

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico
October 4, 1961

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Nash, Windfohr & Brown
for the establishment of a limiting
gas-oil ratio in the Jackson-Abo Pool,
Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant,
in the above-styled cause, seeks the
establishment of a limiting gas-oil ratio
of 4000: 1 in the Jackson-Abo Pool in
Township 17 South, Range 30 East, Eddy
County, New Mexico.

CASE NO.
2395

BEFORE: Elvis A. Utz, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

EXAMINER UTZ: We will call Case No. 2395.

MR. MORRIS: Application of Nash, Windfohr & Brown
for the establishment of a limiting gas-oil ratio in the Jackson-
Abo Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

MR. WATSON: Neil B. Watson, Artesia, New Mexico,
representing Nash, Windfohr & Brown. I have as witnesses Mr. V.
T. Sheldon and Mr. R. F. Windfohr.

(Witnesses sworn.)

EXAMINER UTZ: Are there other appearances to be made
in this case?

Let the record show there are none.

You may proceed, Mr. Watson.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



V. T. SHELDON,

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WATSON:

Q Mr. Sheldon, you live at Artesia and you have testified here before the Commission on previous hearings as an expert, is that correct?

A That is correct.

MR. WATSON: His qualifications have been established here before.

EXAMINER UTZ: Yes. You may proceed.

Q (By Mr. Watson) Mr. Sheldon, were you employed by Nash, Windfohr & Brown as a consultant with reference to the drilling of the Nash, Windfohr & Brown Jackson 22B Well in the Northeast of the Southwest of Section 24 in the Jackson 23B Well in the Northwest Southeast Quarter of Section 24, Township 17 South, Range 30 East?

A Yes, I was.

Q And were you familiar with the drilling operations and examined the log samples, etc., during all the time those wells were being drilled?

A Yes, I did.

Q Based upon the information that you obtained in that connection, have you prepared a north-south cross-section with

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691



reference to those two wells?

A Yes, I have.

MR. WATSON: I'd like to have this marked, please, as Exhibit 1.

(Applicant's Exhibit 1 marked for identification.)

MR. WATSON: We have no other copy at this time. I would like for Mr. Sheldon to testify from this and then we will introduce it in evidence.

EXAMINER UTZ: What does it show?

THE WITNESS: I don't need it in front of me.

Q (By Mr. Watson) Mr. Sheldon, would you please tell us what Applicant's Exhibit 1 shows, the north-south cross-section there?

A Exhibit 1 was prepared in two parts. The first part is a north-south cross-section which shows the south cross-section approximately a mile long showing the entire Abo-Wolfkamp Reef setup through there. It was designed mainly to show that the hydrocarbons are trapped in a very small portion of the total reef structure.

There is only some one hundred feet of the uppermost closure filled with hydrocarbons. The band of possible production is very narrow. Certainly it doesn't appear to be over a quarter of a mile wide right through this Jackson-Abo Pool. Of course, it has a habit of widening out in various spots, but

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691



through here it seems to be only a quarter of a mile wide. It is quite tricky.

Then, the blown-up detail over to the side shows the cross-section as it directly relates to the Nash, Windfohr & Brown Jackson B22 and 23. The first well, the 22 well, as we put in the testimony here at a previous hearing, was what we considered to be slightly back reef. The porosity was encountered at quite a distance below the top of the Abo Formation. There were green and gray shales present in this barren section above the porosity which we ordinarily construe to mean back reef or at least slightly back of the crest of the structure.

Then, by moving to the unorthodox location at which 23 was drilled, we fell over the top of the reef and drilled what is obviously a basin wood. I mean, it's south of the reef crest, but the porosity was encountered above water, and the well was made.

The cross-section further shows that the crest of the structure is probably between the two wells, but a very short distance, geographically, behind the number 23 well. I believe that is my answer to your question.

Q All right, sir.

MR. WATSON: We will offer this Exhibit in evidence at this time.

EXAMINER UTZ: Without objection, Exhibit 1 will be entered into the record of this case.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691



(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit
1 entered in evidence.)

