#### BEFORE THE

# OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico March 15, 1961

IN THE MATTER OF:

Northwestern New Mexico nomenclature case calling for an order for the creation of a pool and the extension of existing pools in San Juan, and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico.

Case 2217

BEFORE: Honorable Edwin L. Mechem

Mr. A. L. Porter, Chairman

Mr. E. S. (Johnny) Walker

Mr. O. E. Payne

## TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. PORTER: The next case on the docket is Case 2217.

MR. PAYNE: Case 2217. Northwestern New Mexico nomenclature case calling for an order for the creation of a pool and the extension of existing pools in San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico.

(Witness sworn.)

## A. R. KENDRICK

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

### BY MR. PAYNE:

Q State your name and position, please.



- A A. R. Kendrick, Engineer for the Oil Conservation Commission.
- Q Mr. Kendrick, in your capacity as Engineer for the Oil Conservation Commission, have you made a study concerning the necessity of creating a new oil pool and extending existing pools in San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico?
  - A I have.
- Q What are your recommendations concerning the creation of a new pool to Dakota production?

Juan County and designated as the Salt Creek-Dakota Oil Pool, on the basis of four wells presently producing; the discovery well being the King Oil Company No. 1-X Navajo "C", located in Township 30 North, Range 17 West, with the initial IP of 192 barrels of oil per day, completed July 21, 1958, with casing shoe at 1,039 feet.

Q Mr. Kendrick, have you a recommendation concerning the abolishment of the Pine Lake-Pictured Cliffs Pool?

A It is recommended that the pool be abolished so that the two pools may be joined into the existing Gavilan-Pictured Cliffs Pool, to have all the wells producing from one common source of supply in one pool.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Gavilan-Pictured Cliffs Pool?



A It is recommended that the pool be extended as shown in Paragraph (c) of Exhibit 1, plus an unadvertized portion being Township 25 North, Range 2 West, Section 9, Southeast Quarter.

This recommendation is based on three wells completed in this pool plus all the wells presently identified as Pine Lake-Pictured Cliffs Pool wells.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Angels Peak-Gallup Oil Pool?

A It is recommended that the oil pool be extended as shown in Paragraph (d) on the basis of one completion.

Q What is your recommendation concerning the extension of the Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool?

A It is recommended that this pool be extended as shown in Paragraph (e) of Exhibit 1 on the basis of two wells producing.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Cha-Gallup Oil Pool?

A It is recommended that the pool be extended as shown in Paragraph (f) of Exhibit 1 on the basis of four wells completed therein.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Horseshoe-Gallup Oil Pool?

A It is recommended that the pool be extended as shown in Paragraph (g) of Exhibit 1 on the basis of one new completion therein.



Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Otero-Gallup Oil Pool?

A It is recommended that pool be extended as shown in Paragraph (h) of Exhibit 1 on the basis of one well completed therein.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Totah-Gallup Oil Pool?

A It is recommended that pool be extended as shown in Paragraph (i) of Exhibit 1 on the basis of three new completions therein.

Q What are your recommendations concerning the extension of the Verde-Gallup Oil Pool?

A It is recommended that pool be extended as shown in Paragraph (j) of Exhibit 1 on the basis of three new completions therein.

Q You have prepared an exhibit either by you or under your supervision that is designated as Exhibit 1, Case 2217?

A I have.

(Whereupon, Commission's Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.)

MR. PAYNE: We move for the introduction of this exhibit.

MR. PORTER: Without any objections it will be admitted into the record. The witness may be excused.

(Witness excused.)



MR. PORTER: We have received a communication from Continental Oil Company relative to Case 2217. Will you read it into the record, please?

MR. PAYNE: "Continental Oil Co. has no objection to Section H of Case Number 2217. However, we would like to appraise the Commission that Continental intends to drill an offset well to its Northeast Haynes Apache 9-1 Well located in Section 9, Township 24 North, Range 5 West. At that time it is our intent to request that the Commission establish special field rules for the Northeast Haynes block including multiple string completions of such wells." Signed by William M. Griffith, Attorney.

MR. PORTER: Anyone else have anything to be offered in this case? The Commission will take Case 2217 under advisement. The hearing is adjourned.

(Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned.)



STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) SS
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO )

I, PAT GOMIA, Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have affixed my hand and notarial seal this 25 day of April, 1961.

NOTARY PUBLIC

My commission expires:

