
Q. And were all of the parties notified of this
hearing?
A. Yes, they were.
Q. Does Exhibit 13 contain the notice letter and the
certified return receipts?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 4 and 13 prepared by you
or under your supervision or compiled from company business
records?
A. That's correct.
Q. And in your opinion is the granting of
Mewbourne's Application in the interests of conservation
and the prevention of waste?
A. Yes.
MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender the admission
of Mewbourne Exhibits 1 through 4 and 13.
EXAMINER BROOKS: Any objection?

MR. FELDEWERT: No objection. 1 EXAMINER BROOKS: One through 4 and 13 are 2 admitted. 3 MR. BRUCE: And I pass the witness. 4 EXAMINER BROOKS: I don't believe I have any 5 questions. Do you, Mr. Stogner? 6 EXAMINER STOGNER: No, I do not. 7 8 MR. FELDEWERT: Examiner -- If I may. 9 EXAMINER BROOKS: Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah, that's 10 right. MR. FELDEWERT: I just have some very brief ones. 11 EXAMINER BROOKS: Mr. Feldewert? 12 EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. FELDEWERT: 14 Mr. Haden, I'm looking at Exhibit Number 2. 15 Q. If 16 you could turn to the second page where you show the west half of Section 14, that's your 320 --17 18 Α. Right. I'm assuming this is a typo. It looks like you 19 Q. don't have an interest listed there for Harvey E. Yates 20 21 Company? 22 Α. Well, you're absolutely correct. 23 MR. BRUCE: They would have an interest. Right, they have -- Look on page 1 24 THE WITNESS: 25 of this same exhibit, and it has their interest, Harvey E.

	15
1	Yates Company, 16.649814 percent.
2	Q. (By Mr. Feldewert) So it would roughly be half?
3	A. Right, it would be 8-point-something percent
4	Q. Okay.
5	A on a spacing unit basis for the west half.
6	Q. Okay. Now you mentioned that you had reached an
7	agreement with Harvey E. Yates Company and Shinnery. I
8	want you to look at Exhibit Number 4.
9	A. Right.
10	Q. Now, my packet has about three or four stapled
11	sections. I'm going to turn to the second stapled section
12	of Exhibit Number 4
13	A. Okay.
14	Q which begins with a letter dated September
15	6th, 2002; is that right?
16	A. That's right.
17	Q. Okay. Now, this is a Did you receive this
18	letter?
19	A. Yes, I did, I've got a copy of it.
20	Q. All right, and you've reviewed it, right?
21	A. I've reviewed it.
22	Q. Okay. Now, it reflects a telephone conversation
23	with you and Melissa Randle in which you, I'm assuming,
24	discussed this agreement; is that right?
25	A. She said she would prepare the exhibit and send

1	it to me. I have not seen this exhibit. I advised her
2	that we would review her form of agreement, and if it was
3	satisfactory we would accept it. But we have not seen her
4	form of agreement.
5	Q. All right, well, let me ask you this. She set
6	out some terms in this letter in which she says she agrees
7	to grant Mewbourne Oil Company a term assignment, and she
8	sets forth the terms, right?
9	A. Right, those terms are agreeable.
10	Q. You had no difficulty with those terms?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Okay, all right. And she says Heyco's going to
13	prepare and execute its form term assignment as soon as
14	possible. Have you seen their form term assignment before?
15	A. No, sir, I have not.
16	Q. You never have?
17	A. Never.
18	Q. Okay.
19	A. I assume the same form would apply to Shinnery
20	Investment Company.
21	Q. Yes, yes. Okay, so right now you're just waiting
22	on the form term assignment from Ms. Randle, and then we
23	can get this deal finished?
24	A. Which I'm sure would be acceptable.
25	Q. Okay, that's all I have. Thank you.

Okay, any redirect? 1 EXAMINER BROOKS: MR. BRUCE: No questions from me, Mr. Examiner. 2 EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good, the witness may step 3 4 down. MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I'm not going to 5 have any further questions. If I may be excused --6 EXAMINER BROOKS: You wish to be excused from 7 continued attendance? 8 9 MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah, I will not have any further 10 questions. EXAMINER BROOKS: That is satisfactory. 11 12 MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you. 13 BRIAN M. MONTGOMERY, 14 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. BRUCE: 17 Mr. Montgomery, could you identify Exhibit 5 for 18 Q. the Examiner? 19 I believe that's the exhibit showing our 20 Α. authorization for expenditure, an AFE, which is an 21 estimated cost to drill and complete a Morrow well at a 22 depth of 11,900 feet, and we reference the well name as the 23 24 Esperanza "14" State Number 1. 25 And this is for a well 660 feet from the south Q.

