

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1952

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

CASE NO. 439

November 20, 1952.

E. E. GREESON
ADA DEARNLEY
COURT REPORTERS
BOX 1302
PHONES 5-9422 AND 5-9546
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

November 20, 1952

In the Matter of:

Application of E. F. Moran, Inc., for
dual completion of its Owen No. 2 well,
NW NW 14-21S-37E (in the Drinkard Pool)
to permit production of oil from Drinkard,
gas from Blinebry.

Case No. 439

(Notice of Publication read by Mr. Graham.)

MR. GIRAND: For the record I am W. D. Girand, Box 1326,
Hobbs, New Mexico, appearing on behalf of the E. F. Moran, In-
corporated.

J. W. R O G E R S

having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

By MR. GIRAND:

Q State your name, please.

A J. W. Rogers.

Q Where do you live?

A Hobbs, New Mexico.

Q What company are you connected with?

A E. F. Moran.

Q What capacity?

A Superintendent.

Q At Hobbs?

A At Hobbs.

Q What is your territory, what territory do you cover as Superintendent?

A Oh, New Mexico, Texas and Oklahoma. Also Kansas.

Q You filed the application for E. F. Moran and for the dual completion of the E. F. Moran Owens No. 2 well?

A That is right.

(Exhibit No. 1 Marked for Identification)

Q Mr. Rogers, I hand you here a map marked Exhibit No. 1 and ask you to explain what that map shows?

A Well, it shows the location that we propose to make a dual completion in Section 14, Township 21 South, Range 37.

Q Is the well designated by legend?

A Yes, it is designated by black mark with a red inside.

Q Mr. Rogers, does that map show any other wells which are now being produced under a dual completion such as you have applied for here?

A Yes.

Q Will you give the location of those wells in relation to the Owen well No. 2?

A The wells that were dually completed are the Blinebry gas-oil.

Q Blinebry gas wells?

A Yes.

Q Where are they located?

A Section 23, Section 22, Section 10.

Q In other words in the surrounding sections to the section in which the Owens No. 2 well is located there have been other dually completed wells authorized by the Commission?

A That is right.

(Exhibit No. 2 Marked
for Identification)

MR. GIRAND: We would like to offer the Exhibit No. 1 in evidence.

MR. SPURRIER: Without objection it will be received.

Q Mr. Rogers, I hand you here an instrument marked Exhibit No. 2 and ask you to tell the Commission what that instrument shows?

A Well, it shows the well that we want to dually complete.

Q Doesn't it outline how it will be completed?

A Well, by setting a Packer between the Blinbry and the Drinkard and separating the two sections and during the time we are bringing in the Blinbry and keep them separated during the life of the well.

Q That is a true report of the method of completion?

A That is right.

MR. GIRAND: We would like to offer it in evidence as Exhibit No. 2.

MR. SPURRIER: Without objection it will be received.

(Exhibit No. 3 Marked for
Identification)

Q Mr. Rogers, I hand you here an instrument marked Exhibit No. 3, purported to be a Schlumberger electrical survey of the well, is that right?

A That is right.

Q That was furnished to you by the Schlumberger people?

A That is right.

Q Mr. Rogers, do you have a market for the gas?

A Well, the gas is marketable in that area.

Q There is a demand for gas in that area?

A Yes, there is a demand for gas.

Q And in your installation of your dual completion you will take such precautions and use such methods as will guarantee that the two zones will not be co-mingled?

A That is right.

MR. GIRAND: Does the Commission have any question?

MR. SPURRIER: Your Exhibit No. 3 will be received without objection. Does anyone have any questions of the witness?

MR. GRAHAM: Are you familiar with the type of orders heretofore issued by the Commission?

A Yes.

MR. GRAHAM: You have been informed in all respects?

A Yes.

MR. GRAHAM: That is all.

MR. SPURRIER: If no further questions the witness may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. SPURRIER: Are there any comments in this case? If not the case will be taken under advisement and we will take up Case 440. I presume that Humble would also like to consider 441 and 442 at the same time.

MR. SHAVER: We would.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
 :
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached transcript of hearing in Case No. 439 before the Oil Conservation Commission, State of New Mexico, at Santa Fe, on November 20, 1952, is a true and correct record of the same to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

DATED at Albuquerque, New Mexico, this _____ day of November.

REPORTER