MR. WATSON: We have no further questions from this witness.

EXAMINER UTZ: Are there questions of Mr. Sheldon?

MR. NUTTER: Will your other witness go into the details of the completion of the well?

MR. WATSON: Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: That's all.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. MORRIS:

Q Mr. Sheldon, do you have the latest GOR figures on the well?

A Mr. Windfohr will testify to that.

MR. MORRIS: I have no questions; thank you.

EXAMINATION

BY EXAMINER UTZ:

Q Mr. Sheldon, the only portion of this reef that you show on this cross-section that is productive -- the two wells shown over here, are they both productive?

A Yes, but those two cross-sections are on different scales. You see, the main cross-section shows the yellow hydrocarbon reservoir and then, the other thing is the blown-up cross-section, and the two wells shown are productive.

Q The wells on the small scale, B58 and B77, they're

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691



both productive?

A No, not in the Abo. They're water wells in the reef. The color blue is water.

Q So actually, the only production that you show on this map is the part that is on the two wells, 22 and 23?

A Yes. There are a few other wells, especially down in the -- well, there are only two wells in this field, but in the Cedar Lake-Abo Pool, which is a related field, there are some seven or eight Windfohr wells.

MR. NUTTER: You say that one of the wells was drilled on the front side of the reef and the other was slightly back-reef. Is there any correlation between this location of the well, as far as the reef is concerned, and the GOR of the two wells?

A Any significance to the fact --

MR. WINDFOHR: Could I get into that a little later?

MR. NUTTER: All right.

MR. WINDFOHR: I was on the derrick floor when the pay was being drilled, and when the wells were completed.

THE WITNESS: I might add that ratios do not appear to be following a logical pattern that one might try to correlate with the structure. In other words, if you are trying to make a ratio down structure, which is the normal thing, it does not seem to be following that pattern.

Q (By Mr. Nutter) There is no geological evidence on the structure which would correlate with the GORs of the two

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691

wells?

A That is right.

MR. NUTTER: Thank you.

EXAMINER UTZ: Are there any other questions of the witness?

If not, the witness may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

R. F. WINDFOHR,

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WATSON:

Q Mr. Windfohr, would you state your name?

A R. F. Windfohr, Nash, Windfohr & Brown. Our office is located in Fort Worth.

Q Are you the managing partner for Nash, Windfohr & Brown?

A Yes.

Q How many years have you been engaged in the oil business?

A Nearly forty.

Q About how many wells have you drilled?

A Maybe a thousand.

Q How many wells do you have there in Eddy County?

A 63.



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691

Q The two wells in question are the only wells in this area which are producing from the Abo Formation?

A Right.

Q Were you present when these wells were drilled?

A Yes, sir.

Q You were actually there?

A Yes.

Q By order of the Commission in Case No. R2044, the south half of Section 24 has been designated as the Jackson-Abo Pool. Who owns the oil-gas lease interests in the Jackson-Abo Pool which covers the south half of Section 24?

A We do, not only the south half, but we own the north 240 of the east half of Section 23 immediately adjoining Section 24 on the west. We have about 840 acres in the Abo trend, so-called, but at this point there are only two wells in the field.

Q Will you state, please, the information obtained in drilling these two wells as to the formations and the completions and the amount of gas-oil encountered?

A In order to relate 23B to 22, I would like to go into 22 first. 22 is a normal GOR well, with a thin section with no free gas, and the well has behaved very well. It produces 85 barrels a day, slightly lower than its allowable on a 10/64ths choke, with a GOR of about 1350. The top pressure was from 525 to 575, makes no water, and has never had a gas problem. The top pressure has stood for the last three months, has not changed at



