BEFORE THE 1 NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION 2 Santa Fe, New Mexico 3 December 15, 1971 EXAMINER HEARING 5 6 7 IN THE MATTER OF: 8 Application of El Paso Natural Gas Company for the suspension of 9 certain provisions of Rules 14(A) and 15(A) of the General Rules and Case No. 4633 10 Regulations for the prorated gas pools of Southeastern New Mexico, 11 promulgated by Order No. R-1670, as amended. SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS 12 209 SIMMS BLDG. P.O. BOX 1092 PHONE 243-8691 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108 13 14 15 BEFORE: Elvis A. Utz, Examiner 16 17 18 19 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 20 21 22 23 24 25

209 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

24

25

MR. UTZ: Do you want to mark your exhibits? 2 MR. MORRIS: Yes, sir, I only have one exhibit. 3 (Whereupon, Exhibit Number 1 marked for identification.) 4633. MR. UTZ: 5 6 MR. HATCH: Case 4633, Application of El Paso 7 Natural Gas Company for the suspension of certain provisions 8 of Rules 14(A) and 15(A) of the General Rules and Regulations 9 for the prorated gas pools of Southeastern New Mexico, 10 promulgated by Order No. R-1670, as amended. 11 MR. MORRIS: Mr. Examiner, I am Dick Morris of 12 Montgomery, Federici, Andrews, Hannahs, and Morris, Santa Fe. 13 I am appearing for the Applicants. 14 With me is Mr. Dave Burleson, attorney for El Paso 15 Natural Gas Company from El Paso, Texas. 16 We have one witness, Mr. Dave H. Rainey. 17 (Witness sworn.) 18 MR. UTZ: Any other appearances? 19 It looks like you are not going to have any contest 20 today. 21 Would you like to proceed? 22 23

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS NEW MEXICO 87103 87108 BUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO MMS BLDG. P.O. BOX 1092 PHONE NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST ALE 209 SHMMS BLDG. P.O. BOX

DAVID H. RAINEY

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. BURLESON:

1

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FIRST

- Q Mr. Rainey, have you testified previously before this Commission and have your qualifications been accepted by the Commission?
- Yes, sir, they have. Α
- Would you please explain to the Commission what El Paso Q is seeking in this application?
- El Paso is asking by its application, Case 4633, to Α suspend for one year, from January 1st, 1972, until December 31st, 1972, the balancing provisions of Rule 14(A) and Rule 15(A) of Order R-1670, as amended, as applies to certain prorated gas pools in Lea County, New Mexico.

Those pools are the Blinebry, Crosby (Devonian), Eumont, Jalmat, Justis, Tubb, and Monument McKee-Ellenburger.

- Would you please explain Rule 14(A) and 15(A), which our Q request would affect, please, sir.
- Rule 14(A) Order R-1670 provides that underproduction Α which accrues in one proration period may be carried forward and made up in a subsequent proration.

Rule 15(A) provides that any overproduction accrued

in one proration period must be made up in the next proration period, or be shut in again at the beginning of the third proration period.

We are asking for suspension of those provisions

We are asking for suspension of those provisions requiring the completion of balancing within one proration period.

- Q And for a period of how long?
- A For one year, to December 31st, 1972.
- Q Would you please explain some of the circumstances which have made it reasonably necessary for El Paso to make this request.
- Yes, sir. In December of 1969 El Paso filed application with the Federal Power Commission to install 17,000 horsepower of additional compression at its existing plant on its Lea County gathering system.

This application was certificated in the authority given to El Paso to initiate this construction in June of 1970.

El Paso already had on order certain of the facilities, the equipment that was necessary to install this compression.

Other portions of the compression had to be placed on order after the certificate was granted.

We had delivery dates promised from manufacturers running from August -- I mean from October, 1970, on

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

209 SIMMS BLDG. P.O. BOX 1092 PHONE 243-6691 PALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST PALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

through about the first of 1971.

Most of these delivery dates -- and I am not intending to blame the manufacturers -- but most of these delivery dates would take anywhere from thirty days to four months.

