BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO May 24, 1961

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

CASES 2289 and 2290, Consolidated

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

BEFORE THE

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO May 24, 1961

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

CASE 2289 Application of Aspen Crude Purchasing Company for three non-standard oil proration units, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of the following-described non-standard oil proration units in and adjacent to the Cha Cha-Gallup Oil Pool:

- (1) Lot 1 and the S/2 SE/4 of Section 7, Township 28 North, Range 13 West;
- (2) Lot 5 and the SE/4 SW/4 of said Section 7; and
- (3) Lots 2, 3 and 4 of said Section 7, and : 40.81 acres located between said Section 7 and : Section 36, Township 29 North, Range 14 West; :
- all in San Juan County, New Mexico.

CASE 2290

Consolidated Application of Aspen Crude Purchasing Company for three non-standard oil proration units and for an unorthodox well location, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of the following-described non-standard oil proration units adjacent to the Totah-Gallup Oil Pool in Section 11, Township 28 North, Range 13 West, San Juan County, New Mexico:

- (1) Lots 1 and 2 and the SE/4 SE/4, comprising 97.78 acres, to be dedicated to a well: at a non-standard location 263 feet from the North line and 700 feet from the East line of said Section 11.
 - (2) Lots 3 and 4 and the SW/4 SW/4, com-



		wat to the
		, ,
	en garante de la composition de la com La composition de la	
	and the first of the control of the The control of the control of	
î.		
•		اس د د
	en de la companya de La companya de la co	
	en e	
	en e	¥.
*		
-		
,		

 $\mathcal{A}_{2} = \{ x_{1}, \dots, x_{n} \in \mathcal{A}_{n} \mid x_{n} \in \mathcal{A}_{n} \}$

prising 97.58 acres.

(3) SW/4 SE/4 and the SE/4 SW/4, comprising : 80 acres.

:

BEFORE:

PHONE CH 3-6691

Elvis A. Utz, Examiner.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MR. COOLEY: I move in the interest of time to consolidate Cases No. 2289 and 2290.

MR. MORRIS: In Case 2289 application of Aspen Crude

Purchasing Company for three non-standard oil proration units. In

Case 2290, application of Aspen Crude Purchasing Company for three

non-standard oil proration units and for an unorthodox oil location, San Juan County, New Mexico.

MR. UTZ: For the purposes of testimony, Cases 2289 and 2290 will be consolidated.

MR. COOLEY: W. J. Cooley from Farmington representing the Applicant. We have one witness.

(Witness sworn.)

THOMAS ALBERT MORGAN,

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. COOLEY:

Q State your name.



A PART OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL

 $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right) \right) \right)}{1} \right) \right) \right)} \right) \right) \right) \right) \right) \right) \right) \right) \right) \right)} \right) \right) \right)}$

and the second of the contract of the second of the second

A contract of the contract of

and the second of the second second of the s

The state of the s

and the control of the second of the control of the

 $(\omega_{ij})_{ij} = (\omega_{ij})_{ij} + (\omega_{ij})_{ij$

 $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{i}$, where $\mathbf{x}_{i} = \mathbf{x}_{i}$

* 100

HONE CH 3-6691

A	Thomas	Albert	Morgan.

- Q Where do you reside, Mr. Morgan?
- A Farmington, New Mexico.
- Q By whom are you employed?
- A Aspen Crude Purchasing Company.
- Q Are you also employed by Aspen Drilling Company?
- A That is true.
- Q These two companies are owned by the same interests, are they not?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Would you state your occupation with Aspen Crude?
- A I'm Production Superintendent for Aspen Crude Purchasing Company.
 - Q In connection with this office, what are your duties?
- A I do the geology on our wells, complete the wells and do all the paper work concerning the wells in producing them.
 - Q What is your educational background?
- A I received a B. S. degree in geophysics from the University of Utah in 1957.
- Q Do you have any experience with any other oil company other than Aspen Drilling Company?
- A Yes. Immediately upon graduation, I went to work for Humble Oil and Refining Company in Odessa, Texas. I worked with Humble for three years and resigned my position with them in February, 1960.



