BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico January 25, 1961 EXAMINER HEARING PHONE CH 3-6691 IN THE MATTER OF: Application of Hudson and Hudson for an exception to Rule 506 (A) of the Commission Rules and Regulations and for permission to transfer allowables. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception Case to Rule 506 (A) by increasing the limiting gas-oil 2164 ratio for the West Tonto Yates Seven Rivers Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, from 2,000 to 6,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of oil. Applicant further seeks permission to shut-in one well in said pool and transfer its allowable to another well. **BEFO RE:** Elvin A. Utz, Examiner. TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING MR. PAYNE: Application of Hudson and Hudson for an exception to Rule 506 (A) of the Commission Rules and Regulations and for permission to transfer allowables. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO MR. KELLAHIN: Jason Kellahin, representing the applicant. We will have one witness. (Witness sworn.) Any other appearances in this case? MR. UTZ: RALPH L. GRAY, called as a witness, having been previously duly sworn, testified

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

as follows:



DIRECT EXAMINATION							
BY MR. KELLAHIN:							
Q	Would you state your name, please?						
A	Ralph L. Gray.						
Q	Are you a consulting engineer, Mr. Gray?						
A	Yes, sir.						
Q	Have you testified before the Oil Conservation Commission						
as a petroleum engineer?							
A	I have.						
	MR. KELLAHIN: Are the witness's qualifications acceptable?						
	MR. UTZ: Yes, they are.						
Q	Mr. Gray, are you connected in any way with Hudson and						
Hudson?							
A	Yes. I am a consultant for them.						
Q	Are you familiar with the application in Case No. 2164?						
A	Yes, I am.						
Q	Will you state briefly what is proposed in this appli-						
cation?							
A	It is proposed to raise the limiting gas-oil ratio from						
2,000 cu	bic feet per barrel, which is the Statewide rule, to 6,000						
cubic feet per barrel. It is also requested that the allowable							

No. 2.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

Referring you to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 1, Q will you discuss that exhibit, please?

from the Hudson Federal 18 Well No. 3 be transferred to their well



A Exhibit No. 1 is a map of the area. It shows the location of the West Tonto (Yates-Seven Rivers) Pool and, principally, it is located in Section 18, Township 19 South, Range 33 East. This map shows the structural contours on top of the porous dolomite. As will be shown on the map, there are five producing wells on the Hudson lease, and two wells on the Pan American Bondurant lease, for a total of seven producing wells in the field.

Q Is that all the producing wells in this pool?

A Yes, sir.

Q Now, referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 2, would you discuss the information shown on that exhibit?

A Exhibit No. 2 shows the well data for all of the Hudson wells. These wells were completed last year, from April through October, 1960. Most of them were drilled to a depth of approximately 3300 feet. The initial potentials are shown on this sheet. Five and a half casing, generally, was set to the bottom, and the pay was perforated. This table shows the perforated intervals, also the treatment is shown for each well, and the treatments required were very small amounts of acid just to clean the wells up.

Q Could you, on the basis of an exhibit you prepared, discuss the reservoir conditions in Exhibit 3?

A Exhibit No. 3 is a cross section, west to east cross section through the field, and the yellow portion shown on the map represents the space that is filled with gas. It has an original gas cap present. There are gamma ray neutron logs shown for each



PHONE CH 3-6691 DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO well. Then the blue portion on each end of the cross section represents what we think is the approximate water table. Apparently there is water existing in the lower portions of the field.

This cross section also shows the intervals that are perforated in each well, and shows the location of the casing, and so forth. The space shown between the yellow, gas cap, and the water interval represents the volume of the reservoir that is saturated with oil.

Q Will you discuss briefly the perforation intervals as shown on this exhibit in each of the wells?

A Generally speaking, the wells are perforated low or in the middle portion of the oil saturated section. The gas cap was determined very early in the drilling of these wells, so an effort has been made to keep these perforations fairly low in the oil section, away from the gas cap.

