

BEFORE THE  
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

January 22, 1952

-----  
Case 335: Shell Oil Company's application for permission to dually complete its Sarkys No. 2 well, Northeast quarter, Southwest quarter, Section 23, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, NMPM as a Blinobry gas-oil well which was completed in July of 1948 as an oil well only at 6,610 feet in the Drinkard formation.

JOHN D. SAVAGE.

having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:

MR. SAVAGE: May we not combine cases 335 and 336 - they are similar. They are both alike, really.

MR. GRAHAM: Will you please explain how they are similar?

MR. SAVAGE: Well, the wells themselves are both Drinkard producers, close to the same depth of approximately 6,600 feet each and we request permission to bring each well into a Blinobry gas-Drinkard oil to completion. And the method of procedure which we plan to carry out is the same in each case and we will perforate the "scrubs" on each well.

MR. GRAHAM: Proceed in your own words.

MR. SAVAGE: Well, we plan to do it this way. These wells are now Drinkard-producing wells and we will kill a well, perforate the deposit above the gas-collecting zone, and expect to do

completion by the use of a Baker Production Type Packer. It is really a permanent insulation of the casing above the present oil zone and gas will produce in the "attics" while the oil will continue to be produced in the new.

MR. GRAHAM: Have you previously submitted - - -

MR. SAVAGE: Yes, we submitted our application to do this work. We submitted three exhibits - the first, exhibit A, which was a map showing the well which we are supposed to work on and the location of all surrounding off-set wells; the second exhibit, exhibit B, are copies of the venticlause of the wells we intend to work on and they show how we are to perforate in the existing producing zone and then Exhibit C, the boundaries of each well with a diagrammatic sketch of the <sup>mechanical</sup> means whereby we propose to effect the dual completion. Those were sent in with our application to do the work.

MR. GRAHAM: You are familiar with the usual type of order in these dual completions issued by the Commission?

MR. SAVAGE: Our present order - - -

MR. GRAHAM: With particular reference to your sand gauge test?

MR. SAVAGE: Oh yes, indeed.

MR. GRAHAM: Inside and outside?

MR. SAVAGE: Yes.

MR. GRAHAM: Is this work contemplated or has it already - -

MR. SAVAGE: We have done no work.

MR. GRAHAM: And after you have completed each of these wells,  
then you will be prepared to actually submit a draft of - - - -

MR. SAVAGE: Yes, we will.

MR. SPURRIER: Do have a relative bottom hole or a - - -

MR. SAVAGE: I don't have it handy but they are in the New  
Mexico file.

MR. SPURRIER: Are there any further questions of this witness?  
If not, the witness may be excused.

- - - - -

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )  
                          ) ss  
COUNTY OF LOS ALAMOS)

I hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript  
of hearing in Case 335 before the Oil Conservation Commission on  
January 22, 1952, at Santa Fe is a true record of the same to the  
best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

DATED at Los Alamos, this 22nd day of January, 1952.

  
Audrey A. Hendrickson

My commission expires September 30, 1955.