1	line and 660 feet from the west line. You've heard Mr.
2	Haden say that that location was moved slightly due to
3	surface reasons?
4	A. Right, prior to the preparation of this AFE I
5	believe it was at a different location, but since then we
6	moved it, and this was prepared after that.
7	Q. Yeah, it had only been moved about 25 feet to the
8	north or west, I believe?
9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. Off the top of my head. But that slight move
11	would not change any of the figures in this AFE, would it?
12	A. No, it would not.
13	Q. And again, what are the well costs?
14	A. The actual well costs for drilling would be
15	\$640,000, and completion \$422,500, for a total of
16	\$1,062,500.
17	Q. And are these costs in line with the costs of
18	other wells drilled to this depth in this area of New
19	Mexico?
20	A. They are.
21	Q. And who was this prepared by?
22	A. This was prepared by our district manager of
23	operations in the Hobbs district, Mickey Young, and
24	approved by his vice president at Mewbourne Oil Company,
25	Monty Whetstoe.

.

1	O and have new news there figures and do you
	Q. And have you reviewed these figures, and do you
2	agree with them?
3	A. I do.
4	Q. And again, this is immediately to the south of
5	the first case we had. Does your estimate of the summary
6	of risks and economics in this well conform to what you
7	testified about with respect to the Section 11 well?
8	A. Yes, yes, this is a primary a middle Morrow,
9	as you've heard, test, and the risks are very similar here
10	as they were in the previous, and there are significant
11	risks in drilling Morrow wells.
12	Q. And in your opinion, the maximum cost-plus-200-
13	percent risk penalty should be assessed?
14	A. Yes, I think so.
15	Q. In your opinion, is the granting of this
16	Application in the interests of conservation and the
17	prevention of waste?
18	A. Yes.
19	MR. BRUCE: And Mr. Examiner, I would move the
20	admission of Mewbourne Exhibit 5.
21	EXAMINER BROOKS: Exhibit 5 is admitted.
22	MR. BRUCE: I pass the witness.
23	EXAMINER BROOKS: I have no questions of this
24	witness.
25	EXAMINER STOGNER: No questions.

1	EXAMINER BROOKS: And I'm sorry, I've forgotten
2	your name.
3	MR. BURKE: Mike Burke.
4	MR. BRUCE: Mike Burke.
5	EXAMINER BROOKS: Thank you.
6	MIKE BURKE,
7	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
8	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. BRUCE:
11	Q. Mr. Burke, before we begin, your testimony with
12	respect to the Section 14 well is quite similar to what you
13	testified about regarding the Section 11 well, is it not?
14	A. Yes, sir, it is.
15	Q. Well, why don't you go through your exhibits and
16	abbreviate your testimony and discuss the risks involved in
17	drilling your proposed well?
18	A. I shall. Exhibit Number 6 is a structure map at
19	the top of the oil formation. All of the annotations and
20	details of this map are identical to the map that I
21	presented in the last hearing. The large gray-shaded
22	the gray shading behind there indicates the relative order
23	of magnitude of production from the Morrow, which is
24	annotated on this map in red, both cumulative as well as
25	the last current month's rate.

	21
1	And if you look in the southwest part of 11,
2	you'll see an open circle there, which is the location that
3	we just spoke of in the last hearing, the Esperanza
4	proposed Esperanza "11" well.
5	The well here in 14 that we are proposing is
6	moving in a downdip direction, a little further downdip.
7	Similar production characteristics of the Morrow exist in
8	this area. The better wells are off to the west, updip,
9	where they produce from both the middle and the lower
10	Morrow.
11	Q. The good wells off to the west, though, are not
12	as good as the wells to the north, apparently?
13	A. No, because the wells to the north are even
14	further updip, and due to that updip position produce more
15	gas and less water.
16	Q. Okay. Why don't you move on to your cross-
17	section briefly and again identify that?
18	A. Cross-section A-A' in the north goes from the
19	well that was actually in the last cross-section; it's the
20	well in the northwest part of Section 14. That well was
21	originally drilled, drill stem tested in the middle and
22	lower Morrow and found to be water-productive in the lower
23	Morrow, gas-productive in the middle Morrow, perforated in
24	the blue sand, 11,428 to 11,456, and made about 640 million
25	cubic feet of gas before that zone depleted.