 $(\mathbf{v}_{i}, \mathbf{v}_{i}, \mathbf{v$

Samuel Control of the Control of the

1000年度1000年(1985年)。

and the state of the state of the state of

 $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R$

. 5 YY

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}})) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} =$

 $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(i)}$, $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(i)}$

and the second of the second o

 \mathcal{L}_{i} , \mathcal{L}_{i}

en de la composition La composition de la

. ____.

HONE CH 3-6691

- Q What was the purpose of your resignation?
- A To accept an offer with Aspen Drilling Company and Aspen Crude Purchasing Company.
 - Q In your present position?
 - A Not immediately.
- MR. COOLEY: I move the witness's qualifications in this case be acceptable.

MR. UTZ: They are acceptable.

- Q (By Mr. Cooley) Mr. Morgan, do you have a general area map of the area involving the two applications before the Examiner at this time?
 - A I do.
 - Q Would you briefly explain what is shown thereon?
- A It is a reproduction of the official government map and shows two sections in question; Section 7 and Section 11, both of which are in yellow on the upper left and right of the map.
 - Q This map is identified as Exhibit 1 in this case, is it not?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q Have you also prepared a large scale plat showing the acreage in Section 7?
 - A I had a registered engineer prepare a plat showing the acreage in Section 7.
 - Q Explain what is shown thereon.
 - A The area in red shows the proposed unit number 1 as com-



 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y)$. The first $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y)$ is $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y)$. The $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y)$

Signature of the second second

 \mathcal{A}_{ij} , which is the state of \mathcal{A}_{ij} , \mathcal{A}_{ij} , \mathcal{A}_{ij} , \mathcal{A}_{ij} , \mathcal{A}_{ij} , \mathcal{A}_{ij} , \mathcal{A}_{ij}

the second second

• .

the subject of the second of t

and the second of the second o

and the second second

1

the state of the second state of the second state of the second second second second second second second second

the state of the s

gar Martin over the contract of the contract of

en en grande de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya del la companya de la compa



PHONE CH 3-6691

posed of 108.48 acres. Unit Number 2 in green in comprised of 97.48 acres and 3, in yellow is comprised of 87.50 acres.

- Q What physical facts occasioned the necessity for this application?
- A Section 7 is an irregular section due to the correction line in the survey.
- Q Have you discussed the feasibility of forming the proposed unit with any member of the Conservation Corps?
 - A Yes, I have.
 - O With what member?
- A I talked to Mr. Arnold and Mr. Kendrick, and also with the USGS in Farmington and actually they were the ones that came up with the proposed breakdown as it now stands.
- Q You consider this to be the most feasible arrangement for the development of the acreage in the Cha Cha-Gallup Pool?
 - A I definitely do.

MR. COOLEY: Isn't there a letter, Mr. Examiner, from Mr. Al Kendrick or some member of the Aztec Office concerning the acreage in Section 7? I understand there was some correspondence from the Aztec Office in this connection.

MR. UTZ: In regard to 2290.

- Q (By Mr. Cooley) Does Aspen Crude Purchasing Company own all the acreage in Section 7, the lease holding acreage in Section 7?
 - A Yes, we are the operator in allof Section 7.



and the second s

the second control of the second control of

 $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \times \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \times$

- -

 $\mathcal{F}_{i}(\mathcal{F}_{i})$ is the proof of \mathcal{F}_{i} . We have \mathcal{F}_{i} is the first of \mathcal{F}_{i} and \mathcal{F}_{i} is the \mathcal{F}_{i}

The Control of the Co

 $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R$

44.00

and the second of the second o

 $(x,y) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y)$. The first $(x,y) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y)$, $(x,y) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y)$, $(x,y) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y)$

and the second of the second o



PHONE CH 3-6691

- Q Does your company own all of the acreage in the proposed non-standard unit number 2?
- A No. Humble Oil and Refining Company owns 40.81 acres immediately to the north and adjacent to our acreage.
 - Q Is there any legal description of this acreage?
 - A Yes. I do not have it.
 - Q It is by Meets and Bounds?
 - A No, not to my knowledge.