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691

all. Well No. 23B is 1500 feet away and some 500 feet southwest through 22B. A look at the electric log and the samples would suggest that 23B is in another county instead of only 1500 feet away. The electric log on 22B shows shale breaks all through the porous section of the reef; 23B is clean of shale. 23B was run 200 feet low to 22B on top of the Abo Formation itself. It ran some 30-odd feet low on top of the porosity of the reef. Notwithstanding that it's a much lower GOR well. Drill stem test No. 2 on the well showed 17 barrels and a GOR of 10,000 to 1. The next drill stem test showed 22 barrels an hour and about a barrel of water in the drill pipe. We cased the well, putting the float collar which is at the top of the shoe joint opposite the oil-water contact. We still do not know whether there is a water drive in this quarter. There is a very effective one about two miles east of us in the Sinclair Pool. We were very careful in cementing the well to make sure that we got not only a shut-off of water but a shut-off of gas. Notwithstanding, 23B is considerably lower than 22B. The well tried to blow out at 6948, indicating that we had a bunch of gas at that point. We had not drill stem tested that section. The blow-out came about in this fashion: We drilled the well with an oil base mud and unconsciously allowed that mud to get a little thin of bayroid. Then we ran an Eastman survey on the well. In order to do that, you make a trip out of the hole, which is three hours, you make a very slow trip in and out of the hole with the Eastman tool, which is seven



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691

hours, and you have, say, three hours getting back to 7,000 feet with the bit, and for some 12 or 13 hours we did not circulate the hole. When we began circulating we began to get gas at the top of the hole, which we thought was trip gas.

Actually, at one point, when we shut the blow-out preventer, the well was making more fluid than we were able to pull with the pump. So, before running pipe, cement, we circulated for 6 hours with 10.2 pounds mud. We had the well absolutely quiet and got a shut-off of the well and the gas. We perforated the well at 6970 to 84 so that the top of those perforations was lower than the bottom of the perforations in the normal GOR offset by some 20 feet.

Notwithstanding that, the conditions showed a GOR of around 7,000 to 1. I have a chart on the GOR taken on June 6.

EXAMINER UTZ: That was 7,000 to 1?

THE WITNESS: Roughly. This was the first GOR test that we took. We kept a record of it, and it shows 6811 to 1, with a tubing pressure of 1100 pounds on the 12/64ths choke.

Contrary to the performance in the Jackson 22B, this well began to be bad news. We got a fast decline in volume of both gas and oil, and also a fast decline in top hole pressure. Our next GOR was taken on August 22nd, just about the date of this application -- a few days before the date of this application -- and the GOR had dropped to 4212 with a tubing pressure of 475 on the same size choke. It was based on that GOR that we



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691

applied for 4,000 to 1. We have just completed, and I have filed with the Commission at Artesia, a GOR on this same well of 2261 to 1. The tubing pressure now dropped to 225 to 250 pounds. Production is 60 1/2 barrels, and the choke is now 14/64ths. That seems to be today's rate of production, the ideal at which to produce this well. We can lower the size of the choke and increase the GOR to 5 or 6,000 to 1. If we open the choke, the well doesn't want to flow. This well has produced less than 5,000 barrels oil, and I have no doubt that within the next few weeks, certainly not more than the next few months, unless the well steadies in pressure -- and I don't think it will -- we will have to re-work it. I can't tell you why a front reef well, lower in the sub-surface than the back reef well, should have a much higher GOR than the back reef well; I don't know. I do know that if we have to re-work the well we will get another high GOR. We have no control over where the acid goes since the pressure is equal and opposite in all directions. It can go any place, and in this instance it did not go down. We have perforated this well as low as we dare perforate. We are in the bottom of our perforations, within 13 feet of known water, drill stem test water. There can't be any question where that water came from. We drilled it with oil base mud which contained no water. No water was ever on that pay at any time when test No. 3 was taken. All we can do, if we have to re-work it, is to re-acidize the present perforations.



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691

Now, the tragedies of thin sections in the Abo Reef wells is the overuse of acid. That's how Sinclair got into trouble in the Abo, and their average production per well was only about 30 barrels. They taught us a good thing. They started with slots of 750 to 1500 gallons. When you're trying to skim oil off water, you have to have your tubing pretty empty by the time that acid gets out of the tube or it's gone and you have no control. We almost babied this thing. I used a hundred gallon the first time, and then a hundred and fifty gallons without getting a breakdown, and finally got a break with two hundred and fifty gallons. If we re-acidize it, we will again use two hundred and fifty gallons. Apparently that first acid went upward, and it didn't go laterally. On our own drill stem test we didn't get anything but a normal GOR in this well. It didn't get up in the big gas. It was there when the drill stem test was taken, and there was some 4,000,000 feet on a three-quarter inch bottom hole choke.