As a consequence, facilities at El Paso had anticipated being able to be placed in service in early spring of 1971, and did not even begin to go into service until about June of 1971 -- excuse me -- July of 1971.

Since the initiation of some of the first compression installations, initiation of use of them we have had mechanical problems with certain of these compression installations.

As a consequence, much of the allowable that we anticipated being able to produce by the use of this compression installation has been unable to be produced. and it is El Paso's feeling that the operator of these wells should have the opportunity to produce this allowable, because it has been through no fault of theirs and no fault of El Paso's that these compression installations have not operated satisfactorily.

We are requesting here the extension of time in order to make up underproduction that accrued because this compression installation was not in service.

Additionally, as a result of the underproduction in certain areas, we have had to overproduce wells in other

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DALLY COPY, CONVENTIONS
209 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87103
FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87108

areas in order to meet the market demands from the Southeast New Mexico gas pools, and we are therefore asking for an extension of time in which to make the overproduction that was necessary because of the production that was not capable of being achieved from the well in which compression was installed.

Q Mr. Rainey, a document has been heretofore identified as Exhibit Number 1, which is entitled "The Status of Unbalanced Wells Connected to El Paso Natural Gas Company in the Southeast New Mexico Prorated Pools as of November 30th, 1971."

I would ask you to refer to a copy of that and explain the significance of the figures contained on that, give any additional explanation that you feel appropriate in regard to those figures.

Yes, sir. Exhibit Number 1 is merely a tabulation of the number of wells in each of the pools for which El Paso is asking exception for balancing provisions in this application that are underproduced and overproduced in each of those pools.

If you will note column two of the exhibit, it indicates that in the Jalmat Pool, the last number before the total, we have accrued underproduction of some six hundred and sixty-six million cubic feet of gas that would be subject to cancellation as of January 1st, 1972,

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

FIRST

if this exception is not granted.

At the same time, the cumulative status of those same wells is eight million three hundred and eighty thousand cubic feet underproduced.

I will call your attention also to the fact that in the Eumont Pool, which is the third pool listed, we have a hundred and twenty-eight million of underproduction that will be subject to cancellation of January 1st, 1972.

And then I call your attention to the last series of numbers in the next to the last column, that because of the underproduction that accrued in the Jalmat and in certain areas of the Eumont Pool, it was necessary to overproduce wells in the Blinebry, some other wells in Eumont Pool, and a substantial number of wells in the Jalmat, a substantial volume of gas in the Tubb Pools in order to offset that underproduction.

If you will look at the totals, the total underproduction that would be subject to cancellation is very close to the value of the overproduction that would be subject to shut-in on January 1st, 1972, the underproduction being 865 million cubic feet and the overproduction being 948 million cubic feet.

So, we pretty well had to offset the underproduction. We couldn't take it by overproduction from other sources. Mr. Rainey, was Exhibit marked for identification Exhibit

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NEW MEXICO 87103 87108 209 SIMMS BLDG. # P.O. BOX 1092 # PHONE 243-6691 # ALBUQUERQUE. FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST # ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO Number 1 prepared under your direction or by you?

- It was prepared under my supervision, yes.
- You previously indicated that there were certain Q difficulties with the compression facilities which will be installed.

Are those facilities installed at this point, and are they operating?

The facilities are all installed. Α

> As of the first of last week, they seemed to be working fine, and I understand that as of the first of the week, they were having some more problems with them.

The manufacturers have been more or less living in Southeast New Mexico the last few months, and it is my understanding that, based on analyses they are making this week, they think hopefully they have got the problem licked, and we will have them in full operation by the first of 1972.

As of this date, we never yet have been able to but a full load on certain of the compression installations that we installed at our station number three in Lea County.

Do you feel that the installation and operation of these compression facilities will materially assist in bringing the wells in the pools mentioned into balance within the period of suspension requested here?