MR. COOLEY: I'd like to present a letter from Humble Oil and Refining Company which indicates they concur in this application. The communitization agreement is being formed between the Applicant and Humble Oil and Refining Company. The letter also indicates that they concur in this application.

MR. COOLEY: Will you mark this as Exhibit 4, please.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit 4 marked for identification.)

- Q (By Mr. Cooley) Mr. Morgan, do you have any opinion as to the productivity of Section 7?
 - A All of 7 is productive.
- Q As far as the Cha-Gallup is concerned, do you have any evidence to support this opinion?
- A Yes. Generally, the Cha Cha field is a bar sand, which is typical of the non-standards in the San Juan Basin. They do not terminate immediately such as a fault does, and Humble Oil and Refining Company has developed acreage to the north and west of us.



The Mark Control (1986) and the state of the first control (1986) and the

The second second of the second grade in the second second second

The state of the s

(x,y) , (x,y)

Company to the Contract of the

and the comment was a second control of

and the state of t

and the second of the second o

Line Control of the Con

The state of the s

and the same of the same and the same of t

والمرازي والمرازي والمرازي والمنازي والمنازي والمنازي والمنازع والمنازي والمنازي والمنازي والمنازية

 $(-\infty)^{-1}$ and $(-\infty)^{-1}$ and $(-\infty)^{-1}$ are $(-\infty)^{-1}$ and $(-\infty)^{-1}$ and $(-\infty)^{-1}$ and $(-\infty)^{-1}$

HONE CH 3-6691

They completed a well in 7-35 of 29-14 -- that's the Navajo Number 12 -- and based on the trend of the field, this well is a pumping well; it is a producer; and based on the trend in the area, it almost assures us of production in all of Section 7.

- Q Do you also have in your possession a plat showing the area in Section 11?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Would you briefly explain the legend thereon?
- A Section 11 of 28-13 is also an irregular section. We have proposed to bring it into three unorthodox units. One is shown in red composed of 97.78 acres. Unit 2, in green, is 97.58 acres; and Unit 3, 80 acres.
- Q Does the plat also show the unorthodox locations requested in Case No. 2290?
 - A It does.
 - Q Where is that location?
- A The unorthodox location is located on Lot 1, Section 11, 263 feet from the North line and 700 feet from the East line.
 - Q What is the necessity for this unorthodox location?
 - A It is extremely rugged terrain.
- Q Do you have any photographic evidence of the terrain in this area?
 - A I do.

MR. COOLEY: I ask that this be marked as Exhibit 5.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit 5



and the second of the second o

 $\varphi(\varphi) = \chi_{\varphi}^{2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varphi(\varphi) = \varphi(\varphi) = \varphi(\varphi)$

, which is the constant A_{a} , A_{a} , A_{b} , A_{b} , A_{b}

en la composition de la

and the second of the second o

 (ω_{ij}) . The state of (ω_{ij}) is the second of (ω_{ij}) is (ω_{ij}) in (ω_{ij}) . (ω_{ij})

i tilliggerig og forskaller og forskaller og forskaller og forskaller og forskaller og forskaller og forskalle Grander



CH 3-6691

marked for identification.)

Q (By Mr. Cooley) Will you please approach the Examiner and explain briefly what is portrayed by the picture.

A If I may.

I have taken pictures of the location showing to the north the Pan American production. This shows the area to the east -- it doesn't point it out too well -- immediate beyond this cedar tree there is a tremendous canyon. You can fall about five hundred feet. This is southeast. It shows the area a little better. This is the northeast, also showing the canyon and the Pan American well which is under completion right now. This is the west and south. The canyon runs from northeast to southwest.

- Q What is the approximate depth of the canyon, please?
- A I estimated about 500 feet.
- Q I believe it is in connection with this unorthodox location that the Commission has a letter from its representative, Mr. Al Kendrick, who has been taken to the proposed location and has seen the physical features there involved.

MR. UTZ: Yes, it has.

MR. COOLEY: I ask that it be marked Exhibit Number 6.

MR. UTZ: It is in reference to the unorthodox location only?

MR. COOLEY: Yes. I believe the Commission has a letter from Aztec Oil Gas Company which is the diagonal offset operator to the north of our unorthodox location. This letter is a waiver



the contraction of the contracti

The state of the s Ξ_{i} , Ξ_{i} the strength of the state of the strength of t

The first of the control of the cont



PHONE CH 3-6691

of any objection on the part of Aztec Oil and Gas Company. I ask that the letter be identified as Exhibit Number 7.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits 6 and 7 marked for identification.)

MR. COOLEY: I have here a telegram from Pan American

Petroleum Corporation. This is the district offset operator to the north, addressed to the Oil Conservation Commission, also waiving any objection to our unorthodox location here requested and I ask that that be identified as Exhibit Number 8.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit 8 marked for identification.)

(Discussion off the record.)

(Back on the record.)

MR. COOLEY: We have no further testimony to present and move the Commission that Exhibits 1 through 8 be admitted in evidence.

MR. UTZ: Without objection, Exhibits 1 through 8 will be entered into the record of this case.

MR. UTZ:

- Q Mr. Morgan, with regard to Case No. 2289, all of those units are substantially above standard 80-acre units, are they not?
 - A Yes, sir. They're all over 80 acres.
- Q As a matter of fact, the 108 acres is something like thirtyfive and a half per cent over; the 97 acres is something like twentyone per cent over; and the 87 acres is two per cent over. Is there
 any way you can split this up so that you have nearer the standard



 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt$

en e de de la gradie de la grad

at making manager

Andrew State of Section 1985 and the section of the A HER CONTROL OF THE STATE OF T

 $\mathcal{F}_{i} = \mathcal{F}_{i} + \mathcal{F}_{i}$



PHONE CH 3-6691

units?

- A To my knowledge, not without breaking down the various lots intersections.
- Q What is the status of the triangle that appears to be the unsurveyed area which lies between Section 7 and Section 36 to the north?
- MR. COOLEY: To the best of my memory, I believe that it surveyed and is described by Meets and Bounds. It is not within any one section, but is under lease to Humble Oil and Refining Company.
 - MR. UTZ: It's not shown on any survey tracts.
- MR. BRATTON: Howard Bratton, on behalf of Humble Oil and Refining Company. That is a canyon which Humble came upon and surveyed and obtained an oil-gas lease from the Bureau of Land Management. That is the status of that.
- MR. COOLEY: It is under lease from the federal government under Meets and Bounds, is it not?
- MR. BRATTON: It is a canyon between the Navajo boundary and the beginning of the public land surveyed to the south of it.
- MR. UTZ: Thank you. If you were to extend the division line between Lot 5 and the forty acre tracts, which would be the southwest of the northwest, extend these lines directly north, would it not be possible to form four units rather than three, which would be closer to a standard unit, splitting it at right angles the way we have just been speaking of, into four parts?



And the second of the second o

and the second of the second o

the state of the s

A second of the s

 $\mathbb{E}^{(k+1)} = \mathbb{E}^{(k+1)} = \mathbb{E}$ - Participation (Participation of August 1997) - August 1997 - August

the state of the s

The first that we have a second of the secon

 $\mathcal{L}_{i} = \{ (i,j) \in \mathcal{L}_{i} : i \in \mathcal{L}_{i} : i$

the state of the second se and the second section of the second section of the second section is a second section of the second section of

and the second of the second o

HONE CH 3-6691

MR. BRATTON: I don't have it figured yet.

MR. UTZ: I did a little calculating on it and the figures
I come up with would be 8296, 6986, 6986, and 6984. All of these
units would be less than twelve per cent of a standard unit.

