STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 12,986

APPLICATION OF APACHE CORPORATION FOR AN UNORTHODOX OIL WELL LOCATION IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner

January 23rd, 2003

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, MICHAEL E. STOGNER, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, January 23rd, 2003, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources

Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

I N D E X

January 23rd, 2003

Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 12,986	
	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	4
APPLICANT'S WITNESS: GREG BEATY (Engineer) Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	6
Examination by Examiner Stogner	22
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	29

EXHIBITS

Applicant's		Identifi	.ed	Admitted
Exhibit	1		19	22
Exhibit	2		19	22
Exhibit	3		19	22
Exhibit	4		20	22
Exhibit	5		20	22
Exhibit	6		20	22
Exhibit	7		20	22
Exhibit	8		20	22
Exhibit	9		21	22
Exhibit	10		21	22
Exhibit	11	8,	21	22
Exhibit	12	,	21	22
Exhibit	13		21	22
Exhibit			21	22
Exhibit		8,	21	22
Exhibit	16		22	22
Exhibit		7,	22	22

* * *

Additional submission by Apache, not offered or admitted:

Identified

Letter dated 7-28-98 to Collins and Ware from Lori Wrotenbery

17

* * *

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

DAVID K. BROOKS, JR.
Attorney at Law
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
Assistant General Counsel
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN
117 N. Guadalupe
P.O. Box 2265
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2265
By: W. THOMAS KELLAHIN

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 9:22 a.m.: EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, I'll call Case Number 3 12,986, which is the Application of Apache Corporation for 4 an unorthodox oil well location in Lea County, New Mexico. 5 Call for appearances. 6 7 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, I'm Tom Kellahin of 8 the Santa Fe law firm of Kellahin and Kellahin, appearing on behalf of the Applicant, and I have one witness to be 9 10 sworn. 11 EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other appearances? Will the witness please stand to be sworn at this 12 time? 13 (Thereupon, the witness was sworn.) 14 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Kellahin? 15 MR. KELLAHIN: Thank you Mr. Examiner. 16 17 Apache have filed this Application with the Division as an administrative application dated December 18 10th of last year, and subsequent to your review, Mr. 19 20 Stogner, you issued to Apache a letter dated December 16th 21 requesting that they come forward at an Examiner Hearing, 22 explain what they're attempting to achieve, and provide the documentations of what's occurred and what they would like 23 to accomplish. And we're here to respond to your request, 24 Mr. Stogner. 25

And I have before me the production engineer that 1 is now charged by Apache with supervision and control of 2 this property. Mr. Greg Beaty is that individual, and with 3 your permission, we'll proceed through the exhibit book 4 that he has compiled for you. He's got an abundance of 5 information here, and we'll try to specifically look at the 6 key components of the presentation that will address 7 answers to your questions, and not necessarily cover every 8 9 piece of paper in this exhibit book. 10 GREG BEATY, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 11 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. KELLAHIN: 14 Mr. Beaty, for the record, sir, would you please 15 state your name and occupation? 16 I am Greg Beaty, I'm a production engineer for 17 Apache Corporation in Tulsa, Oklahoma. 18 Have you testified on past occasions before the 19 0. Oil Conservation Division? 20 21 No, sir, this is my first time to appear. Α. Summarize for us your education. 22 Q. 23 Α. I received a bachelor of science degree in 24 petroleum engineering from the University of Tulsa in May

of 1981, and have been working in the industry since.

- Q. What is it that you do for Apache?
- A. I'm a production engineer, I've been assigned several different areas to oversee the production operations, the day-to-day work in the filing of any regulatory paperwork. I work in the Farmington area of New Mexico, also in the Lea County area, which would include Hobbs and Lovington areas. I also have some production that I look after in Texas, in the Big Spring and Crane areas.
 - Q. Do your responsibilities for Apache include the area that's the subject of this Application this morning?
 - A. Yes, sir, it does.

- Q. When did you start working for Apache within the capacity of being responsible for this area?
- A. I went to work for Apache on September 30th of 2002, so I've been working on that area ever since that time.
- Q. Before we get into the specifics, we're about to look at the documents you have compiled concerning Mr. Stogner's request. Let us find in the exhibit book, in the pocket part in the front, there's a copy of the Division's letter signed by Mr. Stogner, dated December 16th. Do you have that?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. We've marked that as Exhibit 17.

Also in that pocket part you have a map. I thind it was Number 17 -- or 15. It was Number 15. You have an aerial photograph?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Would you pull that out for me?

And then finally before we talk about the specifics, show us in the exhibit book the tab behind which we will find the original administrative application that you caused to be filed.

- A. The application that I filed is found behind Tab

 Number 11.
- Q. Let's turn to that tab number and go to the last page of Exhibit 11, and there's a locator map. Let's use the map here to orient all of us again as to what's happening here. If you'll look at the 80-acre tract in the north half of the northeast quarter of the section, you'll see it's outlined with a dashed line. Do you find that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Let's look at some specific wells. We're dealing with the Hobbs-Drinkard Pool, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Your research and knowledge now is, what spacing is required in the Hobbs-Drinkard Pool?
- A. The Hobbs-Drinkard Pool is an 80-acre oil pool.

 There are actually other pools that we are dealing with in

that. We're also dealing with the Hobbs-Lower Blinebry Pool, which is also an 80-acre oil pool.

- Q. Let's confine ourselves to those two pools. The rules for those pools are now known to you, are they not?
 - A. Yes, sir, they are.

- Q. When we look at the northeast of the northeast of the section, that 40-acre tract in the 80-acre spacing unit, what is the status of the Number 5 well?
- A. The State 'A' Number 5 is a well that is operated by Apache Corporation. It is currently a producing well, produces both Drinkard and lower Blinebry under a commingling permit from the OCD.
- Q. Let's look at the east 40 acres now. The file reflects that there is a Number 4 well in the northwest of the northeast. That's identified on here. It's in a cluster of a couple of wells. Do you see the Number 4?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What is the status of the Number 4 well?
- A. The State 'A' Number 4 well has been dedicated to the waterflood that is currently a co-op waterflood, operated jointly by several different companies in that area. The injection wells are operated by Texland, the producing wells are operated by the people that are in the co-op.
 - Q. Is the Number 4 well deep enough so that it would

```
also access the lower Blinebry and the Drinkard?
 1
               No, sir, the State 'A' Number 4 well only
 2
          Α.
 3
     penetrated deep enough to look at the upper Blinebry
     section in that area.
 4
               EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Kellahin --
 5
 6
               MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, sir?
 7
               EXAMINER STOGNER: -- just to clarify, I'm
     looking at the map on Tab 11. That's the second to the
 8
     last. Is that the map you're referring to?
10
               MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, sir.
11
               EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, the State 'A' Number 4,
     I don't see that. I see a State 'A' Number 1. Is that the
12
13
     State 'A' Number 4 that we're looking at?
14
               MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir, up above that --
15
               EXAMINER STOGNER: Uh-huh.
16
               MR. KELLAHIN: -- it says there's the North Hobbs
     313.
17
               EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, I've got that one.
18
               MR. KELLAHIN: To the south and east of that it
19
     says the State A State 'A'.
20
21
               EXAMINER STOGNER:
                                  All right.
22
               MR. KELLAHIN: One of those is a gas well symbol,
23
     and the oil well should be Number 4. That's -- They're
24
     almost on top of each other
25
               EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, this looks like a 43,
```

so --1 MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, it does. 2 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay, I just wanted to clarify 3 that. 4 MR. KELLAHIN: That's the Number 4. 5 EXAMINER STOGNER: So the one marked in that 6 7 cluster --MR. KELLAHIN: Right. 8 9 EXAMINER STOGNER: -- is the Number 4 well. Okay, I'm sorry, I just wanted to clarify that. Thank you. 10 11 (By Mr. Kellahin) If you'll look in the 12 northwest of the northeast and look to the south and east of the Number 4, there's an open symbol that says the State 13 'A' 6. 14 15 Yes, sir. Α. When you came on board with Apache to examine 16 Q. this area, what was the status of the 'A' 6? 17 When I came on, the State 'A' 6 was already 18 Α. producing from the Drinkard formation. 19 20 Okay. What did you investigate that wellbore in Q. an effort to do? 21 22 The State 'A' Number 6 well was depleting, and 23 the purpose of my original application was to set a plug 24 over the Drinkard interval and come up and complete the

well in the lower Blinebry interval.

Was that the intent of your application with the 1 Q. 2 Division back in December? Α. Yes, sir, that's right. 3 In order to file that application, what if ο. 4 anything did you do to make yourself informed about the 5 rules applicable to the Lower Blinebry Pool? 6 I pulled the records that we have there in our 7 Α. 8 office in Tulsa, as well as spoke to an OCD representative in the Hobbs Office by the name of Donna, and we got the 9 10 pool rules for that specific pool. 11 What do those rules provide? 12 Α. The Lower Blinebry Pool is an 80-acre oil pool allowing two wells on that 80-acre section. 13 The wells would need to be within 150 feet of the center of the 14 15 quarter of the quarter section. And then each of those wells must be in a 16 different 40-acre tract of the spacing unit? 17 Α. That's correct. 18 Did you determine that the State 'A' 6 well would Ο. 19 be at an unorthodox location if recompleted into the Lower 20 21 Blinebry Pool? 22 Yes, sir, that was what we found. We found that 23 the location as it sat was in an unorthodox location for

In filing your Applications you've used some

the Lower Blinebry Pool.

Q.

24

words of art that apparently were different than those used by Mr. Stogner?

That is correct. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

23

- In your Application you refer to the Number 6 0. well as being a twin to the Number 4. Is it, in fact, the Number 6 well to be a twin in the same pools with the Number 4?
- No, sir, the only thing that was meant by twin was a second well on the same 40-acre location. It was not to intend that the well would produce from the same pools.
- And the Number 4 well is in a waterflood cooperative and is not deep enough to be in the Lower Drinkard or the Blinebry?
 - That's correct, it's --Α.
 - The Blinebry or the Lower --0.
- It is --16 Α.
 - -- the Lower Blinebry-Drinkard? Q.
- 18 -- Upper Blinebry only. Α.
- Okay. At the time you filed the Application, did 19 Q. you find any indication, or did you examine whether or not 20 21 the State 'A' well was in a standard approved location for 22 the Drinkard?
- I did not look at the Drinkard location, because Α. 24 I was not going to work on that, other than to set a plug over it.

My

Are you now aware that Apache did not have in its 1 Q. files an approved unorthodox location for the State 6 well 2 in the Hobbs-Drinkard Pool? 3 I am now aware of that, yes. 5 Q. If the well is to be recompleted into the lower Blinebry, would you abandon the Drinkard? 6 Yes, sir, we would set a plug above it and 7 Α. abandon it, at least until such time as the lower Blinebry 8 interval began to deplete as well. 10 Approximately what's the current rate on the 11 Drinkard well? Α. The Drinkard well makes about a barrel of oil a 12 day, nine barrels of water a day and 30 MCF of gas a day. 13 To further confuse matters, the Application 14 Ο. refers to moving the Number 6 well away from the Number 4 15 to avoid interference. Were you talking about reservoir 16 17 communication or reservoir interference? No, sir, the two zones are completely separate, 18 Α. so there would be no interference in the reservoir. 19 20 only interference would be with surface obstructions. Let's focus on the surface situation. If you go 21 back and look at Exhibit 15, which is the aerial photograph 22

that you've obtained from John West Surveying, that survey

is outlined on the exhibit, and there's some points of

information on the surface that are identified for you.

23

24

copy has a very faint radius circle of 150 feet around the center of a point. Describe for us how to find the center of that 150-foot circle.

- A. The original location intended for that State 'A'

 Number 6 well was 660 and 1980 from the north and east

 lines. If you'll look, he has spotted the original

 location on there. It's just kind of to the left of the

 660 marking, kind of on the edge of the road that you can

 see there. That is actually the 660 and 1980 marking.
 - Q. Now let me ask you a question.
- A. Okay.

- Q. The original staked location, was that moved later, after they got in the field and recognized the obstructions that were occurring here?
- A. Yes, sir. You know, for obvious reasons from the photograph you can see that there were numerous obstructions there to a drilling location, so the location was moved.
 - Q. It was moved to the south and to the east?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And how is that identified now?
- A. On this map you can see, if you look on down to the south and east, the State 'A' Number 6 as drilled.

 There's a marking on the map there as well.
 - Q. Okay. When the 'A' 6 was drilled at this

location, do the permits reflect that Apache had advised the Division of the change of location and had submitted a new C-102?