We badly need this 4,000 to 1 -- not at the moment, although two weeks ago we did -- but I'm sure we may need six or seven. I don't want to produce that much gas. I am perfectly content with a 4,000-foot to 1 ratio. We have produced 5,000,000 barrels oil out of the San Andres on the north part of this lease, and we have produced --

With regard to the ultimate recovery, I would like to do the same here. These two wells, or the first one which was



the only one run in at that time, was grouped with the Cedar Lake Field to the east, and since that field is just below 7,000, we were given an 82-barrel allowable. The Commission at Artesia asked us not to include the Cedar Lake Field, to put in six or seven thousand foot group, undesignated at that time, so that our allowable would be 20 barrels less. We are not trying to make a fast buck off the lease. We would like to produce it in the only fashion we think it can be produced. We don't want an uneconomic operation out of a hundred-thousand-dollar well. I think we can live with 4,000.

I have plotted logs here, showing formations, those being local logs, and show the perforations; and just an eyeball glance will prove to you that my statement is correct, that our perforations in the high GOR well are considerably lower than in the sub-surface well.

Would you like me to bring this up to you?

EXAMINER UTZ: Yes.

A (Continuing) Sea level on one of these wells is 3702, and on the other one, 3676. I added 3,000 feet to each elevation to give us a sea level equivalent in the zone that we are looking at. This is 22 and this is 23; this is sea level. You will notice that this well ran on top of the Abo Formation there at 1500 feet apart. This is porosity in the reef, and it is some 30 feet lower here -- 6918, 26 feet lower. Now, here are the perforations in this well at 6970, 84; and in this well, 6954

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325.1182

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243.6691



to 80, but 80 in that well transposes to about 40 in this well, so that you can see that the top of the perforations in the high GOR well is exactly 10 feet below the bottom.

We tested this well at 6920. The records submitted in Artesia will show 6820 to 74, right there. That's where we got the big GOR well. This is a normal GOR from 74 to 97. That's water; one barrel. We perforated right on the water. There is no reason that I can give you why we wound up with a high GOR. The acid must have gone up the hole somewhere. It certainly didn't get into this big gas, which is right in here. It wouldn't have had to decline.

EXAMINER UTZ: Was there a good cement job?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. We not only circulated the well, loaded the hole with 10.2-pound mud, but we were very, very careful on no account to have the well completely quiet. Notice the good, clean section in the reef here, those shale breaks in the reef. I perforated in a real swell spot, into this section here. That well made 40 barrels an hour on two drill stem tests, 17 to 22, and on the second, 2600 feet of oil in the pipe for a total of 40 barrels an hour, and I'm having a hard time to get 60 barrels on a decent GOR, and then I have a declining pressure, so I have got to re-work it. I don't want to come up here and bother you every twenty minutes. I don't think I can miss it. That seems to be the characteristic of the well. The big gas, 4,000,000, by the way, is below the known oil pay in this well.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691

We had oil here. This is where it was perforated. There was no gas in this hole at all. The samples are nice and brown, highly fluorescent, and there is no evidence of gas at all, and everything about the hole is gas.

Q What has been the approximate cost of these two wells?

A About \$100,000 each.

Q You feel that you're going to have to do some re-working operations on this 23-B?

A In all likelihood, if the well will just steady, even at a hundred pounds flowing pressure, we will be home free, but we have a fast rate of decline in that floor pressure.

Q Are you selling the gas from the well?

A It's all going to Phillips Petroleum Company.

Q Are there any other operators in this Jackson-Abo Field?

A No, sir.

Q Will there be any likelihood that any other operators will be in there?