It is our hope that this will do so. Until we get these compressors in full operation, it is difficult, because of capacity limitations and the manner in which this compression will have to work, to be able to ascertain with any real certainty that we will be able to make up every bit of this underproduction, but it is our feeling we will be able to make up a substantial portion of it.

MR. BURLESON: I would like to request the Exhibit marked 1 for identification be received into evidence at this time.

MR. UTZ: Without objection, Exhibit 1 will be received into the record.

(Whereupon, Exhibit Number 1 admitted into evidence.)

MR. UTZ: Any questions of Mr. Rainey?

I have a couple.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. UTZ:

Α

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- Q Mr. Rainey, I think this exhibit, as you stated, just does consider wells connected to the El Paso system?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q I have noticed here -- and you called our attention to it that taking all pools as a whole, that the underage and overage is pretty close to the same.
- 25 A Yes.

Q But going back to -- and I think I called your attention to this Jalmat, we have a lot more underproduction than overproduction.

A Yes, sir.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Can you explain?
- A Yes, sir, because we couldn't produce the gas. In Jalmat, these compressors substantially affect the gathering system that serves the Jalmat Pool, and portions of the Eumont Pool, because we could not produce this underproduction or this allowable from the well in the Jalmat Pool, it was necessary to go elsewhere.

We have no underproduction in Blinebry, but we are a hundred and twenty-two million cubic feet overproduced because we had to go to Blinebry.

To a lesser degree, we have the same situation in Eumont.

We do have a substantial portion of underproduction in other portions of pools in Eumont.

We were able to make up overproduction there, so it was not a question of balancing wells in a given pool, but having to balance over the whole Lea County area.

- Q In other words, Jalmat suffered because they didn't have the facilities in there?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q You don't have any figures at all on the Monument (McKee)?

б

Monument.

A Well, at the time we filed the application it looked like we might be in trouble there.

However, we managed to balance all of the wells in

Q They do have accumulated status, don't they?

- A They should have. We were trying to reflect the status of wells currently that are still underbalanced. Since there are no unbalanced wells -- these are just unbalanced wells shown here. These are not the total number of wells in the pools we are showing.
- Q I understand, but the cumulative status shown on Exhibit 1, is that the true cumulative status or is that the balanced status?
- A No, that is the cumulative status as of November 30, 1971.

 It has no unbalanced wells. Therefore, we did not show anything.
- O Okay. Now, by being able to take the underproduction of these pools, will that also assist you in balancing the overproduced well?
- Yes, sir. If these wells are capable of making up the underproduction, then we can curtail other wells in order to make the overproduced wells-- in order to bring them back into balance.
- Q Now, I think we should clarify this point in the record since we seem to have a little problem as to just how

2

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS	209 SIMMS BLDG. P.O. BOX 1092 PHONE 243-6691 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103	87108
, EXPERT TESTIMONY, D	11 • ALBUQUERQUE,	ROUE, NEW MEXICO
HEARINGS, STATEMENTS	1092 • PHONE 243-669	FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST OALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108
DEPOSITIONS, 1	G P.O. BOX	AL BANK BLD
SPECIALIZING IN	209 SIMMS BLD	FIRST NATION

You have asked here for an exception to the Rule 14 3 and Rule 15, which is under- and overproduction balancing rules? 5 Yes, sir. A 6 From 1-1-72 through 12-31 -- or 1-1-73? 7 Q Yes, sir. Α 8 Now, by that do you mean that as of 1-1-72 that 9 there would be no cancellation, no curtailment? 10 Α That is correct. 11 Likewise, the proration period beginning July 1, 12 there would be no curtailment and no cancellation? 13 Α That is correct. 14 July '72? 15 Q 16 Α Yes, sir. 17 And then 1-1-73, on that date the Rule would again go into effect? 18 19 Α Yes, sir. 20 And then the way you understand your request, what would happen as far as cancellation and curtailment is 21 22 concerned? 23 Any of the numbers shown in column two or column five, the balance status underproduced and overproduced that 24 25 is not made up either underproduction or overproduction

to handle this type of a suspension the last time we

had this type of order.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

by January, by December 31, 1972, would at that time be cancelled or shut in.