- A If I may make a statement, according to my knowledge, to the best of my knowledge on engineering studies made on the Cha Cha field, I believe the most desirable feasible spacing would be approximately 110 acres; and I guess this is economic, but considering that, we would be better with three units than four.
 - Q (By Mr. Utz) You refer to testimony in the spacing records?
- A That is information from an engineering report that was made on the Cha Cha field.
- Q The spacing order for the Cha Cha field now is 80 acres, is it not?
 - A Right.
- Q What objection would you have to having units nearer 80 acres than the ones you propose?
- A Well, drainage-wise, I think we'd be better off like we are, I'd have to look into this thing a little bit more.

MR. UTZ: Thank you.

MR. MORRIS:

Q Mr. Morgan, do you at present have any plans developed far enough to be able to state where your wells will be located in each of these units that you have proposed?

A Yes.



. .

and the state of t

and the Mark Control of State of the Control of the



HONE CH 3-6691

- Q Could you give me those, please?
- A We have producing wells in the southeast, one quarter of the south one half of Section 7, which is shown on the plats.

MR. COOLEY: Exhibit 2. The second location has been staked as Lot 2. Depending on the development and so on, the third location will probably be in the northeast one quarter of the southwest one half of Section 7.

- Q You don't have the southwest half?
- A Yes.
- Q Mr. Morgan, from those descriptions that you have given to me, would those three wells adequately drain the three proration units that you are proposing on Exhibit 2?
- A To the best of my knowledge, referring again to this engineering report, it would.
- Q Would you return to your other exhibit and give me the location which you are proposing with reference to those units?
- A The first location is a stake in Lot 1, the second location would either fall in the 80 acre unit -- that would be in the east part of the 40 acre tract.
 - O The east 40?
- A Yes; and depending on the results of these, the next well would probably be located in Lot 3. This will, of course, depend on the results of the proposed well in Lot 1.
- Q These three wells that you have mentioned, the location of those three wells would serve to adequately drain the three pro-



and Alleger of the second of the entire of the LLC and the LLC and

and the second of the second o

and the second of the second o

The state of the s

in the company of the control of the

Alexander Commence

and the second of the second o

the second control with the second control of the second control o

and the second of the latter of the first of the second of



PHONE CH 3.6691

ration units that you have proposed on Exhibit 3?

A Yes. They should adequately drain.

MR. MORRIS: Mr. Morgan, on your application in Case 2290, you refer to a Dakota test which would be staked at a later date immediately adjacent to the unorthodox location that you have requested. I might state for the record that since you did not have a compact location available, this was not advertised and it will not be possible to grant you authority at the present time for that location.

I have no further questions.

MR. COOLEY: No further evidence.

MR. UTZ: Are there any other questions of the witness?

The witness may be excused at this time. Are there any other statements in this case?

MR. BRATTON: On behalf of Humble, as previously pointed out in Case 2289, Humble owns the 40.81 acres in the proposed unit number 3 as designated in the application and as stated in our letter, we are agreeable to the formation of the unit. We have a case coming on this afternoon where we propose to take interference tests in the lease immediately to the north which we believe will confirm our conclusions that one well in this area will drain in excess of eighty acres. We believe, in view of the unusual acreage situation and the royalty situation in this area, that it would be advisable to approve these units as proposed in Case No. 2289.

MR. UTZ: Are there any other statements?



Total Communication of the Communication of Association (Communication) of the Communication of the Communication

 \mathcal{L}_{i} , \mathcal{L}_{i}

 $\label{eq:local_problem} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L})(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L})(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L})(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L})(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L})(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L})(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L})(\mathcal{L})(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L})(\mathcal{L}$



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

LBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

The case will be taken under advisement.

We will recess until 1:30 P. M.

(Noon recess taken.)



STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, THOMAS F. HORNE, Court Reporter, in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me in machine shorthand and reduced to typewritten transcript under my personal supervision, and that the same is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

WITNESS my Hand and Seal this, the 12th day of June 1961, in the City of Albuquerque, County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico.

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission expires:

May 4, 1965

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 22824229

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

heard by me on ...



(a) The Computer State of the Computer St

- · ·

The second secon