- A. Yes, sir, the C-102 and C-101 reflect the new location.
- Q. Are you now aware that the approval by the Division of an APD is not necessarily an indication of your approval of an unorthodox surface location?
 - A. Yes, sir, I am.
 - Q. And that you must now permit that separately?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Show us the Number 6 well in relation to the 4 on Exhibit 15.
 - A. Okay, the Number 4 well, if you look up in the very faint circle that we drew around -- the 150-foot circle around the original location, you can see the Apache State 'A' Number 4. That well is exactly 150 feet from the original center of that quarter of the quarter.
 - Q. Uh-huh.
 - A. And then if you'll look down to the south and east, you can see the State 'A' Number 6 as drilled, and it falls outside of that 150-foot circle.
 - Q. If you look within the 150-foot-radius circle within this 40-acre tract, if the Number 6 well was to be redrilled for lower Blinebry, is there a place that you

could put the pad and the facilities for that well within a standard location?

A. No, sir, there's no place in there to put a location.

- Q. Do you see any reservoir indications as to reasons that would preclude you from using the Number 6 well as a recompletion into the lower Blinebry?
 - A. I see no reason why it couldn't be recompleted.
- Q. Let's come back and have you give us the short version of what you put together in the Exhibit book so that as you do that, if Mr. Stogner has any questions then he can ask you as you go through your situation.

What's before Exhibit Tab 1?

- A. The first document that you'll find in the book is simply a listing of the documentation that I've provided for you. It gives you a brief description of what will be found behind each one of those tabs.
- Q. In doing your research, did you see a copy of the Collins and Ware approval letter that Mr. Stogner refers to in his letter to you?
 - A. Yes, sir, I have seen a copy of that.
- Q. And have you included a copy of the Collins and Ware letter in your presentation to Mr. Stogner?
 - A. Yes, sir, it's included under the front pocket.
 - Q. When the Division approved for Collins and Ware

the use of the Number 4 well -- I believe that's what's occurring, the Number 4 well, back in July of 1996, they were approving the use of the Number 4 well in pools other than you were going to use that well for?

- A. That's correct. The order that Collins and Ware had sought from the Division was to actually deepen that well and use it to look at some of the other horizons that were a little deeper than the upper Blinebry section that they were currently exposed to.
- Q. To the best of your knowledge, did Collins and Ware ever do that work?
- A. No, the work was never completed, to the best of my knowledge.
- Q. When Apache acquired operations of the well, the well was not used for the purpose approved by the Division?
- A. That's correct. Once Apache got the well, it was determined that we would be better served by including it in the Upper Blinebry Co-op Waterflood, so we never deepened the well.
- Q. Does your file or Apache's file reflect that you ever advised the Commission to vacate this administrative order?
 - A. I found no such record.
- Q. There is no need for this order anymore, is there?

A. That is correct.

- Q. Behind your summary, let's look at Exhibit Tab

 Number 1. What is behind that tab?
- A. That is an original memo showing that the well was originally intended to be drilled at the 660 from the north and 1980 from the east, which is the exact center of the quarter of the quarter.
 - Q. After Exhibit Tab 1, what's Exhibit Tab 2?
- A. Exhibit Tab 2 is some digital photographs that we took of the area, in an effort to show some of the congestion on the surface and some of the things that were there as obstacles to building a location in the standard location spot.
 - Q. Exhibit Tab 3?
- A. Exhibit Tab 3 is the C-102 where we had requested that the acreage be dedicated, and on that C-102 the new location is shown there to be 990 from the north and 1817 from the east.
- Q. This form indicates a 40-acre dedication. That's not correct, is it? Do you see it on the form?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Somebody has written 40. It's 80, right?
- A. It is an 80-acre pool.
 - Q. And then after that are the other maps usually identified with the location submittals, and that's what

those are?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Behind Exhibit Tab 4, what's this?
- A. This is the original permit to drill the well, also showing the 990 and the 1817 location.
- Q. The Number 6 well that we're talking about, this one?
 - A. That is correct for State 'A' Number 6.
 - Q. Exhibit Tab 5?
 - A. Exhibit Tab Number 5 is a C-103, which was renewing the permit because we did not drill the well as early in the game as we had intended. We ended up having to have a little more time, and so we requested an extension of that permit.
 - Q. Tab 6?
- A. Tab 6 is just a copy of a damage agreement that we had with the surface ownership, and showing the location to be 990 and 1817.
 - Q. Tab 7?
- A. Tab 7 is our revised AFE showing the new location.
- 22 Q. Tab 8?
 - A. Tab 8 is the OCD Form C-103 that shows the spudding of the well. It shows the cement and casing programs used.

