

BEFORE THE  
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

April 16, 1953

-----

Case 249: Application of Commission upon its own motion for an order directed to the operators in the Bagley-Siluro-Devonian Pool to show cause why pool shall not be placed on a 40-acre spacing pattern with allowable adjustment (in conformance with provisions of Order R-69-A) which was a temporary order.

MR. SPURNIER: Is there anyone to be heard in this case? I have a letter from Atwood, Malone & Campbell signed by Jack Campbell. "As attorneys for Texas-Pacific Coal & Oil Company, we hereby request a postponement of the above-entitled case from the hearing on April 16th to the regular hearing of May 19. We have consulted with Amerada and have been advised that they concur in our request for a postponement. The existing order covering this field expires by its term May 1st, 1953 and we will make the appropriate application for an emergency order covering the period from May 1st to the date of the May hearing. Mr. Campbell of this office who has handled this matter from its inception is unable to attend the April hearing of the Commission."

Mr. SETH: Oliver Seth appearing on behalf of Amerada. We are the other interested party in the pool and we would like to concur in the request that it be continued until the May hearing. We would like to suggest to the Commission that the emergency order continue the present order until the case is disposed of rather than just until the hearing date.

VOICE: If the Commission please. If the order expires by its own terms May 1st, in order to continue the case you continue the order to show cause and to enter an emergency order that is effective only fifteen days or until May 19th - - - it's better to have an interlocutory to maintain the status quo as to the rights that were originally contained in the order until May 18th rather than have an emergency order.

MR. SETH: We have no particular interest in the mechanics of the thing. Just so the present sixty-nine days are continued until the case is disposed of.


MR. SPURNIER: Is there objection to Amerada's motion for continuance? If, not, we will continue the case until the May hearing. And the Commission

will enter an appropriate order to maintain the status quo. The next case on the docket is Case 407.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO    )  
                              )  
COUNTY OF SANTA FE    ) ss.

I hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of hearing in Case 249 before the Oil Conservation Commission on April 16, 1953, at Santa Fe is a true record of the same to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

DATED at Santa Fe, this 18th day of April, 1953.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Audrey M. Henrickson

My commission expires September 20, 1956.