

TESTIMONY FOR CASE 2695 - REVISION OF ORDERS R-333-C, D & E

1. Clarifies the Rule as to penalty for delinquent tests. One day allowable for each day the test is late on a well.
2. Clarifies responsibility of scheduling tests.
3. Rather than 45 days ★ 15 days extension for test or retest after workover or initial connection allow 60 days without an extension of time.
4. The proposal now recognizes the fact that some wells must be choked in order not to overrange the charts and/or production equipment. Dakota wells in particular.
5. Defines critical flow when well is restricted between W. H. and meter, and outlines procedure to calculate tests when critical flow exists.
6. Proposes that shut-in pressure on wells which cannot have both casing and tubing measured and pressures which appear to be low due to liquids in the well bore be by one of 3 methods outlined.
§I.
7. Also proposes that the higher¹ pressure of the casing or tubing be used in the deliverability calculation.
8. Use a pool deliverability pressure instead of 50% of individual well 7 day Shut-in pressure. This pressure to be determined by Oil Conservation Commission by using the average pool shut-in pressure and average static wellhead pressure.
9. It is proposed that a limiting multiplier be used in order that wells which report a very low shut-in pressure or cannot achieve a 25% drawdown will not have an unrealistic deliverability number.
10. Lowered % of test period flow rate as compared to preflow period rate from 25% to 10%.
11. Incorporated the Initial Potential memo into order. 1-56-11111
12. Deleted testing requirements in Barker Dome Dakota and Penn.