21 Tab 9? 1 0. Tab 9 is a C-105 completion report for the State 2 3 'A' Number 6 well. At this point, then, it's been completed in the 4 5 Drinkard Pool? Α. That is correct. 6 Tab 10? 7 Q. Tab 10 is the C-104, a request for allowable from 8 the Drinkard for the State 'A' Number 6 well. 9 10 Tab 11 is your administrative application that 11 we've described thus far? 12 Α. That is correct. Tab 12 is what? 13 Q. Tab 12 is just a timeline of events for the State 14 'A' Number 6 well, kind of showing in time how the 15 different events occurred. 16 17 Ο. Tab 13? Tab 13 is the same thing for the State 'A' Number 18 Α. 4 well. 19 20 Q. And then Tab 14? 21 And Tab 14 is the same thing for the State 'A' 22 Number 5 well.

And in the pocket part behind the exhibits is

And 15 is your aerial photograph plat?

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

Q.

That's correct.

Exhibit 16?

- A. Exhibit 16, which is a cross-section of the four wells that we operate in that are.
- Q. And then Exhibit 17 is the letter from Mr. Stogner to Apache?
 - A. The letter from Mr. Stogner.

MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Stogner, that concludes our presentation. We move the introduction of Apache's Exhibits 1 through 17.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 through 17 will be admitted into evidence at this time.

EXAMINATION

BY EXAMINER STOGNER:

- Q. Let's see, Mr. Beaty, nowhere in your records did the Hobbs Office ever inform you that the well was at an unorthodox location in the Hobbs-Drinkard, or the Apache staff or --
- A. I didn't find any notice where we were ever told that it was in an unorthodox location.
- Q. Now, it's my understanding that the -- What's the extent of this lease, the State 'A' lease?
- A. It's 80 acres. It's the north half of the northeast quarter of that section.
- Q. Okay, what is the south half of the northeast quarter?

- A. The south half of the northeast quarter I believe is operated by OXY.
- Q. By OXY. Now, do they have operations or a well in the Drinkard and that Upper Blinebry Pool -- I'm sorry, the Lower Blinebry Pool?
- A. They do have wells. I believe the closest wells that they have to us are also dedicated to the Co-op Waterflood, though, so they would be upper Blinebry wells.
- Q. But as far as any operations in the two zones that you're interested in, they do not have wells in that south half?
 - A. They do have wells in that half.
 - Q. They do have them?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Do they have any production from the Drinkard, in the south half?
 - A. I don't know what their production is off the top of my head.
 - Q. Okay. But the only people notified of this was OXY; is that correct?
 - A. No, if you'll look under Tab 11 -- anyway, we notified Saga Petroleum, we notified Marathon Oil Company, Chevron USA, Occidental Permian, Texland Petroleum, Shell Western and Shell Oil Company, are the people that we found that had operations in that area.

MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Stogner, my book doesn't seem 1 to have that list. 2 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mine doesn't either. 3 MR. BROOKS: Mine doesn't either. 4 THE WITNESS: That is copies of our certified 5 6 mail --7 MR. KELLAHIN: May we supplement that after the hearing to show the service of the notice? I thought I had 8 them in here, but apparently not. (By Examiner Stogner) Now, that was a part of 10 your original application, right? 11 Α. Yes, sir. 12 EXAMINER STOGNER: If you would supplement that 13 14 at a later date. However, I do have a copy of that 15 original file, but with the new imaging there's a danger 16 this could be thrown away. So if you'll supplement Tab 11 with those pages. But I do have it in the record at this 17 18 point. 19 (By Examiner Stogner) Okay, just a few things Q. 20 that I understand. I appreciate your patience on this and your coming in and discussing this matter, because there 21

Q. (By Examiner Stogner) Okay, just a few things that I understand. I appreciate your patience on this and your coming in and discussing this matter, because there was a couple of words, as Mr. Kellahin -- the "twin" which I think you mentioned and also talked about -- Now, the word "interference". That was the surface interference of the pad and all the obstructions, that was what you were

