AZTEC OIL & GAS COMPANY, July Could with a could be could be a could be could be a could be a could be a could

1,1

1.

QUILMAN B. DAVIS SECRETARY AND GENERAL ATTORNEY

March 15, 1955

Mr. W. B. Macey, Secretary and Director New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Bill:

This is to confirm our telephone conversation concerning Order No. R-593 (Case No. 828) which is to be revised to reflect Stanolind Oil and Gas Company's ownership of the  $N_2^{\frac{1}{2}}SW_{\mu}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $NW_{\mu}^{\frac{1}{2}}SE_{\mu}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  of Section 35, and Makin Oil Company, Morris R. Antweil, and A&M Oil Company's ownership of the NELSEL of Section 35. Aztec owns the  $S^{\frac{1}{2}}$  of the  $S^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of Section 35.

It will be greatly appreciated if you will forward a copy of the revised order to each of the above named parties. For your information their addresses are as follows:

> Stanolind Oil and Gas Company Oil and Gas Building Roswell, New Mexico

Makin Oil Company P. 0. Box 1628 Hobbs, New Mexico

Morris R. Antweil Hobbs, New Mexico

A&M Oil Company Corsicana, Texas

With thanks and best personal regards, I am

Yours very truly, J. J. Daves

- 1 ----

QBD/ba

## OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 871

## SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

March 11, 1955

Aztec Oil and Gas Company 920 Mercantile Securities Building DALLAS 1 - TEXAS

Attention: Mr. Quilman Davis, Attorney

Gentlemen:

We attach a copy of Order R-593 issued in Case 828 under date of February 28, 1955.

Very truly yours,

WBM:nr

W. B. Macey Secretary-Director

Case # 828

August 2, 1955

Astec Oil and Gas Company 920 Mercantile Securities Hidg. Dallas 1, Texas

> Re: Aztec State #1-Lee County Sec. 35-208-37E S/2 S/2, N/2 SW/4, NW/4 SE/4

Atten: H. L. Jnider, Jr. Land & Lease Dept.

Gentlemen:

In regard to the above designated Communitisation, which supercedes one which was designated as an Astec State #2, and to which you have referred to in your letter of July 29, 1955, as a PU-56. We are designating this Communitization as Astec State #1-Lea, to conform with a well of such name on this Communitisation, and in the future will you please refer to it as such.

We are enclosing eight copies which were approved by the Commissioner of Public Lands on August 2, 1955, subject to existing rules and regulations of the Oil Conservation Commission.

Will you please remit to us a \$5.00 filing fee at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

E. 3. WALKER COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS

Encl. cc: OCC:Santa Pe January 21, 1955

Astec Oil and Gas Company 920 Mercantile Securities Bldg. Dallas 1, Texas

Re: Administrative Order NSP-29

Attention: Mr. Cuilman Davis, Attorney

Gentlemen:

 $\nabla_{i}$ 

On October 26, 1954, by virtue of the provisions of Administrative Order NSP-29, I approved the formation of a non-standard gas proration unit consisting of the following described acreage in the Eumont Gas Poel:

Section 35, Township 20 South, Range 37 East 5/2

Information available to me at this time indicates that I exceeded the authority granted to me by the provisions of Rule 5-b of Order R-520 (Fumont Poel Rules) due to the fact that the third paragraph of Rule 5-a specifically limits the maximum amount of acreage that may be assigned to a gas well, the amount being governed by the well location.

The formation of a 320-acre non-standard protation unit with your State Unit "E" Lease, Well No. 1, located 990 feet from the South line and 990 feet from the West line is contrary to the provisions of this rule.

On February 16, 1955, this Commission will hold hearings described to you as operator for you to show cause why the acreage assigned your State Unit" F", Well No. 1, SW/4 SW/4 Section 35, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Eumont Gas Pool, should not be reduced to 160 acres in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph 3 of Rule 5-a of Order R-520. The description of this 160-acre unit is as follows:

## OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 871 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

-2-

## Section 35, Township 20 South, Range 37 East SW/4

This proposed reduction would be effective March 1, 1955. You will of course be afforded the opportunity to present any testimony or evidence to support the formation of the proration unit as it now exists.

This letter is being directed to you so that you can prepare your case, if you so desire. A copy of the advertisement will be mailed to you when available.

Very truly yours.

W. B. MACEY, Secretary-Director