

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

CASE NO. 406

SEPTEMBER 16, 1952



ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES
COURT REPORTERS
ROOM 12, CROMWELL BLDG.
PHONES 7-9645 AND 5-9546
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

SEPTEMBER 16, 1952

In the Matter of:

Southeastern New Mexico nomenclature
case, involving creation of new pools
or extensions, as follows:

Case No. 406

-
- (a) Creation of a new oil pool to be known as the West Malaga Pool for Delaware production to include SW/4 Section 15; SE/4 Section 16; NE/4 Section 21; NW/4 Section 22 in Township 24S, Range 27E, NMPM.
 - (b) Extension of Malaga Pool to include SE/4 Section 13, T. 24S, Rge. 28E; and W/2 Section 18, T. 24S, R. 29E.
 - (c) Extension of Artesia Pool to include NE/4 Section 35 and W/2 Section 36, T. 18S, R. 27E.
 - (d) Extension of Santo Nino Pool to include SE/4 Section 2, SW/4 Section 1, T. 19S, R. 29E.
 - (e) Extension of Skaggs Pool to include N/2 Section 13, T. 20 S, R. 37 E.
 - (f) Extension of Saunders Pool to include SW/4 Section 11; W/2 Section 14; W/2 Section 23; W/2 Section 26 in T. 14S, R. 33 E; and NE/4 Section 9, T. 15 S, R. 33 E.
 - (g) Extend the Maljamar Pool to include N/2 Section 20, T. 17 S, R. 33 E.
 - (h) Extension of the Teague Pool to include E/2 Section 34 and W/2 Section 35, T. 23 S, R. 37 E.
 - (i) Extension of the Tulk-Wolfcamp Pool to include all of Sections 2, 3, 4 and E/2 Section 5, T. 15 S, R. 32 E.

- (j) Extension of the Eighty-Four Draw Pool to include NW/4 Section 9, T. 21S, R. 38E.
- (k) Extension of the Denton Pool to include W/2 Section 25; all Section 26; E/2 Section 27, T. 14S, R. 37 E.
- (l) Extension of the Gladiola Pool to include SW/4 Section 18 and NW/4 Section 19, T. 12 S, R. 38 E.
- (m) Extension of East Lovington-Pennsylvanian Pool to include S/2 Section 20; all Section 29; N/2 Section 32 in T. 16 S, R. 37 E.

- - - -

(Notice of Publication read by Mr. Graham.)

R. S. BLYMN,

having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

By MR. WHITE:

Q State your full name for the record, and your position.

A R. S. Blymn, Engineer O.C.C.

Q Mr. Blymn, in your capacity as engineer for the O.C.C., have you had occasion to make a study of proposed extensions and creations of new pools in Case No. 406?

A I have.

Q Will you give a report of the findings in your study and your conclusions and recommendations.

A Case 406 comes in 13 sections lettered from "A" through to "M". They comprise one new pool creation and 12 pool extensions. I have prepared exhibits showing this creation and these extensions and properly labeled them. I would like to enter in evidence these 13 exhibits and letter them consecutively Exhibit "A", "B", "C",

"D" and so forth on to Exhibit "M". In each case with only two exceptions I wish to recommend that the creation and the additions be made as advertised. The two exceptions are as follows: In the case of Section "A" proposed new pool in Township 24 South, Range 27 East Eddy County, I wish to recommend that this new pool be named Hargrave Pool instead of West Malaga Pool, as advertised. The other - -

MR. GRAHAM: (Interrupting) What reason do you have for that recommendation?

MR. BLYMM: I would deem the name Hargrave more applicable than West Malaga. This discovery well is 7 and 3 quarters miles west of the known Malaga Pool and dry holes preclude the extension of the Malaga Pool to embrace this well. The other recommended deviation from the advertisement is in Section "F" which is an extension of the Saunders Pool. I would like to recommend that the east half of Section 9, the south half of Section 10, and the west half of Section 11, all in Township 15 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, be included in the Saunders Pool.

MR. WHITE: What are your reasons for that?

MR. BLYMM: The east half of Section 9 contains a producing oil well both producing from the Saunders Pool and completed since the advertisement was written. The west half of Section 11 would be included merely for securing up the south end of the pool and take care of future development.

MR. WHITE: Anything further?

MR. BLYMM: If the Commission please we'd like to have

those marked Exhibits "A" through "M" and offer ^{them} / in evidence.

MR. SHEPARD: Without objections, admitted. Any further questions of the witness? Anyone have any statement to make? The witness will be excused and the case taken under advisement. We will now go on to Case 407.

(Witness excused.)

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
 :
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached transcript of hearing in Case No. 406 before the Oil Conservation Commission, State of New Mexico, at Santa Fe, on September 16, 1952, is a true and correct record of the same to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

DATED at Albuquerque, New Mexico, this 23- day of September, 1952.


REPORTER