HUMBLE OIL & REFINING COMPANY

HUMBLE DIVISION P. O. BOX 1600

MIDLAND, TEXAS

December 14, 1960

PRODUCTION DEPARTMENT WESTERN AREA

R. R. MCCARTY

J. S. BOLDRICK

OPERATIONS SUPERINTENDENT
H. L. HENSLEY

OPERATIONS SUPERINTENDENT

H. E. MEADOWS ENGINEERING COORDINATOR

A. J. BEDFORD
ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR

Re: Dual Completion; Application for

Humble Oil & Refining Co.

Case No. 2146

Mr. Elvis A. Utz Examiner, New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Utz:

In response to questions by Mr. Dan Nutter at the December 12,1960 hearing for a single string dual completion application (Case No. 2146) the following additional information is presented for the Caudil-Devonian producer in D. H. Crockett Well No. 1:

- 1. Sucker rod size 1-inch (56 joints + 24 feet of subs), 7/8-inch (64 joints) 3/4-inch (78 joints)
- 2. Average pumping speed is 12 strokes per minute which is considered the maximum rate for safe operation.
- 3. Length of stroke 108 inches with a 320-D pumping unit.
- 4. Size of pumpplunger 2-1/4 inches.

A review of well development costs for the Caudill-Wolfcamp pool indicates that a single string well would cost \$200,000; however, as stated in the hearing, it would be difficult to economically justify this expenditure due to limited reserves anticipated from the reservoir.

Yours very truly,

HUMBLE OIL & REFINING COMPANY

BY: HENRY F. MEADOWS

R. R. McCARTY

JEW/rs

cc: Mr. Dan Nutter

Mr. Howard Bratton

Mr. W. S. Davis