## P. O. BOX 871 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

October 1, 1957

Mr. D. R. Wall Magnolia Petroleum Company P.O. Box 900 Dallas 21, Texas

Dear Sir:

We are enclosing a copy of our docket for the October 17th Regular Commission hearing. Please note that Case 1273 has to do with the Magnolia purchaser prorationing.

We are enclosing a copy of Commission Order R-1037-A concerning Gulf prorationing and are requesting that Magnolia supply us with the same information that is requested of Gulf in this order.

Yours very truly,

A. L. Porter, Jr. Secretary - Director

ALP:bp Encls.

## BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER CONCERNING PURCHASER PRORATIONING BY MAGNOLIA PETROLEUM COMPANY IN CERTAIN OIL POOLS IN LEA, EDDY, CHAVES AND ROOSEVELT COUNTIES, NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 1273

## REPLY TO MAGNOLIA PETROLEUM COMPANY TO SHOW CAUSE ORDER

## TO THE HONORABLE COMMISSION:

Now comes Magnolia Petroleum Company, respondent in the above numbered cause and makes the following reply:

Docket No. 31-57 issued by the Oil Conservation Commission sets forth matters to be heard October 17, 1957, including:

"CASE 1273: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission to permit Magnolia Petroleum Company to appear and show cause why it should not be required to purchase 100% of the oil authorized to be produced from the wells from which it purchases in the State of New Mexico."

Furthermore, by letter dated October 1, 1957, addressed to Mr. D. R. Wall by Commissioner A. L. Porter, Jr., this respondent was requested to supply the Commission with the same information that is requested of Gulf Oil Corporation in Case No. 1299, Order No. R-1037-A dated September 24, 1957.

Respondent, Magnolia Petroleum Company, has prorated its purchases in the State of New Mexico in accordance
with state laws and prior orders of this Commission contained in Emergency Order No. A-91(B) and Order No. R-1019,
Case No. 1273, and does not object to supplying this Honor-

able Commission with the information requested by Commissioner Porter in said letter dated October 1, 1957, but respectfully states that the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico has no statutory authority to order this respondent to purchase 100%, or any amount, of the oil authorized to be produced from the wells from which respondent purchases in the State of New Mexico. Respondent further states that such an order, if entered, would contravene the Commerce Clause and the due process clause of the U. S. Constitution.

Respectfully submitted,

MAGNOLIA PETROLEUM COMPANY

0