## DOCKET OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Resume of applications to be heard April 24, 1951 at 10:00 a.m. in Santa Fe, New Mexico, in the Council Chamber of the City Hall

- 1. Allowable
- Case 265 This case is an application by Byrd-Frost, Inc. for exception to the spacing rules for a well to be located 567' from the S/L and 1527' from the W/L 24-28N-8W, San Juan County, for topographic conditions.
- Case 266 This case involves the nomenclature of now existing or new pools for McAlester Fuel Company, Simpson A-1-B, 26-15S-37E; Weier Drilling Company, Foster 6-G and Foster 5-H, 17-17S-31E; Texas Co., "AN" No. 1, SE SW 22-14S-33E; Allan Hargrave, Bradley No. 1, NWNW 24-24S-26E; and Paul C. Teas, Dinnin #1, 24-20S-33E.
- 4. Case 267 This case has been called upon the Commission's motion to show cause why wells located in the NW SW 23-25S-8E, drilled by Frank and Elmer Bechtel, should not be declarred abandoned and ordered plugged.
- 5. <u>Case 202</u> This case was continued to April 24 and involves the allowable of the Brunson pool, which has been reduced to 90 barrels per day per well for a period of six months and then extended to April 24 for additional studies.
- 6. Case 231 This case has been continued twice and involves Rule 404, which, in turn, is concerned with natural gas utilization, especially as it is applied to gas lift in Lea County.
- 7. Case 247 This case involves the Gallegos Canyon Unit Agreement which has been continued twice to allow the operators to secure necessary signatures.
- Case 249 The application of Amerada Petroleum Corp. for a temporary order establishing proration units and uniform spacing of wells for the Bagley-Siluro-Devonian pool, comprising SE/4 sec. 34, S/2 sec. 35, SW/4 sec. 36, T.11 S, R. 33 E; and W/2 sec. 1, all sec. 2, E/2 sec. 3, E/2 sec. 10, all sec. 11, W/2 sec. 12, T.12 S, R.33 E continued to April 24.
- 9. Case 245 A case which has been continued twice for lack of information to designate the gas pools of Lea, Eddy, and Chaves Counties.
- Case 251 The application of Amerada Petroleum Corp. for the pooling of separately owned royalty or mineral interest in the E/2 NE/4 sec. 3, T.12 S, 33 E, within a proposed proration unit in the Bagley-Siluro-Devonian pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

## DOCKET OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Resume of applications to be heard April 24, 1951 at 10:00 a.m. in Santa Fe, New Mexico, in the Council Chamber of the City Hall

1. Allowable 52 -

 Case 265 - This case is an application by Byrd-Frost, Inc. for exception to the spacing rules for a well to be located 567' from the S/L and 1527' from the W/L 24-28N-8W, San Juan County, for topographic conditions.

- Case 266 This case involves the nomenclature of now existing or new pools for McAlester Fuel Company, Simpson A-1-B, 26-15S-37E; Weier Drilling Company, Foster 6-G and Foster 5-H, 17-17S-31E; Texas Co., "AN" No. 1, SE SW 22-14S-33E; Allan Hargrave, Bradley No. 1, NWNW 24-24S-26E; and Paul C. Teas, Dinnin #1, 24-20S-33E.
- 4. Case 267 This case has been called upon the commission's motion to show cause why wells located in the NW SW 23-25S-8E, drilled by Frank and Elmer Bechtel, should not be declar ed abandoned and ordered plugged.  $\forall$  Canyon'a'nly alandoned (5%)
- 5. <u>Case 202</u> This case was continued to April 24 and involves the allowable of the Brunson pool, which has been reduced to 90 barrels per day per well for a period of six months and then extended to April 24 for additional studies. *Cent.* to *June* 21

6. <u>Case 231</u> - This case has been continued twice and involves Rule 404, which, in turn, is concerned with natural gas utilization, especially as it is applied to gas lift in Lea County. Cont. to require guilty beau

- Case 247 This case involves the Gallegos Canyon Unit Agreement which has been continued twice to allow the operators to secure necessary signatures. Oppressed and Novil 24 3-4331
- Gase 249 The application of Amerada Petroleum Corp. for a temporary order establishing proration units and uniform spacing of wells for the Bagley-Siluro-Devonian pool, comprising SE/4 sec. 34, S/2 sec. 35, SW/4 sec. 36, T.11 S, R. 33 E; and W/2 sec. 1, all sec. 2, E/2 sec. 3, E/2 sec. 10, all sec, 11, W/2 sec, 12, T.12 S, R. 33 E continued to April 24.
- 8 F. Case 245 A case which has been continued twice for lack of information to designate the gas pools of Lea, Eddy, and Chaves Counties. Could, to
  - 10. Case 251 The application of Ambrada Petroleum Corp. for the pooling of separately owned royalty or mineral interest in the E/2 NE/4 sec. 3, T.12 S, 33 E, within a proposed proration unit in the Bagley-Siluro-Devonian pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
    Case 268 Boyd-Plemons unorthodox location, Catinental St #3 2717-29 STATE OF NEW MEXICO

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Case 271 - H J Cox plugging well in 11-19N-21E, Mora County