Q Are any of the wells in the area making water?

A Yes, sir. The Well No. 4, shown on the extreme right of the cross section makes approximately 15% water.

Q Would you describe the nature of this gas cap and the market possibilities for the gas that is available?

A Well, first of all, on the cross section it is evident that the gas cap occupies a very substantial part of the reservoir, both in area and in thickness. It is a very sour gas. It also has a very high nitrogen content, and --

Q Before we get into that, do you have any core information on the No. 4 well. or any of the wells?



PAGE 4

CH 3-6691

PHONE

A Yes. We have core data on, I think, three or four of the wells.

Q Is that marked as Exhibit No. 4?

A Yes, sir, that's right.

Q Would you discuss the information shown on that exhibit?

A Exhibit No. 4 shows a core analysis graphically through all of the section on Well No. 3, and I think the pertinent thing about this is that it will be noted that the permeabilities are very high. Permeabilities range as high as 6300 millidarcies, and the cores were found to be a very vuggy type of material, large holes existing, and fractures, and there is very good communication as evidenced by these high permeabilities. I think that is the main thing that this core graph shows.

Q Were you discussing the gas analysis? Would you continue your discussion of that?

A As I mentioned, it has been found that the gas content of the gas cap has a very high nitrogen content, which makes it of a questionable commercial value. I can comment on each one of these three analyses we have.

Q Are those Exhibits 5, 6 and 7?

A Yes, sir, that's right.

Q Would you discuss those?

A Exhibit 5 is a gas analysis taken by Phillips Petroleum Company in July, 1960, on the well No. 1, and this will show that the nitrogen content of this well at the time the analysis



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

3-6691

PHONE CH

On Exhibit No. 6, which is also Well No. 1, this was taken several months later at a time when there had been a change in operating conditions, and the gas-oil ratio in the well had been lowered. It will be noted that the nitrogen content is only 8.55%, which indicates that there is less gas from the cap being produced. This analysis also shows the hydrogen sulfide content of 960 grains per 100 cubic feet, which is a very sour gas.

Exhibit No. 7 is an analysis on Well No. 3, and this well has a high gas-oil ratio which we know from performance indicates it is producing gas cap gas, and the nitrogen on this well was above 35%.

Q Does the nitrogen content have any effect on the marketability of the gas?

A Yes, it does. It lowers the BTU content. On this last analysis it was only about 800 BTU, which is below normal gas and below the usual contract requirements.

Q Is there gas line facilities available in the area?

A No, there are not.

Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 8, would you discuss that exhibit, please?

A I am sorry, we only have two maps of that. We couldn't get a third one, but Exhibit No. 8 shows a very large area in Southeast New Mexico, and the purpose of that map is just to show the vicinity and the West Tonto (Yates-Seven Rivers) Pool is indicated by the red pertion on the map. This shows the closest dis-



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

CH 3-6691

PHONE

tance to any gas gathering facilities that we know about at this time. It is approximately six miles from the field, in a northerly direction to the E. K. Queen Field where gathering facilities are available, and it is approximately seven miles in a northwesterly direction to the Shugart Field, which has gathering facilities, so this shows that we aren't very close to any gathering facilities that I know about.

Q Has any effort been made to secure a market for the gas that is available?

A Yes. Last July the Phillips Petroleum Company took a sample of the gas and made the analysis, which was included as one of these exhibits, and since then they have never expressed any interest in purchasing this gas.

Q Have any well tests been made on the wells?

A Yes.

Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit 9, would you discuss the information shown on that exhibit?

