STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

CASE NOS. (12,934) 12,867, 12,935, 12,567, 12,535, 12,590, 12,569, 12,738 and 12,794 and 12,897 (Continued)

CONTINUED CASES

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

ORIGINAL

BEFORE: LORI WROTENBERY, CHAIRMAN JAMI BAILEY, COMMISSIONER

September 27th, 2002 Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the fil
Conservation Commission, LORI WROTENBERY, Chairman, on
Friday, September 27th, 2002, at the New Mexico Energy,
Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint
Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T.
Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of
New Mexico.

* * *

INDEX

September 27th, 2002 Commission Hearing CASE NOS. 12,934 12,867, 12,935, 12,567, 12,535, 12,590, 12,569, 12,738 and 12,794 and 12,897 (Continued)

PAGE

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

7

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE COMMISSION:

STEPHEN C. ROSS
Assistant General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South Saint Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 9:05 a.m.:

CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Go on the record, go ahead and make a note. It's the Commission's hearing on Friday, September 27th, 2002. It's a little after 9:00 a.m., and we're in Porter Hall in Santa Fe, New Mexico.

We have two Commissioners present today, which does make a quorum. I'm Lori Wrotenbery, serving as Chair of the Commission, and Commissioner Jami Bailey is also present representing Land Commissioner Ray Powell.

Commissioner Robert Lee could not be here today, and so we are going to defer action -- or defer hearing testimony, in one of the cases in which I think it's very important that he be here, and that is Case 12,934, which is the Application of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division for repeal of Rule 402, the method and time of shut-in pressure tests. We were going to hear a presentation from the Division on that proposal today, as well as hear any public testimony, but since Commissioner Lee can't be here, we've decided to take that up at our October meeting instead.

We also have several other cases that have been continued or dismissed, either one.

One is Case 12,867. This is the Application of the Oil Conservation Division to amend and adopt rules

pertaining to surface commingling. And there's a note on 1 the docket that this case will be dismissed. 2 Mr. Brooks, can you explain what's the status of 3 that case? 4 MR. BROOKS: Madame Chairman, Commissioner 5 Bailey, there are two surface commingling cases that have 6 been filed. That was the result of an administrative 7 8 error. I filed one, not knowing that a previous 9 Application had actually been filed. 10 The first Application is being dismissed. The Division is requesting that the second Application be 11 continued till the November docket. 12 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Okay, and I see that now. 13 The second Application is Case 12,935. 14 MR. ROSS: Right. 15 16 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: And it's the Application of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division to amend Rules 17 303.B, 309.B, 309.C, 303.A and 309.A. And that case has 18 19 been continued to --MR. BROOKS: -- to the November --20 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: November 22nd. 21 22 MR. BROOKS: Correct. Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Thank you. And then we have a set of cases numbered 12,567, 24 12,535, 12,590, 12,569, 12,738 and 12,794, relating to 25

competing compulsory pooling Applications of Ocean Energy Resources, Yates Petroleum Corporation and -- I was thinking David Arrington was involved in those cases too, but am I thinking of the wrong set of cases?

At any rate, those Applications are being heard de novo by the Commission, and the parties have requested that the cases be continued for six months. They are continuing to work with one another, as I understand it, to try to resolve those issues.

No, that is not the set of cases that involved Arrington, I was confused there. Now I see what we've got going here.

Okay, and then Case 12,897 is the Application of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division through the Environmental Bureau Chief for the adoption of amendments to Division Rule 118 on hydrogen sulfide gas, and we took additional testimony in that case and determined to continue the Case to the October 25th meeting.

I think that takes care of the pending matters that we need to address today.

The minutes of the August 30th, 2002, Commission Hearing are also on the docket. And Commissioner Bailey, have you had a chance to review these minutes?

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes, I have, and I move that we adopt them.

```
CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: And I'll second that
 1
 2
     motion. All in favor say "Aye".
 3
               COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Aye.
               CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Aye. And I'll sign those
 4
     on behalf of the Commission. Thank you.
 5
 6
                (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
 7
     9:10 a.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL September 27th, 2002.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002