As of January 1st, 1973, a new balanced status would

As of January 1st, 1973, a new balanced status would be established or determined for each of the wells in the pools, and they would go back into a normal period, in other words that which had accrued, either underproduction or overproduction from now until January 1st, 1973 must be made up by July 1st, 1973.

In effect, what we are saying, ordinarily you have a six-month balancing period.

What we are applying for here is an eighteen-month balancing period, the six months just elapsed, plus another year. And at the end of that time, the ordinary balancing sequence would take place.

- Q In other words, 1-1-72 you would have an underproduction status that would be subject to cancellation?
- A Yes, sir.
- Now, what you want, all of the 1972, in order to make up this subject to cancellation and subject to curtailment figure?
- A Yes.
- Q That portion that is not made up during that one entire period which should be 1-1-73, that would be cancelled or curtailed?
- 25 A Yes.

Q	The accrued underage or overage during the one-year
	period would then be subject to the same balancing in
	July, 1973?

- A Yes, which could be a portion of that volume that is shown in column three and column six.
- Q In other words, I think more simply, you are requesting here a one-year balancing period rather than a six-month balancing period?
- A No. I think, Mr. Utz, we are asking for an eighteenmonth balancing period because the status that is shown in
 column two -- and I know column five started on

 January 1st -- I mean on July 1st, 1971.
- Q Yes.
- A We are asking until January 1st, 1973, to bring that into balance.

The numbers shown as of November 30th, 1971, in column two and column five of Exhibit 1, that is what is left of a balanced status that began on July 1st, 1971.

We are asking until January 1st, 1973, to make that up. At that point a new balanced status will be established based on the cumulative status as of that date which should be made up by July 1st, 1973.

- Q Then your statement that you are requesting a one-year extension --
- A That is correct.

```
Q -- is a correct statement?
```

A Yes, sir.

MR. UTZ: Are there any other questions of the witness?

Mr. Rainey, I am not sure this is the place to take this up, but we have this type of situation plaguing us almost consistently anymore. We seem to have more exceptions to Rule 14(A) and 15 than we have nonexceptions.

In your opinion, is there any way that we could change the Rules 14 and 15 in order to alleviate this situation?

MR. RAINEY: Mr. Utz, quite candidly, I haven't given any great deal of thought to that. Each time this has happened, there have been extenuating circumstances, either for El Paso or, in one case where Northern Natural Gas asked for same extension in Lea County area.

They have been extenuating circumstances. I don't think I am prepared at this time to suggest to any commission in basing proration order.

It is possible that some study is -- study should be given to it, so to speak, if this is going to be a continuing or continuous thing and possibly amend rules, but at this time, to say because it has been extenuating circumstances every time that has caused this, it seems to me like

ordinarily the rules are operating fairly reasonably.

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS

209 SIMMS BLDG. P.O. BOX 1092 PHONE 243-6691 ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87103 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

PAGE MR. UTZ: Any other question? Witness may be excused. Is that all in the case? Case will be taken under advisement.

SAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS	NEW MEXICO 87103	87108
SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS	209 SIMMS BLDG. P.O. BOX 1092 PHONE 243-6691 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103	FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1	<u>INDEX</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.00	٦
	WITNESS		PAGE	
2	-		TANGLI	
3	DAVID H. RAINEY			
4	Examination by Mr. Burleson		3	
5	Examination by Mr. Utz		9	
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
	י ד א ד ע א ד	c		
11	<u>E X H I B I T S</u>		A Judenia J	
12		Marked	Admitted	
13	Exhibit Number 1	2	9	
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				į
25				

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

STATE OF NEW MEXICO SS COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

I, RICHARD STURGES, Court Reporter in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me and that the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill, and ability.

to coredy pertify that the feregoing is sections and to become energice a the Manufacture describes of four for active by the can in it

Now Merrico Oil Conservation Commission

209 SIMMS BLDG. P.O. BOX 1092 PHONE 243-6691 PALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87103 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST PALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108