# BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2508 Order No. R-2209

APPLICATION OF WESTATES PETROLEUM COMPANY FOR ASSIGNMENT OF SPECIAL ALLOWABLES TO FOUR WELLS, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on March 28, 1962, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this 3rd day of April, 1962, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Elvis A. Utz, and being fully advised in the premises,

### FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That by Order No. R-1776, entered in Case No. 2064 on October 3, 1960, the following-described wells each were assigned a special allowable, not to exceed top unit allowable for the Justis Tubb-Drinkard Pool, for a period of 18 months:

Westates Carlson-Federal "B" Well No. 2, Unit P, Section 25;

Westates Carlson-Federal "B" Well No. 3, Unit O, Section 25:

Westates Carlson-Federal "B" Well No. 4, Unit P, Section 25;

Westates Carlson-Federal "B" Well No. 5, Unit 0, Section 25;

all in Township 25 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

-2-CASE No. 2508 Order No. R-2209

- (3) That said Order No. R-1776 contained the provision that the allowable assigned to each of the wells listed above would terminate in 18 months after the date of that order "unless the affected operators show cause at public hearing why such provision should be continued in effect."
- (4) That in the present case the applicant, Westates Petroleum Company, seeks an order extending the period within which each of its four wells listed above will be assigned a special allowable.
- (5) That the applicant failed to present satisfactory evidence to show cause why a special allowable should continue to be assigned to the subject wells.
- (6) That, accordingly, the subject application should be denied.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That the application of Westates Petroleum Company in the subject case is hereby <u>denied</u>.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year herein-above designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EDWIN L. MECHEM, Chairman

E. S. WALKER, Member

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary