BEFORE THE

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Santa Fe, New Mexico

* * * * *

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

CASE NO. 703

Regular Hearing

May 19, 1954

. : .

Ş

G.

ز

2

-

. ئ

-

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO Santa Fe, New Mexico May 19, 1954

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Skelly Oil Company for unorthodox gas proration unit.

Case No. 703

Applicant, in the above-styled case, seeks an order authorizing the formation of a 160-acre unorthodox gas proration unit as an exception pursuant to provisions of Rule 7(a) of Order R-369-A and consisting of W/2 SW/4, and NE/4 SW/4, and NW/4 SE/4 of Section 17, Township 23 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, lying adjacent to the present boundaries of the Langmat Gas Pool as established in Commission Order R-264.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MR. SELINGER: George W. Selinger, representing Skelly. We have one witness. Mr. Max E. Curry.

$\underline{M} \underline{A} \underline{X} \underline{E} \cdot \underline{C} \underline{U} \underline{R} \underline{R} \underline{Y}$

the witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

By MR. SELINGER:

Q State your name. A Max E. Curry.

Q You are associated with what company?

A Skelly Oil Company.

Q What capacity? A Petroleum engineer.

Q Are you familiar with Skelly Oil Company's operation in Section 17, Township 23 south, Range 37 east?

A I am.

Q More particularly with Skelly's E. L. Steller lease?

A I am.

Q Have you testified before the Commission as an engineer?

A I have.

Q I hand you what has been marked as Exhibit'l. Is that a map of the area showing all of the wells in the immediate vicinity of the Skelly Steller lease?

A To the best of my knowledge it is.

Q I will hand you what has been marked as Skelly's Exhibit No. 2 and ask you to state whether or not that is the completion data of the well? A It is.

Q That indicates that the well is a dual completion, producing oil from the Queen and gas from the Langmat vertical limits of the Langmat? A That is correct.

Q I will hand you what has been marked as Skelly's Exhibit 3 and ask you to state whether or not that is a diagramatic sketch showing the completion of the well? A It is.

Q And in compliance with Commission Order ClO6 issued on April 26, 1954 indicative of the dual completion, we are filing as Exhibit 4 an electric log of the well, is that correct?

A These are radioactivity logs.

Q Yes. As Exhibit 5, is that a map of the area showing the location of the gas wells in this immediate area?

A Yes, it is.

Q The proposed 160 acre unorthodox gas unit in the vertical limits of the Langmat is indicated in yellow?

A That is correct.

Q Who owns the other remaining acreage in the south half of 17?

A Samedan Oil Corporation.

Q There is a gas well on Samedan's unorthodox 160 acres?

ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES stenotype reporters ROOM 103-106-107 EL CORTEZ BLDG. PHONES 7-9645 AND 3-9346 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 2

A I understand their No. 6 Well has recently been recompleted as a gas well. That is located in the southeast of the southeast quarter.

Q So that at the present time in the south half of Section 17 on that 320 acres, there are now two existing gas wells?

A That is correct.

Q Within the vertical limits of the Langmat field?

A That is correct.

Q The aerial limits of the Langmat Field extends along the west line of Section 17, does it not? A It does.

Q And these two gas wells are an extention of the aerial limits of the Langmat Gas Pool. is that right? A That is correct.

Q Did you have all these exhibits made up?

A I did.

. . .

Q As Exhibit 6 we would like to file a letter from the Samedan Oil Company. We would like to offer in evidence Skelly's Exhibits 1 through 6 inclusive. That is all we have.

MR. WALKER: Any objections to these Exhibits? If not, they will be admitted. Anyone have any questions to ask of the witness? If not the witness is excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. WALKER: Any further statements in this case? If not the case will be taken under advisement.

MR. SPURRIER: The next case on the docket is Case 704.

3

$\underline{C} \underline{E} \underline{R} \underline{T} \underline{I} \underline{F} \underline{I} \underline{C} \underline{A} \underline{T} \underline{E}$

I, ADA DEARNLEY, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings in the matter of Case No. 703 was taken by me on May 19, 1954, that the same is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

4