THE CETE TO

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
THE TEXAS COMPANY FOR AN ORDER GRANTING
APPROVAL OF AN EXCEPTION TO RULE 5 (a)
OF ORDER NO. R-520 IN THE ESTABLISHMENT
OF A NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNIT IN
THE EUMONT GAS POOL CONSISTING OF THE
EN Land Shelf of Section 12, Township
21 South, Range 36 East, NMPM, Lea County,
NEW MEXICO, AND THE ASSIGNMENT OF SAID
ACREAGE TO THE TEXAS COMPANY'S ROY RIDDEL
WELL NO. 1, FOR GAS PRORATION PURPOSES.

Case No. 855

APPLICATION FOR REHEARING

Come now applicants, Schermerhorn Oil Corporation and J. H. Moore, by their attorneys, Campbell & Russell, and apply to the Commission for a rehearing in the above styled matter, and as their reasons therefor state:

- 1. Applicant Schermerhorn Oil Corporation is the owner and operator of a gas well in the Eumont Gas Pool situated in the SW\(\frac{1}{4}\)NE\(\frac{1}{4}\) of Section 12, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, and is the owner and operator of contiguous acreage consisting of the NW\(\frac{1}{4}\)SE\(\frac{1}{4}\) of said Section 12. An 80-acre allowable is presently being attributed to its Carter Unit #1 well on such 80-acre tract.
- 2. Applicant J. H. Moore is the owner of an interest in a well situated in the $SW_4^1NW_4^1$ of Section 7, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, and is also the owner of an interest in the Carter Unit #1 well hereinabove described.
- 3. Order No. R-622 entered in the above styled matter is erroneous in the following respects:
- (a) The order entered is contrary to the purposes and intent of Order No. R-520 as previously entered by the Commission as it affects the Eumont Gas Pool inasmuch as it isolates small tracts within the limits of a standard gas proration unit.

- (b) The Texas Company failed to use reasonable efforts to secure approval from royalty interests underlying the proposed unit to the pooling of said royalty interests with the acreage of Schermerhorn and Moore to form a larger gas proration unit, and Finding No. (7) of the Commission is therefore erroneous and unreasonable.
- (c) It is practical and equitable to force the pooling of The Texas Company unit acreage with the acreage of Schermerhorn and Moore as above described, and Finding No. (8) is therefore erroneous and unreasonable and arbitrary.
- 4. Order No. R-622 deprives applicants of their property without due process of law.

WHEREFORE, applicants request a rehearing in Case No. 855 before the Commission.

Respectfully submitted,
SCHERMERHORN OIL CORPORATION

J. H. MOORE

Jack M. Campbell

For CAMPBELL & RUSSELL their attorneys

INSTRUCTIONS

- No N Is this gas well a dual completion?
- other dually completed wells within the deducated s Yes, are there any If the answer to Question 1 Y C. S. acreage?

the area dedicated to such well and showing the location of A separate plat must be filed for each gas well, outlining all other wells (oil and gas) within the outlined area

office for the district in Mail in duplicate to the district which the well is located

NEW MEXICO

Gas Well Plat

UIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Date January al et Roy Riddel The Texas Company Operator

1955

24

Pool Queen

Name of Producing Formation

- Queen Pay in Eumont Gas Pool Eumont Gas Well No. Lease

No. Acres Dedicated to the Well 160

Oil Co Warlick
Warlick

To the best of my knowledge.

Name

Name

Name 36-E W.C. (160)N. Penrose (160) Alves N. Penrose Alves Aikman (160)RANGE Curry Rodman NO 18 1 Sec. F.J.Danglade 1 (120) 2 Alexander Alexander G Tide-water E.G.Rodman Mattern "C" (R37E) 21-3 Gulf Shell TOWNSHIP Whit Makin Drlg. Co. 160 A. Tidewater Marshall "D" (160) Unit 70 40 TTCo. R.Riddel 150 Acre Mid- TTCo Schermer ontinentR.Riddel 80 A.Utit Carter (R36E) 48 13 Sec. Sec. McQuatters Unit **一**合 Dalport Oil (20. Lockhart "B-13" SECTION Conoco arshall Mid-

Addres Box 1720, Ft. Worth, Texas

(over)