

BEFORE THE  
**Oil Conservation Commission**  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF:

CASE NO. 744 Regular Hearing

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

**ADA DEARNLEY AND ASSOCIATES**  
COURT REPORTERS  
Rooms 105, 106, 107 EL CORTEZ BUILDING  
TELEPHONE 7-9546  
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

BEFORE THE  
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
Santa Fe, New Mexico  
July 15, 1954

-----  
IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Hudson and Hudson, Inc.  
and Phillips Petroleum Company for 160  
acre unorthodox gas proration unit in  
Eumont Gas Pool: N/2 N/2 Section 30,  
Township 20 South, Range 37 East.

Case No. 744

-----  
BEFORE:

Mr. E. J. (Johnny) Walker  
Mr. R. R. Spurrier

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. SPURRIER: The next case on the docket is Case 744.

EDWARD R. HUDSON

having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

MR. HUDSON: I am Edward R. Hudson, from Forth Worth, Texas. Mr. Commissioner, this is a case where the Phillips Petroleum Company own the northwest quarter of the northwest quarter of Section 30, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, in the Eumont Field, and also the northeast quarter of the northeast quarter in the same section. We own 80 acres separating those two 40 acres. The Amerada Petroleum Company has made application to this Commission for an unorthodox unit covering the south half of the north half of the same section. We have made a tentative agreement with the Phillips whereby we will pool our interest with theirs and complete

our Number 1 Hudson Gas well, which is now an oil well, as a gas well and operate the north half of the north half of the section as a unit. If the Amerada unit is granted and our petition is granted you would then have the equivalent rather of two unorthodox units. In other words, it would cover, the two units would cover the north half of the section and we respectively request that both our applications be granted and the Amerada. Here is a little sketch of the way that sets out there.

MR. MACEY: Mr. Hudson, for your information, the Commission has, if my information is correct that I have here, the Amerada application was heard in Case 649 and the Commission has issued an order in that case approving it.

MR. HUDSON: Yes, sir, if you would approve ours, then we would then have the equivalent of two orthodox units in the north half of that section.

MR. SPURRIER: Does anybody have a question of the witness? If not the witness may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. SPURRIER: Does anyone have anything further in this case? We will take the case under advisement.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO     )  
                                  :     SS.  
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO   )

I, ADA DEARNLEY, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have affixed my hand and notarial seal this 17th day of July, 1954.

My Comm. Expires:  
June 19, 1955

*Ada Dearnley*  
ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES Notary Public, Court  
STENOTYPE REPORTERS  
ROOM 105-106-107 EL CORTEZ BLDG.  
PHONES 7-9645 AND 5-9546  
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO  
Reporter