## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF LEA COUNTY,

STATE OF NEW MEXICO.

AMANDA E. SLAS and GEORGE W. SIMS, Petitioners, V. NO. 18,860 HONORABLE JOHN BURROUGHS, CHAIRMAN, MURRY E. MORGAN, MEMBER, A. L. PORTER, JR., MEMBER, SECRETARY OF THE OIL CON-SERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEMBER, SALCON OILS, INC., Respondents.

## STRULATION

It is hereby scipulated by and between the parties as follows:

1. That on or about the 17th day of August, 1955, the Oil Conservation Commission adopted Order No. R-677 in case No. 929 wherein the property of Deticioners herein was pooled as a production unit for the producing of dry gas and associated liquid hydro-carbons from the vertical limits of the Tubb gas zone, the records of such case may be considered by the Court in the decision of this case.

2. That on or about the 11th day of September, 1957, a contract was entered into between the holders of the oil and gas lease covering such property and the owners of the mineral interest therein poolin; such property as e production unit for the production of dry gas and associated i qc = 0 pro-carbons from the vertical limits of the Thob gas zone. 3. That on or about the 20th day of September, 1957, the lease holder of such property commenced the drilling of a gas well to be completed in the Tubb gas zone which gas well was completed as a commercial producer of dry gas and associated liquid hydro-carbons in the Tubb gas zone on or about the lat day of January, 1950, and such production was attributed in accordance with the prior astronet of the parties and the prior order of the Commission.

4. That on the 17th day of December, 1958, the Oil Conservation Commission entered an order in Cause No. 1507 wherein the Commission pooled the NW% of Section 25, Township 22 Jouth, Range 37 East as a Tubb gas unit and the SW% of such section as a Tubb gas unit and thereafter the production of the gas well drilled in 1957 was attributed 10 the NW% of such section and a new gas well was drilled in the SW% of such section and the production of such new well was attributed to the SW% of said section; that the production realized from the new gas well in the SW% is less than the production realized from the gas well originally attributed to the acreage of the petitioners herein.

5. That the records of the Commission causes numbered 929, 1667 and 2051 and the pooling agreement described in paragraph 2 hereof may be admitted into evidence and considered by the Court in the determination of this cause.

DONE this 13th day of November, 1961.

ATTORNAL FOR PETITIONER

## ATTORNEY FOR OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

ATTORNEY FOR TEXAS-PACIFIC COAL AND OIL, SUCCESSOR TO OLSEN OILS, INC.

\_

~.