A No. The Commission already determined that point, but to cover it briefly, the reef has been drilled at almost every location, north and south, and some to the west of us. There is not a Chinaman's chance that these two wells of ours will ever tie into the Ashton-Fair wells, which are three miles west of us. The Chambers-Kennedy well was on the crest of the reef and got porosity right at the oil-water contact. East of us there is a



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691

channel that may be two or three hundred feet deep, but we are absolutely not going to tie into anything west of us. There are no remaining locations to be drilled to the east of us. I think, though, there is a chance that we'll tie into the Sinclair production.

If you disregard front and back reef and remember that this 23-B which ran 200 feet lower on the top of the Abo and some 30 feet on top of the porosity and is substantially straight east of 22-B, you will see there has got to be a ditch some place between us and Sinclair's Cedar Lake.

Q The logical conclusion, then, is that no other operators can be adversely affected by granting the exception to the GOR rule?

A That's correct.

Q Do you have anything further you want to add at this time?

A No, but I'll be glad to.

EXAMINER UTZ: Are there any questions of Mr. Windfohr?

EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

Q Mr. Windfohr, you detailed the trend of the GOR on the No. 23 Well and stated there has not been any trend on the GOR on the 22-B?

A No, sir. On the sample log of 22-B there has never been a GOR problem. The GOR has always been what I would call



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691

fair, from thirteen to fifteen hundred to one. I hope there's not a water drive. There was a top hole pressure which declined to 525 to 545 in a week and then leveled off, and the production hasn't varied a barrel in four months. It will run from 80 to 88.

Q No. 22 was the second completed?

A Yes.

Q How much production do you have out of it?

A Something less than 5,000 barrels.

Q How much production has come out of the No. 23?

A To October 1st it produced something less than 17,000 barrels.

Q Flooring pressure has been relatively constant on the 22?

A Yes, sir. It looks like a real oil well.

Q And the other one declined to less than a hundred to 225?

A Yes.

Q Have you taken any bottom hole pressure?

A No. There was no fluid remaining in the hole floor. It was relatively steady.

Q The Abo Reef is permeable, is it not?

A Yes, sir. And that brings up a real interesting point. The Abo Reef is highly fractured and highly fugular. Unfortunately, when we cement through the pay you fill all of these fractures and fugs with cement, and after it passes the fluid in the pipe,



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691

you can't get beyond the cement. If you did you'd have a natural producer. It's a real problem to skim the oil off the top, since you have no control over acid.

Q No bottom hole pressure has been taken? You don't know what the relative buildup is?

A In the one well we have a high flooring pressure, and the other one is low. Even the highest well in the sub-surface structure has a normal GOR, and the lowest has a high GOR. I don't think the position on the reef has any bearing on it. I don't think the present state of knowledge out there of any of us can make a guess. As soon as I get a little better pay outlook and a little better record of performance on 23-B, I propose to drill another well. I'm darn sure going to put that off until I get 22-B on its feet and a decent GOR.

Q Is there any free gas present in the upper part of the reef in this area?

A I don't know. All I know about the area is what happened in these two wells. We have oil with no gas in the first well as high as 6830, which transposes to 100, over 100 feet above the free gas in the second well, 23-B.

Q The drill stem test on the low-ratio well did encounter a rather high ratio?

A No. The drill stem test on 22-B was normal. There was oil a hundred feet up the hole from where we perforated. I don't know -- I can't tell you why the high well has a low GOR



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691

and the low well a high one; I don't know.

Q On the first drill stem test on No. 23 it made 17 barrels, 4,000,000 cubic feet? There was some drilling fluid and higher than the other two?

A Yes. The first one was down to 68, 6920. There was nothing in there. Then we took a drill stem test from 6920 to 6974 and received 17 barrels of oil an hour and just a little more than 4,000,000 feet of gas per day.

Q The third test, 22 barrels oil, 1 barrel water. Where was that?

A In the bottom of the drill stem test.

Q How much gas?

A 7734 cubic feet. It wasn't too bad because, you see, 22 barrels an hour is approximately 500 barrels a day with a GOR of 1500. That's where we perforated.