A Exhibit No. 9 shows various well tests, dates, which have been made on each one of the Hudson wells, and along with this information it also shows some pertinent data on gas analyses made at about the same time. I think the important thing on this table is a comparison of the gas-oil ratios on some of these tests, and the nitrogen content that was shown to exist at those times. For instance, on Well No. 1 we had a gas-oil ratio problem to start with. The gas-oil ratio to start with was 5,814 cubic feet per



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

PHONE CH 3-6691

barrel. At this time the well was being produced by continuous flow, and the nitrogen content as shown by gas analysis was 37.58%. Then, later on, we tried various producing methods in an effort to reduce the gas-oil ratio, and approximately two months later it was found that by flowing this well on intermitter it was possible to reduce the gas-oil ratio to 225 cubic feet per barrel, and at this time another analysis of the gas was made which showed the low nitrogen content of 8.55%. Then, on Well No. 2 it will be noted that this is one of the few wells where we haven't really had a gas-oil ratio problem. The gas-oil ratio has varied from 230 to 484 cubic feet per barrel, and some of these tests were conducted up as high as 102 barrels of oil per day, which shows that this well is capable of producing much more than one or two top allowables.

Well No. 3 has had a gas-oil ratio problem from the start. The ratio has been as high as 17,516 cubic feet per barrel where it was produced on continuous flow, and you will note, also, that the nitrogen content was high at that rate, signifying that some of the gas was produced from the gas cap. Then, the lowest ratio on this well was 4,210 by intermitter control, which is well above the present limiting gas-oil ratio and causes the well to be penalized. I think those are the pertinent points on this table.

Q Mr. Gray, assuming the Commission should approve the request as shown in this application, what would be the approximate volume of gas produced from the five wells on the Hudson & Hudson lease?



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

HONE CH 3-6691

A At the present time there would be approximately 56 MCF per day of gas produced.

Q Do you think this volume is sufficient to interest a gatherer to come into the area under present conditions?

A I wouldn't think so.

Q In the event the gas-oil ratios were to increase substantially in the future, would the chances of obtaining a market be improved?

A I think so. I think the gas gatherers would be more interested in coming into the area in that event.

Q Would your answer be the same if the Commission did not remove it, or increase the limiting gas-oil ratio?

A There wouldn't be as much likelihood for a gatherer to come in because the volumes would be lower, and there wouldn't be as much chance for the thing to be economical.

Q Under your present limiting gas-oil ratio of 2,000 cubic feet per barrel, are you suffering the penalty on the allowable?

A Yes, sir. On Well No. 3, at the present time the penalized allowable on that well is 17 barrels of oil per day, even though this is a new well and capable of producing well above top allowable.

Q Does that make that well an economical operation?

A Yes, sir, it is economical to operate, but the pay out on the well will be very slow.

Because of the nature of the reservoir, do you expect the



HONE CH 3-6691 DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

gas-oil ratios in the other wells to increase substantially?

A Yes, I think they will, and probably within a very short time. I think the fact that the character of the formation is a very vuggy type of material, and there are fractures existing, I expect the gas-oil ratios to increase very rapidly.

Q In such an event would this create any further hardship on the operator?

A Yes. Under the existing limiting gas-oil ratio it could cause a hardship and could result in his investment being recovered over a very long period of time.

Q In your opinion, is a limiting gas-oil ratio of 6,000 cubic feet per barrel of oil a reasonable limit for this field?

A Yes, sir, at the present time.

Q Mr. Gray, in your opinion will the granting of a 6,000 to one ratio have any affect on the ultimate recovery of oil from this pool?

A No, sir, I don't think so, because, first of all, I think it is an impossibility to recover the oil from this reservoir without producing with a very high ratio over the life of the pool.

Q You base that on the nature of the reservoir?

A Yes, sir.

Q Its characteristics?

A Yes, sir. By the nature of the reservoir and the relatively thin column of oil existing.

In the application you are also asking for transfer of the



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

СН 3-6691

allowable from the No. 3 well to the No. 2 well; would this result in a conservation of the reservoir energy?

Yes, it would. By transferring the allowable less space in the reservoir would be voided because of the lower ratio of the Well No. 2, which would conserve reservoir energy.

Would it have any adverse affect on correlative rights or Q the rights of other operators?

I can't see where it would affect any other operator. Well No. 2 is almost in the center of this section. It is located a great distance from the nearest line and I don't think it would affect any other operator.

