

BEFORE THE  
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
at  
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Transcript of Hearing in  
Case No. 670

February 18, 1954

Regular Hearing.

BEFORE THE  
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
at  
Santa Fe, New Mexico  
February 18, 1954  
Afternoon Session

-----  
In the Matter of:

Southeastern New Mexico nomenclature case, involving the following pool creations and extensions in Lea and Eddy Counties:

(a) Creation of the Hackberry-Yates Oil Pool in Eddy County for Yates production, to include SE/4 Sect. 36, Twp. 19S, Rge. 30E.

(b) Creation of the House-Tubb Gas Pool in Lea County for Tubb production, to include all of Sections 11 and 12 in Twp. 20 South, Rge. 38 East.

(c) Extension of the East Hobbs-San Andres Pool in Lea County to include SE/4 Sect. 25, Twp. 18S, Rge. 38E.

(d) Extension of the North Warren-McKee Pool in Lea County to include W/2 Sect. 18, Twp. 20S, Rge. 38E.

(e) Extension of the Monument-Blaine Pool in Lea County to include SE/4 Sect. 7 and SW/4 Sect 8, Twp. 20S, Rge. 37E.

-----

Case 670

(Notice of Publication read.)

S. J. STANLEY

having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

By MR. YOST:

Q State your name to the Commission.

A My name is S. J. Stanley, Engineer for the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico.

Q You are acquainted with the subject matter of case 670, are you not?

A I am.

ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES  
COURT REPORTERS  
ROOM 105-106, EL CORTEZ BLDG.  
PHONES 7-9645 AND 5-9546  
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

Q Will you please give the Commission the benefit of your observations in connection with this case and any recommendations that you might care to make?

A I recommend the creations and extensions be made as advertised with one exception. I wish to delete paragraph (e) of Case 670; our office, in error, advertised the same case and the same extension the previous month. In support of my testimony, I wish to present plats outlining the creations and extensions as advertised.

MR. MACEY: Do you have the discovery wells on those Exhibits?

A Yes, sir, in Hackberry-Yates Pool, Claude E. Aikman, Stanolind State Number One in Unit J, Section 36, Township 19, Range 30, the depth ranges on to 5,000.feet. In the creation of the House-Tubb Pool, the top of the perforation or the casing set is not necessary since gas production is not governed by any depth factor.

MR. MACEY: Mr. Stanley, in relationship to paragraph (e), the Commission put in the southwest quarter of Section 8 into the Pool.

A Yes, sir.

MR. MACEY: What about the southeast quarter of Section, was that already in the Pool?

A I believe so. I am not sure. I don't have any information with me. We did advertise to extend the Monument-Blinbry Pool during the January hearing to include the southwest quarter of Section 8. We believe that this same advertisement appeared in the January recommendations.

MR. MACEY: It didn't include the southeast quarter of Section 7 in January?

BEFORE THE  
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
STATE OF NEW MEXICO

at  
Santa Fe, New Mexico  
February 18, 1954  
Afternoon Session

-----  
In the Matter of:

Southeastern New Mexico nomenclature case, involving the following pool creations and extensions in Lea and Eddy Counties:

(a) Creation of the Hackberry-Yates Oil Pool in Eddy County for Yates production, to include SE/4 Sect. 36, Twp. 19S, Rge. 30E.

(b) Creation of the House-Tubb Gas Pool in Lea County for Tubb production, to include all of Sections 11 and 12 in Twp. 20 South, Rge. 38 East.

(c) Extension of the East Hobbs-San Andres Pool in Lea County to include SE/4 Sect. 25, Twp. 18S, Rge. 38E.

(d) Extension of the North Warren-McKee Pool in Lea County to include W/2 Sect. 18, Twp. 20S, Rge. 38E.

(e) Extension of the Monument-Blinebry Pool in Lea County to include SE/4 Sect. 7 and SW/4 Sect 8, Twp. 20S, Rge. 37E.

