

BEFORE THE  
**Oil Conservation Commission**  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF:

CASE NO. 753

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

**ADA DEARNLEY AND ASSOCIATES**  
COURT REPORTERS  
ROOMS 105, 106, 107 EL CORTEZ BUILDING  
TELEPHONE 7-9546  
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

BEFORE THE  
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
Santa Fe, New Mexico

August 18, 1954.

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Charm Oil Company for un-  
orthodox gas proration in Jalco Gas Pool;  
E/2 SW/4 Section 12, Township 21 South,  
Range 35 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

)  
)  
) Case No. 753  
)  
)  
)

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. MACEY: The next case on the docket is Case 753.

MR. SHELDON: V. P. Sheldon. Which procedure do you  
want to follow?

MR. MACEY: If you outline the pertinent facts concern-  
ing your application and the well and any exhibits that you have.

MR. SHELDON: Yes. My name is Viles P. Sheldon, Agent,  
Charm Oil Company. Charm Oil Company owns a leasehold tract con-  
sisting of the east half, southwest quarter, Section 12, Township  
21 South, Range 35 East, Lea County, upon which there have been  
two oil wells drilled in the center of their respective 40 acre  
tracts.

The No. 1 well is located in the northeast quarter, north-  
east quarter southwest quarter and is an oil well producing from  
the Seven Rivers zone, prorated in the Eunice Oil Field; by Order  
No. DC126 dated June 21, 1954, the Commission authorized a dual  
completion. The well has been dual completed producing gas from  
the Yates, in accordance with procedure as suggested and approved

or suggested by Charm and approved by the Commission. The results of which were filed on Form C102 dated July 9th,

In the opinion of Charm Oil Company, it is impractical to further attempt the unitization of this 80-acre tract into a standard gas proration unit. The entire 80 acres is productive of gas as evidenced by completed gas wells in all directions. That to protect the correlative rights, the Charm Oil Company, we plead that the Commission grant exception and grant an allowable to this 80 acre gas proration unit.

I do have several exhibits that I would present. A Lane wells radio activity log and a sketch of the completion of the dual completion and a map.

(Marked Charm's Exhibits Nos. 1, 2, 3, for identification.)

MR. MACEY: Is there objection to the introduction of these exhibits? If not they will be received in evidence.

Are there any questions of the witness?

Mr. Sheldon, for the purpose of the record, is your No. 1 well located in the center of the 40 acres? It is a 660 location?

MR. SHELDON: Yes, it is, it is a 660 location.

MR. MACEY: If no further questions, the witness may be excused. One other point I would like to put into the record, the fact that the recent Commission order puts the acreage in the Eumont Gas Pool.

MR. SHELDON: Yes, it is in the Eumont now.

MR. MACEY: Any further testimony or statement? If not the case will be taken under advisement.

(Witness excused.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, ADA DEARNLEY, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings in the matter of Case No. 753, were taken by me on August 18, 1954, that the same is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

  
Reporter