They subsequently recompleted that well to the 1 Atoka, and there it currently produces at a very low rate 2 and has made about 85 million cubic feet of gas since about 3 4 1989. The well in the middle part of the section, 5 cross-section, is an old Humble well that was originally 6 drilled and abandoned by Humble back in the early 1960s. 7 They drill stem tested in the lower Morrow and found it to 8 be wet, and they felt that the middle Morrow at that time 9 did not have enough permeability to be a productive 10 reservoir. 11 The well was re-entered a year later by a company 12 by the name of Star Oil and Gas, and they did not complete 13 in the Morrow, but they did make a Wolfcamp completion up 14 15 the hole. That Wolfcamp completion came on at 168 barrels a day, and I don't have the cumulative number there --16 yeah, I do -- no, I don't have the cumulative number, but 17 I'll show you that on a subsequent exhibit that has all the 18 production figures. 19 20 The third well on the cross-section is a well 21 that we drilled, Mewbourne Oil Company drilled, about a year ago and completed in the middle Morrow blue sand. 22 23 Perforations are in the depth track on the right, and this 24 was a nice well, it came on over a million cubic feet a day and had at or near virgin bottomhole pressure. 25

And it's been producing for -- If you look on the 1 cumulative numbers, that .31 BCF of gas, that's for the 2 months of January through May. So it came on at a good 3 rate, and in May it was still making about 74 million cubic 4 feet a month. It's fallen off quite a bit since then, but 5 we feel like it was an economic venture. 6 7 That well, just for your note, if you look at the 8 well just to the northwest of it in Section 23, is about 800 feet from a well that was drilled by Amoco and plugged 9 10 in the Morrow, because they felt like it was not productive. The middle Morrow blue sand in that well was 11 much tighter than what we encountered in this well, and I 12 13 just point this out to show you the rapid variability of porosity and permeability within the gross sands in this 14 15 area. That's really all I have for that exhibit. 16 Why don't you discuss your next map, Exhibit 8, 17 ο. which is the isopach of the middle Morrow? 18 Yes, this is the isopach map of that blue sand 19 Α. that you saw on the cross-section. It's our primary 20 objective in this prospect. We've made a completion in 21 this sand down in Section 23, the well I just spoke about. 22 We've also made a completion in this sand in 23 about the middle part of Section 15 there. You'll see a 24 25 well that was drilled in -- or completed in 2002, that's

1	made about 320 million cubic feet of gas and had a rate in
2	May of about 76 million cubic feet.
3	Based on subsurface well control and production
4	back to the up in the north part of this section, about
5	.6 from this zone, we feel like we have a reasonable chance
6	of encountering this particular reservoir with a pretty
7	good bottomhole pressure and are hoping for some good
8	porosity and permeability.
9	That's really the only significant Morrow
10	reservoir that we feel like we could count on at this
11	particular location, so I would say in regard to the
12	Morrow, this is perhaps a little riskier prospect than the
13	one that I testified to in Section 11.
14	Q. Is Exhibit 9, then, just a production plat of all
15	the zones?
16	A. That is correct, Exhibit 9 as well as the map.
17	And Exhibit 10 is a summary of what is depicted
18	in the nine sections surrounding the proposed well.
19	As with earlier testimony, I call your attention
20	to the Morrow formation. It has an average of 2.2 BCF with
21	a median of 1.17 for this area. That's not as good as the
22	averages and medians we saw in Section 11. In Section 11
23	it was about 2.8 average and about 2.3 median. And the
24	reason here is because the area of investigation for this
25	particular study is since it's slightly downdip to the