-----

Case 670

(Notice of Publication read.)

S. J. STANLEY

having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

By MR. YOST:

Q State your name to the Commission.

A My name is S. J. Stanley, Engineer for the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico.

Q You are acquainted with the subject matter of case 670, are you not?

A I am.

ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES  
COURT REPORTERS  
ROOM 105-106, EL CORTEZ BLDG.  
PHONES 7-9645 AND 5-9546  
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

Q Will you please give the Commission the benefit of your observations in connection with this case and any recommendations that you might care to make?

A I recommend the creations and extensions be made as advertised with one exception. I wish to delete paragraph (e) of Case 670; our office, in error, advertised the same case and the same extension the previous month. In support of my testimony, I wish to present plats outlining the creations and extensions as advertised.

MR. MACEY: Do you have the discovery wells on those Exhibits?

A Yes, sir, in Hackberry-Yates Pool, Claude E. Aikman, Stanolind State Number One in Unit J, Section 36, Township 19, Range 30, the depth ranges on to 5,000.feet. In the creation of the House-Tubb Pool, the top of the perforation or the casing set is not necessary since gas production is not governed by any depth factor.

MR. MACEY: Mr. Stanley, in relationship to paragraph (e), the Commission put in the southwest quarter of Section 8 into the Pool.

A Yes, sir.

MR. MACEY: What about the southeast quarter of Section, was that already in the Pool?

A I believe so. I am not sure. I don't have any information with me. We did advertise to extend the Monument-Blinebry Pool during the January hearing to include the southwest quarter of Section 8. We believe that this same advertisement appeared in the January recommendations.

MR. MACEY: It didn't include the southeast quarter of Section 7 in January?

A I see. It did include the southwest section of Section 8, but not the southeast quarter of Section 7.

Q Southeast quarter of Section 7?

A Section 7, correct. Therefore, under the circumstances, I would like to postpone this particular case, since I do not have information at this particular time and no plats to support my testimony.

Q You mean entirely, or with respect to "e"?

A To the southeast quarter of Section 7 in this advertisement.

MR. MACEY: I can't find any record of a well producing in Monument-Blaine or in Section 7.

MR. PORTER: Mr. Stanley, do you have the Form C-123 with you which requested that?

A No, sir, I do not. It is in the Hobbs Office. I was under the impression that, but I see that I am wrong, that the southwest quarter of Section 8 was advertised last month, but as advertised here it also includes the southeast quarter of Section 7, and since I do not have information, or have not studied the case, we could either postpone it or delete the paragraph and readvertise for the next month, if necessary.

MR. PORTER: I believe the extension which is requested in that paragraph, which is advertised in that paragraph, was for the purpose of including a well which was completed in Section 8. It is a possibility that the operator just asked for the inclusion of that quarter section of 7.

A Yes, sir.

MR. PORTER: I think it might be in order to withdraw the entire paragraph.

MR. PORTER: Any questions of the witness?

MR. WIDERKEHR: Al Widerkehr from Southern Union Gas Company. Mr. Stanley, in your Section B, is there any indication available as to whether the well completed in this particular pool might be completed in the general Tubbs Pool. Is there any indication that these two pools are not one?

A No, sir, at the present time the regular Tubb Gas Pool is some six-mile distance in a general southeasterly direction, and at the present time there is no geological information which would connect this particular area. However, I do recommend that if such geological information is obtained, that at a later date the House-Tubb Gas Pool be changed in name and called the Tubb Gas Pool as an addition for administration purposes.

MR. SPURRIER: Any other comments? Any other questions of the witness? If not, the witness may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. SPURRIER: We will take the case under advisement. We will go to case 671.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO }  
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO } )

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached transcript of hearing in Case 670 before the Oil Conservation Commission, State of New Mexico, at Santa Fe, on February 18, 1954, is a true and correct record of the same to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

DATED at Albuquerque, New Mexico, this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of February, 1954.

  
COURT REPORTER