

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico
February 23, 1961

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:)

Application of Continental Oil Company for a 560-)
acre non-standard gas proration unit. Applicant)
in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment)
of a 560-acre non-standard gas proration unit in) CASE
the Eumont Gas Pool consisting of the W/2 of Sec-) 2190
tion 14, the E/2 E/2 of Section 15 and the E/2)
NE/4 of Section 22, Township 20 South, Range 37)
East, Lea County, New Mexico. Said unit is to)
be dedicated to the SEMU Eumont Well No. 66, lo-)
cated 1980 feet from the South line and 660 feet)
from the West line of said Section 14.)

BEFORE:

Elvis A. Utz, Examiner.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

(Marked Applicant's Exhibits
No's. 1 & 2 for identi-
fication.)

MR. UTZ: Case 2190.

MR. PAYNE: Case 2190: Application of Continental Oil
Company for a 560-acre non-standard gas proration unit.

MR. KELLAHIN: The same appearances as in Case 2187 and
that the witness has been sworn.

MR. UTZ: Are there any other appearances in this case?
If there are not, we will proceed.

EDWIN R. ANDERSON,

called as a witness, having been previously duly sworn, testified

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

Q State your name, please?

A Edwin R. Anderson.

Q You are the same Mr. Anderson who testified in Case 2187?

A That's right.

Q Are you familiar with the application in Case 2190?

A Yes sir, I am.

Q Would you state what is proposed in the application?

A It's proposed to expand the present non-standard proportion as assigned to SEMU non-standard 66 to include the East Half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 22, Township 20 South, Range 37 East.

Q Referring to what has been made as Exhibit Number 1, could you discuss that Exhibit?

A That is a ownership plat of the interested area showing the present gas unit assigned to the SEMU Eumont No. 66 Well, and it shows the proposed enlarged unit to be assigned to the SEMU Eumont No. 66 Well. It shows offset gas wells and offset gas units. You will notice the North Northeast of the Fourth East of Section 22, Range 37 East, is not allocated to Eumont gas production at this time. The scene at this East Half of the Northeast Quarter is completely surrounded by Eumont gas wells and can reasonably be assumed to be productive of gas from the Eumont Pool in order to allo-

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

cate this production for Eumont -- this acreage of Eumont's gas production. Continental applied for expansion of a 400-acre non-standard gas proration established by the Commission of R-910 assigned to SEMU Eumont No. 66, formerly the Skaggs B 14 No. 1, to include the East Half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 22.

Q Is all of the acreage that you propose to dedicate within the South Eumont Unit?

A That is correct.

Q Is the gas well shown in Section 15 located within the Southeast Monumental Unit?

A No sir, it isn't.

Q Is there any other well to which this acreage could be dedicated?

A Yes sir, outside of the Northeast Monumental Unit.

Q Would this be call or communication?

A That is correct.

Q Mr. Utz, in the proceeding case, inquired as to the status of the well located in the East Half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 22. You say, was that well tested to the Eumont?

A No sir, it was not.

Q Would you supply the Commission with information of the data of the drilling of that well?

A The future date?

Q Yes sir?

A Yes sir.



Q Is the SEMU Eumont No. 66 capable of producing an excess of Eumont gas allowable for a 560-acre non-standard gas unit?

A Yes sir, if you will refer to Exhibit Number 2, which is a four-point back pressure taken on the SEMU Eumont Well No. 66, this test information shows on the calibrated differntiability as 600 pounds for the Pen. is 2,500 LCL per day. This represents the line pressure in the area. The 1960 daily average Eumont gas allowable for a 560 non-standard gas unit was 816 per day.

Q This well has tubes in it?

A Yes sir, it does.

Q Does it produce any liquids?

A I am not that familiar with the liquid production from this type well, sir.

Q In your opinion, would the granting of this application be in the interest of preventing waste and relative rights?

A Yes sir, it would.

Q Were Exhibits 1 and 2 prepared by you or under your supervision?

A Yes.

MR. KELLAHIN: At this time, I would like to enter Exhibits 1 and 2.

MR. UTZ: Without objection, Exhibits 1 and 2 will be entered into the record.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. UTZ:



Q I am going to ask you the same questions of the oil well in Section 15. Are any of those completed in Eumont?

A I am not familiar with these wells. I will supply you with that information.

Q Will you supply us with the information? If there are no oil wells completed in Sections 14 and 15 in the Eumont gas pool, you need only so state. If they are completed in Eumont, then we would like to know the intervalls and the number of wells, as well as the elevations if you have them handy -- I beg your pardon.

A The analyzing?

MR. PORTER: I assume this applies to both cases?

MR. UTZ: Yes sir. Any other questions of the witness?

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. PAYNE:

Q Mr. Anderson, could you give me the present status of this well as to in-balance of under-produce or over-produce?

A The No. 66?

Q Yes sir?

A According to the February proration schedule, the over was well over 17,847 MCL as of January 1, 1961.

Q Which is a further indication that it will produce an allowable that would be assigned under your proposed unit?

A That is correct.

MR. PAYNE: Thank you.

MR. UTZ: Any other questions? The witness may be ex-



cused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. UTZ: Any other statements in this case? The case will be taken under advisement.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
: ss
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, LA VERNE E. JAMES, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have affixed my hand and notarial seal this 13th day of March, 1961.

LaVerne E. James
Notary Public - Court Reporter

My commission expires:
January 6, 1965.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 2190, heard by me on Feb 23, 19 61.
Frank D. [Signature], Examiner
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

