

MAIN OFFICE 000

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
OF THE  
STATE OF NEW MEXICO

1955 MAY 14 PM 1:51

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION  
OF CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY FOR A  
NEW GAS POOL DESIGNATION FOR TUBB  
PRODUCTION FROM ITS WARREN UNIT  
BT WELL NO. 8 LOCATED IN SECTION  
28, T20S, R38E, LEA COUNTY, NEW  
MEXICO, THE HORIZONTAL LIMITS OF  
SAID POOL TO ENCOMPASS THE E/2  
OF SECTION 28, T20S, R38E, NMPM,  
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO; AND FOR THE  
ESTABLISHMENT OF POOL RULES TO GOVERN  
THE PRODUCTION FROM THIS POOL

A P P L I C A T I O N

Comes now applicant, Continental Oil Company, and respectfully petitions the Commission for a new pool designation for Tubb gas production from its Warren Unit BT No. 8 well, located 1980 feet from South and East lines of Section 28, T20S, R38E, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico, and for pool rules governing the production from this pool similar to those adopted for the Tubb pool with the exception that the limiting gas-oil ratio for oil wells as provided in Rule 19 of Order R-586-B be 6,000 cubic feet of gas for each barrel of oil produced. In support of this application, applicant would show:

1. That applicant is operator of the Warren Unit which contains, in addition to other lands, Section 28, T20S, R38E, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico.
2. That applicant drilled and completed on March 12, 1950, its Warren Unit Drinkard Well No. 8 as an oil well in the Drinkard formation at a location 1980 feet from the South and East lines of said Section 28.
3. That said well was plugged back and dual completed for gas production from the Tubb and Blinbry formations during February, 1957. The calculated open flow potential for the Tubb zone was 5810 MCF of gas per day. At a producing rate of 750 MCF per day, the well produced distillate at a rate of 192 barrels per day for a gas-distillate ratio of 18750 to 1. After recompletion, the well was redesignated the Warren Unit "BT" No. 8.

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

Page 2

4. That the attached plat, contoured on the Tubb marker, indicates the location of the Warren Unit BT No. 8, the location and distance to the nearest Tubb production, the location of wells known to have tested dry in the Tubb zone, the general topographical features of the Tubb formation in this area, and the area suggested for inclusion in the proposed new pool.

5. That the nearest Tubb production to the Warren Unit BT No. 8 is located approximately 12,300 feet South in the Tubb pool.

6. That the accumulation of Tubb gas and distillate under the Warren Unit BT No. 8 is separated from the Tubb pool by an interval of low permeability as evidenced by the dry holes between the two accumulations.

7. That the suggested name for this new pool is the Warren Tubb pool.

8. That the limiting gas-oil ratio for oil wells to be drilled in the pool be 6,000 cubic feet for each barrel of oil produced.

Wherefore, applicant respectfully prays that this application be set for hearing before the Commission's duly appointed examiner at Hobbs, New Mexico, that due notice thereof be given, and that upon hearing an order be entered establishing a new pool designation and pool rules for the Continental Oil Company Warren Unit BT No. 8 Tubb formation.

Respectfully submitted  
CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY

By R. L. Adams

Case 1467



# CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY

825 PETROLEUM BUILDING  
ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO

May 13, 1958

R. L. ADAMS  
DIVISION SUPERINTENDENT  
OF PRODUCTION  
NEW MEXICO DIVISION

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission  
Box 871  
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr., Secretary-Director

Gentlemen:

Attached are three copies of Continental Oil Company's application for a new pool designation for Tubb gas production from its Warren Unit BT Well No. 8, located 1980 feet from the south and east lines of Section 28, T20S, R38E, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, and for the establishment of pool rules to govern the production from this pool. It is respectfully requested that this matter be set for hearing at the earliest convenient date.

Yours very truly,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "R. L. Adams".

RIA-JC

Enc

cc: HLJ, FTE

*Docket mailed  
5-27-58  
EP*

# BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EXHIBIT NO. 3  
CASE NO. 1467

Form C-122

Revised 12-1-55

## MULTI-POINT BACK PRESSURE TEST FOR GAS WELLS

Pool Undesignated Formation Tubb County Lea

Initial Annual Special X Date of Test 4-14-58

Company Continental Oil Company Lease Warren Unit B.T. Well No. 8

Unit J Sec. 28 Twp. 20-S Rge. 38-E Purchaser El Paso Natural Gas Co.

