

COMPARISON OF PRESENT METHOD WITH PROPOSED
METHOD FOR CLASSIFYING WELLS MARGINAL FOR
PRORATION PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 1970

(All Volumes in MCF at 15.025# p.s.i.g.)

12
4436

Pool	Wells Classified Marginal by NMOCC		No. Wells Studied by EPNG	Wells Classified Marginal by Proposed Method	
	No. Wells	Volume		No. Wells	Volume
Aztec	5	84,556	51	13	131,006
Ballard	7	321,730	51	17	439,316
So. Blanco	7	174,002	152	23	381,731
Fulcher Kutz	2	20,014	17	13	364,948
Tapacito	2	25,942	30	18	252,499
West Kutz	6	59,164	28	22	105,321
Blanco M. V.	38	1,873,803	242	88	3,020,395
Basin Dakota	43	2,336,216	135	54	2,944,060
	<u>110</u>	<u>4,895,427</u>	<u>706</u>	<u>248</u>	<u>7,639,276</u>

Notes and Assumptions:

1. Only El Paso connected wells with cumulative underproduction as of 7-31-70 were considered in the study, whether they were balanced or not except that wells with less than 70 days production for this six-month period were eliminated.
2. The well productivity for the "Proposed Method" study was computed by dividing the total production for May - July by the number of days produced during this period, and this result was multiplied by 30.4 days.
3. The volumes in (2) were compared with the average monthly allowable for the six-month period to determine which wells would be reclassified.