Q Have you calculated the ratio in the lower drill stem test?

A Yes.

Q What was the interval?

A The third one?

Q Yes.

A 6970 to 6998.

Q That was the actual perforated interval, 6970 to 84?

A That's right, so you can see we took the 4 feet of bottom, the bottom four feet and went down 77 feet into No. 3. We



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691

had no right to expect anything but a normal GOR.

Q Is No. 23 making any water at the present time?

A I think not. Let me amplify that. We have been able to catch it in the separator, never in the tank, with a quart and occasionally as much as a gallon of water a day. I had a hard time to figure out where that water was coming from. But usually, if we had a water drive we wouldn't have a decline in pressure. It seems that while there was no water on that day when we drilled it, when we went to acidize we broke the whole darn casing on the packer, and we were making a little oil, so we had to kill the well with water, and there is water back of that tubing. Now, there is a thousand pounds of top hole pressure on that water back on top of the packer, and there is water in the annulus between the tubing and casing. As I told my boy yesterday, the superintendent out there, to let that pressure bleed, to bleed that pressure down to about 300 pounds.

Q That's water that's draining out of the annulus?

A Around a fault in a packer. There is nothing that can be done about analyzing that water. It is highly sulphur. It's sulphur water. It might have been spring water when it went in there, but it came out sulphur water. I think we'll be through with it in a few days.

Q What is the pipe setting on No. 23?

A 7,028.

Q How much cement was used on that string of pipe?



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691

A Oh, too damned much. 700 sacks behind the 8 5/8, and 200 -- then we had to set 7-inch. We lost the two joints of surface pipe. We got it straight in the hole and had to run 7-inch through it. Also, there were 275 sacks back of the 4 1/2, and that filled us to the bottom of the cellar. Both strings are cemented through the bottom of the cellar.

Q So, you actually got circulation?

A We ran a DV tool and got a sample of 3200. Below the sand we ran a DV test in order to be sure we had that cemented.

Q Has any log been run on this one?

A No. I have never run one in my life. I don't know what it is.

MR. NUTTER: I believe that's all. Thank you.

A (Continuing) We did, however, for the cement that would be required not only to fill the shoe joint. We didn't drill the collar. We used latex on the cement that would be required to fill the shoe joint and to cover all of the Abo formation. That gives a much better setup, and the cement will not fracture with perforating. We went into the string in order to be sure of the cement job on this hole, of circulating, weighting our mud to 10.2, circulating it for 6 hours before we ever started the pipe in the hole, and then using base cement, we could put it in the hole.

MR. NUTTER: Is it your opinion, Mr. Windfohr, that there is communication between those lower perforations and the



free gas here in the structure, that this communication is in the reservoir itself rather than in the hole or throughout the cement?

THE WITNESS: Not through the cement. In my judgment, I can't tell you what happened two and a half miles underground. It could be through the reservoir, but we can't be tied into that free gas. We wouldn't have lost the body. We may have tied into an isolated pocket of gas. I can't tell you, but it can't be into that big gas because, as I see it, if we were tied into that we would still have a 10,000 to 1 GOR.

MR. NUTTER: Is that 'round the place where you almost had the blow-out?

THE WITNESS: That's right. We found it only because we were out of the hole for twelve or fourteen hours, and it began to thin down our oil-base mud. I think that the free gas in this hole comes, according to my interpretation of the electric log, perhaps as high as 6930.

MR. NUTTER: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Maybe 25 to 35.

EXAMINER UTZ: Are there any other questions of the witness?

RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WATSON:

Q Mr. Windfohr, in this 23-B well, do you think you have a faulty cement job?

A No. I hope I have covered that. I think it's a million



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691

to one.

Q Why do you conclude that you do not have a faulty cement job there?

A Well, for God's sake --

Q What evidence, facts, do you rely on to base that opinion that you do not have a faulty cement job?