Were Exhibits 1 through 9 inclusive prepared by you or Q under your supervision?

Yes, sir.

MR. KELLAHIN: At this time I would like to offer in evidence Exhibits 1 through 9 inclusive.

MR. UTZ: Without objection Exhibits 1 through 9 inclusive in this case will be entered into the record.

(By Mr. Kellahin) Do you have anything to add, Mr. Gray? Q

I don't believe so. A

MR. KELLAHIN: At this time, if the Examiner please, I would like to make this statement. We are aware that the Commission generally, in raising the gas-oil ratio, has frequently adopted a "No Flare" order along with such an increase. It is a request of the applicant in this case that if the Commission feels that that



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

CH 3-6691

PHONE

is necessary, that a "No Flare" order be included, that that portion of our application seeking the removal of the ratio and increasing it to 6,000 to 1 be denied rather than having a "No Flare" order entered, due to the fact that there is no market at the present time for the gas, and because of the nature of the gas and the nature of the reservoir generally, it doesn't appear there will be a market for the gas for sometime to come. It is, of course, our position that an increase in the ratio to 6,000 to one is fully justified under the circumstances in this particular pool. That is all we have, Mr. Utz.

BY MR. UTZ:

Â

Q Mr. Gray, the five wells that you stated were producing now, 56 MCF per day, at 6,000 ratio, what do you estimate they will produce?

A You mean how much gas?

Q Yes.

A Well, of course, you mean in the event the gas-oil ratio is increased later. At the present time changing the limiting gasoil ratio to 6,000 would not affect the amount of gas that is being produced at the present time, which would be about 56 MCF per day. Changing the limiting ratio would not affect that at the present time.

Q You mean, providing the allowable from the No. 3 is transferred?

Yes, sir, providing it is transferred.



PHONE CH 3-6691 DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

If that wasn't transferred, then it would increase? Q If the allowable is not transferred there would be a A little additional gas produced; that would increase it, roughly, to about 180 MCF per day.

I note on your cross section, Exhibit 2, that your upper-Q perforations in the No. 3 well are in the base of the gas zone. Do you suppose that might be some of your GOR problems?

When the well was originally perforated it was perforated in the two intervals shown, and the upper perforations were tested and found to be gassy. Of course, that was one reason for our locating the gas cap where we did on the cross section. Then we ran a packer between the perforations and produced from the lower perforation. Although we had a lower gas-oil ratio we still had a comparatively high ratio compared to the other wells, so at the present time the well is actually producing from the lower perforations by a packer set between them.

Then the No. 2 well is perforated well down into the oil Q zone only?

Yes, sir. A

And that is probably the reason that you have no GOR Q problems on that well, don't you think?

A We think that in that particular case we don't have quite as good a vertical communication up into the gas cap as we do on some of the other wells. It is possible maybe we don't have the fracturing in that particular area that we do in other parts of



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

3-6691

Ð

the reservoir.

Q That well is producing top allowable at the present time? A Yes, sir.

Q If you doubled the rate of production on the No. 2 well, is there a likelihood of increasing the gas-oil ratio on the well?

A Not substantially. Our Exhibit No. 9, for instance, shows two well tests there, and one test, conducted at a rate of 57 barrels of oil per day, had a gas-oil ratio of 230 cubic feet per barrel, Another test, 102 barrels of oil per day, ratio of 484 cubic feet per barrel.

Q In other words, by transferring the allowable from the No. 3 to the No. 2 you don't believe there will be but very little more gas cap gas produced?

A Yes, sir. At the present time we don't think there will be. I'd say practically no gas from the gas cap is produced in Well No. 2.

Q Do you have any bottomhole samples available?

A No, we do not.

Q What kind of pressures are we talking about in this pool?

A The bottomhole, original pressure, is about 1250 pounds per square inch.

MR. UTZ: Any other questions?

## BY MR. NUTTER:

Q Mr. Gray, what is that stippled area on this cross section, outside of it?