Casing 7" Wt. 23# I.D. 6.336 Set at PB 6692 Perf. 6360 To 6590

Tubing OD 2.375 I.D. 1.995 Set at 6480 Perf. 6360 To 6590

Gas Pay: From 6360 To 6590 L 6360 xG-MIX= .737 GL 4687 Bar.Press. 13.2

Producing Thru: Casing 6360 Tubing X Type Well G. G. Dual

Date of Completion: 2-11-57 Packer 6240 Reservoir Temp. 950

### OBSERVED DATA

Tested Through (Flowmeter) (Orifice) (Meter) Type Taps Flange

No.	Flow Data					Tubing Data		Casing Data		Duration of Flow Hr.
	(Flowmeter) (Line) Size	(Orifice) Size	Press. psig	Diff. h <sub>w</sub>	Temp. °F.	Press. psig	Temp. °F.	Press. psig	Temp. °F.	
SI						2071				72
1.	4	1.500	616	9.9	40	1864				24
2.	4	1.500	601	24.1	36	1759				24
3.	4	1.500	593	46.2	42	1603				24
4.	4	1.500	567	73.0	37	1458				24
5.										

### FLOW CALCULATIONS

No.	Coefficient (24-Hour)	$\sqrt{h_{wpf}}$	Pressure psia	Flow Temp. Factor F <sub>t</sub>	Gravity Factor F <sub>g</sub>	Compress. Factor F <sub>pv</sub>	Rate of Flow Q-MCFPD @ 15.025 psia
1.	13.99	78.93	629.2	1.0198	.9427	1.096	1163
2.	13.99	121.66	614.2	1.0239	"	1.090	1791
3.	13.99	167.35	606.2	1.0178	"	1.090	2448
4.	13.99	205.80	580.2	1.0229	"	1.085	3012
5.							

### PRESSURE CALCULATIONS

Gas Liquid Hydrocarbon Ratio 37.650 cf/bbl. ✓  
Gravity of Liquid Hydrocarbons 76.2 deg. ✓  
F<sub>c</sub> 9.936 (1-e<sup>-s</sup>) 0.276

Specific Gravity Separator Gas .676  
Specific Gravity Flowing Fluid .737  
P<sub>c</sub> 2084.2 P<sub>c</sub> 4343.9

No.	P <sub>w</sub> P <sub>t</sub> (psia)	P <sub>t</sub> <sup>2</sup>	F <sub>c</sub> Q	(F <sub>c</sub> Q) <sup>2</sup>	(F <sub>c</sub> Q) <sup>2</sup> (1-e <sup>-s</sup> )	P <sub>w</sub> <sup>2</sup>	P <sub>c</sub> <sup>2</sup> -P <sub>w</sub> <sup>2</sup>	Cal. P <sub>w</sub>	P <sub>w</sub> /P <sub>c</sub>
1.	1877.2	3523.9	11.56	133.63	36.88	3560.8	783.1	1887.0	.91
2.	1772.2	3140.7	16.69	278.56	76.88	3217.6	1126.3	1793.7	.86
3.	1616.2	2612.1	24.32	591.46	163.24	2775.3	1568.6	1665.9	.80
4.	1471.2	2164.4	29.93	895.80	247.24	2411.6	1932.3	1552.9	.75 *
5.									

Absolute Potential: 6,700 MCFPD; n .98

COMPANY Continental Oil Company

ADDRESS Box 427, Hobbs, New Mexico

AGENT AND TITLE W. D. Howard, Gas Tester

WITNESSED

COMPANY

### REMARKS

Flow Rate No. 1 2 3 4

Bbls. Distillate Production 42 55 58 80

Gas-Oil Ratio 27,690 32,564 42,207 37,650

\* Orifice size prohibited obtaining 30% drawdown on highest rate.

## INSTRUCTIONS

This form is to be used for reporting multi-point back pressure tests on gas wells in the State, except those on which special orders are applicable. Three copies of this form and the back pressure curve shall be filed with the Commission at Box 871, Santa Fe.

The log log paper used for plotting the back pressure curve shall be of at least three inch cycles.

## NOMENCLATURE

- $Q$  = Actual rate of flow at end of flow period at W. H. working pressure ( $P_w$ ).  
MCF/da. @ 15.025 psia and 60° F.
- $P_c$  = 72 hour wellhead shut-in casing (or tubing) pressure whichever is greater.  
psia
- $P_w$  = Static wellhead working pressure as determined at the end of flow period.  
(Casing if flowing thru tubing, tubing if flowing thru casing.) psia
- $P_t$  = Flowing wellhead pressure (tubing if flowing through tubing, casing if  
flowing through casing.) psia
- $P_f$  = Meter pressure, psia.
- $h_w$  = Differential meter pressure, inches water.
- $F_g$  = Gravity correction factor.
- $F_t$  = Flowing temperature correction factor.
- $F_{pv}$  = Supercompressability factor.
- $n$  = Slope of back pressure curve.

Note: If  $P_w$  cannot be taken because of manner of completion or condition of well, then  $P_w$  must be calculated by adding the pressure drop due to friction within the flow string to  $P_t$ .

COMPANY Continental Oil Co.  
 WELL Warren Unit BT No.8 (Tubb)  
 LOCATION J 28-20S-38E  
 COUNTY Lea  
 DATE 4-14-58