1	area of investigation, and so your numbers go down, as we
2	discussed about how as you move offstructure, fewer Morrow
3	sands are productive.
4	Q. And your comments regarding the variability of
5	the Strawn and the Atoka and the Wolfcamp would also apply
6	to this area, would it not?
7	A. That is correct. The only difference would be
8	that we'll be in a little bit further downdip position, and
9	it makes the Strawn an even longer shot, and it really
10	doesn't affect the Atoka or the Wolfcamp or any of the
11	others.
12	Q. Except as to the Delaware and the Bone Spring,
13	you are really stepping out further from the established
14	Delaware and Bone Spring production, are you not?
15	A. That is correct.
16	Q. Okay. What does Exhibit 11 show?
17	A. Exhibit 11 is a map on the top of the Strawn
18	formation. It has the order-of-magnitude gray dots behind
19	the wells for the Strawn, the Wolfcamp and the Atoka, and
20	it has production, cumulative production and current
21	production, for the Strawn in blue, for the Wolfcamp in
22	green and for the Atoka in purple.
23	And really, again, what this shows is, of those
24	three shallow horizons, again, the updip production from
25	the Strawn is the best, and that as you move downdip into

1	the more distal locations like where we are in 14, you
2	really cannot count on multiple-BCF wells from any of these
3	formations as you can with the updip wells.
4	Q. And what is Exhibit 12, Mr. Burke?
5	A. Twelve is a cross-section. It's depicted on
6	Exhibit 11 and shows these three shallow formations in the
7	area. It shows the relative continuity of them on the far
8	left.
9	You look there and you see a well that was
10	perforated in the Strawn colored in blue with the
11	perforations in the depth track. It's about a .6-BCF well,
12	11,000 barrels of oil.
13	As you move to the right on the cross-section in
14	the old Humble well that we discussed earlier, it was
15	tested extensively in the Strawn and just had too high of a
16	water cut to be productive. And they subsequently
17	completed in the Wolfcamp up the hole, where the green zone
18	is shaded, and they made a pretty good Wolfcamp well that,
19	you know, over a period of time made 453 million cubic feet
20	of gas and 23,000 barrels of oil. That well is plugged
21	now. So, you know, they more or less, where we're planning
22	to drill our well, have condemned the Strawn.
23	Then as you look further to the south, I go to
24	that Amoco well that I spoke briefly about earlier. They
25	attempted a completion in the Morrow and the Atoka, and

1	they actually the production records on that show that
2	they actually made 99 MCF a day, initially, on the Morrow
3	and 736 a day on the Atoka in a dual completion. When you
4	check the production records, you find there's no
5	production attributed to the Morrow and only 152 million to
6	the Atoka, which makes both of them, you know, non-economic
7	zones for that type of depth.
8	They skipped over the Strawn and they came up and
9	they made a completion in the Wolfcamp that came on at a
10	million a day and 15 barrels of oil that, you know,
11	ultimately cum'd not a lot, 124 million cubic feet of
12	gas and 5000 barrels of oil.
13	So in this particular well, none of the zones
14	really were productive enough to justify paying out the
15	well, and really a composite of all of the zones was not
16	good enough to pay out the well. So it's I put this one
17	on the cross-section just to show as you move downdip, the
18	risk is getting greater, and there have been other wells
19	that have not paid out.
20	Q. So there is substantial risk involved in drilling
21	this proposed well?
22	A. That is correct.
23	Q. And in your opinion, should the maximum cost-
24	plus-200-percent penalty be assessed against any
25	nonconsenting interest?

Yes, sir, it should. 1 Α. And were Exhibits 6 through 12 prepared by you or Q. 2 under your supervision? 3 Yes, I prepared them. 4 Α. And in your opinion is the granting of this 5 Q. Application in the interests of conservation and the 6 7 prevention of waste? 8 Α. Yes, sir, I believe it is. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd tender the 9 10 admission of Mewbourne Exhibits 6 through 12. 11 EXAMINER BROOKS: Six through 12 will be 12 admitted. 13 MR. BRUCE: And I have no further questions. 14 EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, let me just clarify some of the facts here, and then I'll let Mr. Stogner explore it 15 some more if he thinks it's appropriate. 16 EXAMINATION 17 BY EXAMINER BROOKS: 18 But Exhibit Number 9, does that show all of the 19 ο. wells that you're aware of in this area? 20 Yes, sir, all of the wells. 21 Α. Now, the well in the northeast of the northwest, 22 Q. 23 is that the Atoka well that you referred to that's dedicated to a north-half unit? 24 25 Yes, sir, it is. Α.

28

1	Q.	I'm sorry, that a previous witness referred to?
2	Α.	Yes, sir.
3	Q.	And that well is currently producing only from
4	the Atoka	?
5	Α.	That's correct.
6	Q.	And if I correctly read the color-coding on here,
7	that well	has also produced from the Morrow in the past?
8	А.	Yes, sir, it has.
9	Q.	And has it been plugged back so that it's no
10	longer op	en completion in the Morrow?
11	Α.	That's correct.
12	Q.	And that well produced a billion cubic feet in
13	the Morro	w; is that correct?
14	Α.	About 650 million. Look to the right at the
15	Q.	Oh, to the right is the Morrow.
16	Α.	Yeah, to the right is the cumulative, and then to
17	the left a	and italicized is the current rate.
18	Q.	Okay, so that's the rate in the Atoka?
19	Α.	Right, about a million cubic feet a month.
20	Q.	Okay, but we're excluding the Atoka from this
21	proceeding	g, correct?
22	Α.	That's correct.
23	Q.	Okay. Has that ever produced in any other
24	formation	s, other than those two?
25	Α.	No, sir.
•		

1	Q. Now, the well in the southeast of the northeast,
2	that's upper Permian?
3	A. That's correct, that's a very shallow well at a
4	depth of about 500 feet in the Yates.
5	Q. So that one would not be within this interval,
6	that has no perforations in the interval that you're asking
7	to
8	A. I don't believe we're asking for that interval in
9	our Application.
10	Q. Yeah, you're starting from the base of the
11	Yates
12	A. That's
13	Q that's what I was trying to clarify.
14	A. Okay.
15	Q. So there's no perforations there in that well
16	that would in any way, at any time, have affected this
17	proceeding?
18	A. No, sir.
19	Q. Okay. Now, the next The close well here is
20	the one in the northeast of the southeast of 15, correct?
21	A. That's the next deep well, yes, sir.
22	Q. Yeah. And did you say that one was Mewbourne's
23	well, or no, that was the one
24	A. The
25	Q. No, the Mewbourne well you testified about was
L	

1	down in 23, correct?
2	A. No, the one in the northeast of the southeast
3	that on Exhibit Number 9 is a red dot, that is a Mewbourne
4	of Section 15.
5	Q. Oh, okay, I was right the first time.
6	A. That's a Mewbourne Morrow completion that we
7	drilled last year, yes, sir.
8	And the only other nearby deep completion, if
9	you'll look just to the east of it there, there's a green
10	dot on a well. That's the Humble well on the cross-section
11	that made about 450 million out of the Wolfcamp and 23,000
12	barrels. It's been plugged out, and they never attempted a
13	completion in the Morrow; they felt like it was too tight.
14	But that was drilled in 1962, a pretty good ten years,
15	at least, before most of this development took place, and
16	they just felt like it wasn't economic at that time.
17	Q. The Humble well, the one you referred to as the
18	old Humble well
19	A. Yes.
20	Q that was drilled in 1962, is in the northeast
21	of the southeast of 15; is that right?
22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. And the Mewbourne well that you talked about just
24	before that is in the northwest of the southeast of 15?
25	A. That's correct.

1	Q. And that appears in a rust-color dot on the map
2	that is Exhibit 9, correct?
3	A. Well, I'm kind of color-blind, but it looks red
4	to me.
5	Q. Okay, red I guess is a relative term, but it's
6	the color that corresponds to the marker
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q on that? Okay. And when was that well
9	completed?
10	A. It was completed last year and actually went on
11	line in January. We drilled it in the fall and got it on
12	line in January.
13	Q. And it's produced 320,000 MCF?
14	A. Yeah, about a third of a BCF from the 1st of
15	January through May.
16	Q. Okay, and what's the current producing rate?
17	A. That well I saw it on a report the other day.
18	The current rate that I've seen as of last month, we put
19	that well on compression, and it was doing about 2 million
20	cubic feet a day, after probably a cumulative production up
21	to date of close to half a BCF, so it's a pretty good well.
22	EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Mr. Stogner?
23	EXAMINER STOGNER: I have no questions.
24	EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. Is that all?
25	MR. BRUCE: I have nothing further in this

1	matter, Mr. Examiner, but I will give you the forms with
2	the pool rules for your information.
3	EXAMINER BROOKS: Very good. Then Case Number
4	12,929 will be taken under advisement, and we will stand in
5	recess until 1:30.
6	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
7	12:10 p.m.)
8	* * *
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Complete record of the
15	the Examiner hearing of Case 10 00 C
16	heard by me on Left 19 2002.
17	Oil Conservation Division
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL September 22nd, 2002.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002