22

23

24

referring to? 1 Yes, sir, that's correct, the interference with 2 the building of a surface location. 3 And not any kind of a downhole, whether it be drilling, drilling mud interference or any reservoir 5 interference? 6 No, it would be different intervals, so there 7 Α. 8 would be no interference there. Does Apache plan other activities out here in 9 Q. this Hobbs-Drinkard and lower Blinebry area? 10 I really don't see a whole lot more to look at in 11 12 13

- that particular area. It's pretty mature, and -- There is some potential in the Tubb, I believe. We may want to look at that at a future date, but I don't have it on my books to do that at this time.
 - Q. Would that be Tubb gas or Tubb oil?
- It would be Tubb gas. 17 Α.
 - Do you know what that's spaced on? Q.
- 19 It's a 160-acre gas pool. Α.
- 20 0. And do you know what the well setbacks are on that pool? 21
 - No, I don't, off the top of my head. Α.
 - Q. You know where to find it, though?
 - I do, yes. Α.

14

15

16

18

22

23

24

25 Okay. Now, what is the current status as far as Q.

the wellbore, that Number 6 wellbore, at this time?

- A. The State 'A' Number 6 well at this time is still producing from the Drinkard formation.
- Q. Okay. And are you ready to shut that in, or what -- What's your schedule as far as moving in, recompletion?
- A. Our plan was to -- as soon as we got permission from the OCD, we were going to proceed with our operations, which would be to set a plug over the Drinkard formation and perforate the lower Blinebry interval and complete it.
- Q. Now, you said or you stated, there may be future possibilities of downhole commingling. Did I hear that right or not?
- A. That's possible. I don't know how likely it will be. And it will be a significant period of time into the future, because the Drinkard is fairly well depleted, and we would not want to introduce the possibility of crossflow. So we would not want to downhole commingle those until such time as the lower Blinebry was also in a depleted state.
- Q. Okay. Now, this well is currently on pump, I would assume?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. The same pumping equipment?
- A. Yes. As a matter of fact, we have a picture of the pumping equipment in there, if you'd like to --

- Q. And that was behind 2 or 3 if I remember --
- A. It's behind Tab Number 2.
- Q. So you would utilize the same equipment, the only thing you're changing is the downhole configuration?
 - A. That is correct.

EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. As far as -- I don't see any problem with that work commencing at this time.

I'll take the case under -- I don't have any other questions of Mr. Beaty.

MR. KELLAHIN: Thank you.

a look at the different requirements for the different pools. You're out there in an area that has different pool rules, evidently, for different reasons, whatever they were in the past. They may not be applicable today, but that's up to the operators to come in and change those pool rules.

So as you're depleting out there, if you find some of these pool rules to be too restrictive, get with the other operators. Come in and seek them to be relaxed in some way. That's not unheard of. Whenever the pool rules for 80 acres -- That was the norm, was to have 150 feet from the center, as -- where you wouldn't group a bunch of wells. But due to surface constraints on federal lands that has been relaxed to come up with 330-330. But that's something to keep in mind as you develop out there

1	or deplete additional Get with the operators to come in
2	and change the rules if they're too restrictive. And we
3	definitely always appreciate, keep that in mind.
4	But I will take this matter under advisement and
5	issue an order accordingly. And at this point I don't see
6	any problem with you commencing your work out there.
7	THE WITNESS: Appreciate it.
8	EXAMINER STOGNER: And with that I'll take this
9	case under advisement.
10	MR. KELLAHIN: Thank you.
11	EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you Mr. Beaty.
12	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13	EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Mr. Kellahin.
14	Mr. Kellahin, you were going to supplement the
15	record?
16	MR. KELLAHIN: Yes, sir, I'll
17	EXAMINER STOGNER: That will be done sometime
18	MR. KELLAHIN: Later today I can do that.
19	EXAMINER STOGNER: We'll insert that in Tab 6
20	[sic], if you'll see that the court reporter gets a copy of
21	that
22	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
23	8:54 a.m.) 8:54 a.m.) * somplete record of the proceedings in
24	* * * * * Examiner hearing of Case (10. 12986)
25	Examiner.
	Oil Conservation Division

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL January 23rd, 2003.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006