

CLARENCE E. HINKLE  
W. E. BONDURANT, JR.  
S. B. CHRISTY IV  
LEWIS C. COX, JR.  
PAUL W. EATON, JR.  
CONRAD E. COFFIELD  
HAROLD L. HENSLEY, JR.  
STUART D. SHANOR  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. D. MARTIN  
PAUL J. KELLY, JR.

LAW OFFICES  
**HINKLE, BONDURANT & CHRISTY**  
600 HINKLE BUILDING  
ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO 88201

June 13, 1969

MAIL ROOM  
JUN 16 7 48 AM '69  
MIDLAND, TEXAS OFFICE  
521 MIDLAND TOWER  
(915) MU 3-4691  
TELEPHONE (505) 622-6510  
POST OFFICE BOX 10

*Cad 4/61*

Oil Conservation Commission  
Box 2088  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Gentlemen:

We enclose herewith in triplicate application of Roger C. Hanks for special pool rules for the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool in Lea County. I gave Dan Nutter the information with respect to this application and it is my understanding that he is going to include the same on the examiner's hearing for July 9.

Yours sincerely,

HINKLE, BONDURANT & CHRISTY

By Clarence E. Hinkle  
CH

CEH:cs  
Enc.

DOCKET MAILED

Date 6-25-69

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION OF ROGER C. HANKS  
FOR SPECIAL POOL RULES FOR THE  
NORTH MESCALERO-CISCO POOL, LEA  
COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, INCLUDING A  
PROVISION FOR 160 ACRE SPACING  
AND PRORATION UNITS AND THE ASSIGN-  
MENT OF 80 ACRE ALLOWABLES.

*Case 4161*

Oil Conservation Commission  
Box 2088  
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Comes Roger C. Hanks, of Midland, Texas, acting by and through the undersigned attorneys, and hereby makes application for the promulgation of special pool rules for the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including a provision for 160 acre spacing and proration units and the assignment of 80 acre allowables, and in support thereof respectfully shows:

1. That applicant has heretofore completed a discovery well located in the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$  Section 10, Township 10 South, Range 32 East, N.M.P.M. in the Cisco formation and the Oil Conservation Commission on December 1, 1968, by Order R-3562, designated the NE $\frac{1}{4}$  Section 10, Township 10 South, Range 32 East as constituting the "North Mescalero-Cisco Pool".

2. That since the completion of the initial test well applicant has completed a second well within less than one mile from the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool, which well is located in the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$  Section 10, Township 10 South, Range 32 East, N.M.P.M. There is attached hereto, made a part hereof, and for purposes of identification marked Exhibit "A", a plat showing the location of the wells referred to above.

3. That from the information available applicant believes that one well will effectively and efficiently drain 160 acres or more and that it is not economically feasible to drill wells on

RECEIVED  
JUN 16 1969  
AM 7 48


either 40 acre or 80 acre units. It will prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells and be in the interest of the prevention of economic waste to adopt special pool rules establishing 160 acre spacing and proration units and applicant believes that it is advisable to provide for 80 acre allowables.

4. That applicant believes that the establishment of special pool rules along the lines above indicated for the North Mescalero-Cisco Pool will be in the interest of conservation and the prevention of waste and will tend to protect correlative rights.

5. Applicant requests that this matter be set down for hearing at the examiner's hearing to be held on July 9, 1969.

Respectfully submitted,

ROGER C. HANKS

By 

Member of the Firm of  
HINKLE, BONDURANT & CHRISTY  
Attorneys for Applicant  
Box 10  
Roswell, New Mexico