CH 3-6691 HONE DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO A That is a member that exists clear across the reservoir. It is just a sandy formation that is present within that dolomite section.

Q It is not productive, I take it, since there are no perforations opposite it?

A The core analyses we have through there show a very low permeability, so we don't think it will probably produce very much oil, although it does show some oil saturation.

Q Your production is actually coming from the dolomite, either above or below the sands, as the case may be?

A That's right.

Q Is this all one common reservoir then; if some of the perforations are above and some are below this impermeable sand?

A Well, I think probably so. The fact that we have a gasoil ratio problem in this Well No. 3, which is perforated below that sand break would indicate that.

Q It is perforated from above and below?

A It is producing from below at the present time because we have a packer set there. I think that indicates it is probably in communication, both below and above that sand break.

Q Can you rule out the possibility of communication behind the pipe?

A Not positively, no, sir.

Q Where is the pipe set in this well; is it down here where this little black triangle is?



CH 3-6691

A That's right.

Q What kind of a packer have you separating ?

A Hook-wall packer. I don't believe we have a bad cement job there, because when we first produced from the upper perforations we had this very gassy condition, and then we ran the tubing back and set the packers between the perforations, and then we produced the well and didn't get but very little fluid out of it. It was practically dry, which indicated, at least at that time, that there was no communication between those two sets of perforations, and then after that we gave it a small acid treatment, and we were able, then, to get oil from those perforations, so I think that would probably mean that we do have a good cement job behind the pipe.

Q I am at a loss to understand how you can have gas coming down through the formation if you have vertical communication, when the upper perforations are in the gas cap, then there is this interval of non-permeable sand, and the lower?

A The sand would have to be fractured.

Q Is the sand fractured as well as the dolomite?

A That would be a guess. There is no way of knowing except through possibly performance.

Q Is there any indication of fractures in the sand on the core graph?

A They don't indicate any fracturing on the core graph. However, that is not definite proof one way or the other, actually.

They do indicate fractures in the dolomite, however, don t



HONE CH 3-6691 DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

Ū

they?

Yes, they show some fracturing through there.

Q By the use of intermitters you have been able to drop the ratio on all wells to substantially below 2,000 to one, with the exception of the one well, No. 3; is that correct?

A Yes, for the present, although we think that is a very temporary situation.

Q This test on the No. 3 well, which shows a ratio of 4300, 10 to 1, was made September 8th, 1960. Has any test been made on this well since that date?

A No, sir.

Q On these three analyses of the gas that have been made, Phillips Petroleum Company, when they made their analysis in July, 1960, apparently thought they were getting gas from the 1, 2 and 3 well?

A If you will note on the bottom part of the sheet there is a statement made that Wells No. 2 and 3 are shut in.

Q While the three wells were hooked in the system, two were shut in and gas did come from the No. 1?

A Yes, sir.

Q Is it your belief, Mr. Gray, that the gas that is in the oil here has a lower nitrogen content, as reflected by the analysis of the gas from the No. 1 well, and that the gas that is in the gas cap has the high mitrogen content?

A Yes, sir. I think that is indicated.



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

PHONE CH 3-6691

Q If you take the allowable from the No. 3 and transfer it to the No. 2, how will the Commission know how much to transfer?

A If the Commission grants the increase in limiting gas-oil ratio to 6,000 cubic feet per barrel I would think that would automatically raise the allowable on Well No. 3 to top allowable, since the gas-oil ratio is below the 6,000 cubic feet per barrel, and that, in that event, that top allowable would be transferred. If the Commission does not change the limiting ratio, then I assume they would transfer the present allowable.

Q How long should the Commission transfer top allowable?

A Well, gas-oil ratio surveys are required, annually on these wells. The operator has to report these gas-oil ratios once a year.

Q Including the shut in well there?

A Well, that would be up to the Commission as to what they would require.

Q If that well weren't being produced it would probably, on an annual gas-oil ratio, remain a relatively high producer for a great number of years, would it not?