A We weighted the mud to 10.2 and circulated for six hours. We did everything we could to keep the well completely quiet when we cemented it. We used the best cement we could find. We loaded the latex in the portion of the cement that's required to fill the shoe joint and all of the Abo Formation, not only this pay, but the entire formation. If we had done a bad cement job when we perforated, we would have had gas. We had nothing when we perforated. We went through the cement block. We had a barrel or two of oil and 50,000 of gas. That's what happened on 22-B. You can't get through the cement block with perforations if we had a bad cement job. It still took 5100 pounds to break it down with acid. The acid, itself, hasn't gone into the big gas, or we would decrease in volume. Maybe when I re-acidize it, I won't have a high GOR, but I hope you'll grant our application. This well has been more trouble to us than the other 62 on the lease. We had to come to you to get an unorthodox location. Now, we are back asking for a GOR of 4,000 to 1. We have got to re-work this well. Two weeks ago we badly needed it.

EXAMINER UTZ: The back gas that you spoke of, you feel



a gas cap is on the reservoir?

A I am not on the reservoir. We didn't have it in the other well. It may be on this side of the reef. I can't tell you why it should be, but there is definitely a gas cap in this well. We drilled 54 feet of hole in 6920 to 74, and with it we got 4,000,000 feet of gas, and we got 17 barrels. I think the gas is free gas on top of this oil pay. I don't believe it's true of the reservoir, no, sir.

EXAMINER UTZ: Do you have any plans for re-working the well?

THE WITNESS: None. If the well stops flowing, then I have got to go to work. So long as the well is making its allowable, we will leave it alone. I can't believe that it will be long unless something happens to the well.

EXAMINER UTZ: You actually have no need for more than 2,000 to 1?

A We are receiving a slight penalty now, something over 10%. We need this application very badly. I suspect we will need it in the coming weeks, certainly not more than a couple of months. I can't make it level off at 150. It might do it, but it doesn't make sense to me. In producing only 5,000 barrels of oil, the GOR drops from 7,000, and pressure drops 1100 to 200.

EXAMINER UTZ: Are there any other questions of the witness?

The witness may be excused.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691



(Witness excused.)

EXAMINER UTZ: Are there any other statements to be made in this case?

The case will be taken under advisement.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss
COUNTY OF SAN JUAN)

I, THOMAS F. HORNE, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires:

10-2-65

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 2395,
heard by me on 10-4, 1967.
[Signature] Examiner
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

FARMINGTON, N. M.
PHONE 325-1182

ALBUQUERQUE, N. M.
PHONE 243-6691

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Examiner Hearing - Elvis A. UtzSanta Fe, NEW MEXICOREGISTERHEARING DATE October 4, 1961 TIME: 9 A.M.

NAME:	REPRESENTING:	LOCATION:
Neil B. Watson atty	Nash, Windfobler + Brown	Albuquerque, N.M.
R.F. Windfobler	Nash, Windfobler + Brown	Ft Worth Texas
Files & Hines		Albuquerque
Reid Link	Reading & Bates	Tulsa, Okla
D.L. Potter	Reading & Bates Inc	Tulsa, Okla.
P.W. Huber	Shell Oil Co	Roswell, N.M.
H. Butler	T/O + H	"
O. Seth	Shell	S.F.
Guy BUELL	Pan Am	FORT WORTH
G.W. King	" "	" "
R.M. Anderson	Simclair	Midland
Jason Kellahin	Kellahin & Fox	Sate Fe
V.T. LYON	CONTINENTAL OIL CO	EDWICE, N.M.
A.J. Inderrieden	Pan Am	Hubbuck
C.R. ALV	Texas T	M.H. - J. Texas

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Examiner hearing - Elvis A. UtzSanta Fe, NEW MEXICOREGISTERHEARING DATE October 4, 1961 TIME: 9 A.M.

NAME:	REPRESENTING:	LOCATION:
<i>Booker Kelly</i> S.H. Cavin J.R. McMin	<i>Tanager</i> <i>Gilbert White & Hubert</i> Hondo O & G Co. " " " "	S. F. Roswell, N.M. " " " "