A As I say, the character of this reservoir is very vuggy type, high permeabilities, and my thought is that in that type of reservoir shutting the well in is not going to greatly change the conditions that exist throughout the reservoir.

Q That was the next question I was going to come to. Mr. Gray, what about the reserves that surround the No. 3. How will



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

HONE CH 3-6691

they be produced if the well is shut in?

A I think they will be recovered by the present wells due to the high permeabilities.

Q By the No. 4 or No. 2, only No. 2, or what?

A That would be impossible to say.

Q But you don't feel those reserves are going to be left in the ground by shutting the well in?

A No, sir, I do not.

Q Have you given any thought to the possibility of squeezing off those upper perforations in the No. 3 well?

A We have given consideration to it, but we know that that dolomite has very large vugs in it, some as large as your thumb, larger even, and we are a little bit afraid if we try to squeeze that type of material that we could squeeze it off to the point where we might have difficulty in getting anything back.

Q If you could squeeze off that sour gas, you wouldn't want it back anyway, would you, or that nitrogen gas?

A We would, of course, prefer not to have it at the present time, but we would sure like to have the oil.

MR. NUTTER: I believe that is all. Thank you. BY MR. UTZ:

Q How much oil did you say you were getting from the upper perforations?

A I den't have an exact figure on that, but at the time we were producing out of the top perforations it was predominantly gas



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

3-6691

HONE CH

## with only a very small amount of oil.

#### BY MR. NUTTER:

Q What are the ratios of the Pan American wells to the west?

A I am sorry. I don't have any information on their wells. BY MR. PAYNE:

Q What is the percentage of nitrogen, as a rule of thumb, that purchasers use in determining whether they want the gas or not?

A Well, actually the purchasers go more by BTU content, rather than nitrogen, although one is the reflection of the other, and I think in most gas contracts they require a minimum of, say a thousand BTU, from there on up. I think that is about the lowest, and from the analyses shown here, the analyses with the high nitrogen content show BTU content of approximately 800, which is below the usual contract requirements.

Q That is on the one well?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q No. 3 Well?

A I believe that was the one.

Q But the other two would meet the minimum standards?

A The other one was producing with a low gas-oil ratio and it had a low nitrogen content.

Q So we can't completely rule out the possibility that a gas purchaser will come in this area?

A No, sir. We can't rule that out. We can only say at the present time, at least, no one has shown an interest in coming in.



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

PHONE CH 3-6691

We hope that later they will.

### BY MR. NUTTER:

Q Mr. Gray, on your Exhibit No. 9 you show a nitrogen content of 37.58 for the No. 1 well. When was that test made?

A Will you repeat that question?

Q You show a nitrogen content of 37.58 for the gas in the No. 1 well. Was that well producing gas cap gas or what?

A Yes, I think so. You see, that analysis was made July 15th, 1960, and on July 26th we took a test of that well, at which time the gas-oil ratio was 5814 cubic feet per barrel, so I think that the evidence shows that, due to the high ratio and the high nitrogen content that we were taking some gas out of the gas cap at that time.

Q Now, that is not the same test that the nitrogen content was reported? Oh, yes, that is the No. 1 well.

A Yes.

Q And the only test that has ever been made of this No. 3 well is the one that was run on Exhibit 7?

A Yes, sir, that's right.

BY MR. PAYNE:

Q You say at the present time if the ratio is increased and the allowable was transferred from the No. 3 well to the No. 2 well there will be no increase in gas production?

A By transferring the allowable the gas production will be lower because the gas-oil ratio in the Well No. 2 is much lower than



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

3-6691

HONE CH

the ratio on Well No. 3.

Q How long do you think this situation will exist; when will you reach the point, by raising the ratio you also increase the amount of gas being produced and flared?

A I don't understand your question.

Q This situation isn't going to continue forever in this status?

A No, sir.

Q You are going to reach the point where more gas is being produced and flared than is now being produced and flared?

A That's right.

Q Do you have any idea when that point would be reached?

A No, sir, I can't say.

BY MR. UTZ:

Q Mr. Gray, how much gas do you think that you will have to produce from this field before you can get a market?

I don't believe I can answer that question.

Q You haven't discussed that with Phillips?

A Phillips analyzed the gas last July, and they have expressed no interest in purchasing the gas up to this time.

Q Do they have facilities for removing nitrogen at this gas line plant, or is it necessary to remove it?

A I don't know.

BY MR. PAYNE:

Q - Their disinterest might be due to either the volume or the



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

PHONE CH 3-6691

quality of the gas?

A Probably both.

BY MR. NUTTER:

Q Transferring the allowable from the No. 3 well to the No. 2 well would entail drainage of an 80-acre spacing pattern, would it not, if you were going to recover the reserves from the No. 37

A Yes, sir.

Q Do we have any evidence here today this pool can be efficiently drained on 80-acre spacing?

A Yes, sir, I think so.

Q What is that evidence?

A The high permeabilities shown in the core analyses, the type of porosity existing, existing fractures.

Q Porosity doesn't indicate drainage, does it?

A I say permeability.

Q Those vugs wouldn't necessarily contribute to the permeability, either?

A Well, no, except that if that type of material has good connection between vugs usually you do have high permeability existing.

BY MR. PAYNE:

Q I take it, then, this transfer of allowable you are talking about, you contemplate that would be a permanent situation?

A No, sir, not necessarily. I think it may be a temporary situation.



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

CH 3-6691

PHONE

Q When would it be temporary and when would it be permanent; when would you decide maybe you wanted to let the No. 3 well produce allowable again?

A If the gas-oil ratios on these other wells should increase later on, which we think they will, we may reach a time when we would choose to assign the allowable back to the Well No. 3, and let it produce its own allowable at that time.

Q If the GOR's on the other wells producing with intermitters got as high or higher than the No. 3 well?

A Yes, sir.

BY MR. NUTTER:

Q Would you continue to produce the other wells with intermitters if you had a higher ratio limitation?

A I think so, as long as we could keep the gas-oil ratio lower by doing so we would.

MR. UTZ: Any more questions? The witness may be excused. Other statements in this case? Case will be taken under advisement.

(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

PHONE CH 3-6691

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

I, JUNE PAIGE, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

**S** S

IN WIFNESS WHEREOF I have affixed my hand and notarial seal this 1st day of February, 1961.

Notary Aublic

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

CH 3-6691

<u>INDEX</u>					
	<u>WITNESS</u>	PAGE			
	RALPH L. GRAY				
	Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	2			
	QUESTIONS by Mr. Utz	12			
ľ	QUESTIONS by Mr. Nutter	14			
	QUESTIONS by Mr. Utz	19			
	QUESTIONS by Mr. Nutter	20			
	QUESTIONS by Mr. Payne	20			
	QUESTIONS by Mr. Nutter	21			
	QUESTIONS by Mr. Payne	21			
	QUESTIONS by Mr. Utz	22			
	QUESTIONS by Mr. Payne	22			
	QUESTIONS by Mr. Nutter	23			
	QUESTIONS by Mr. Payne	23			
	QUESTIONS by Mr. Nutter	24			
- 1					

# EXHIBITS

NUMBER	<u>BXHIBIT</u>	IDENT IF IED	<u>OFFBRED</u>	ADMITTED
Ex.#1	Мар	3	11	11
Ex.#2	Well Data	3	11	11
Ex.#3	Cross Section	3	11	11
Ex.#4	Core Data	5	11	11
Ex.#5	Gas Analysis, No. 1 Well	5	11	11
Ex.#6	Gas Analysis, No. 1 Well	6	11	11
Ex.#7	Gas Analysis, No. 3 Well	6	11	11
Ex.#8	Мар	6	11	11
Ex.#9	Well Test Data	7	11	11

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the preceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 2164. heard by me on 19 New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Examiner .¥.

