



OIL CONSERVATION ACOMM Santa BRAWER 728 HOBBS, NEW MEXICO 88240

May 24, 1972

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 88240

Re: Examiner Hearing (Case 4728

Special Pool Rules

East Weir-Tubb Pool Lea County, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr.

Gentlemen:

It is requested that TEXACO's application for field rules for the Weir-Tubb, East Pool, Case 4728, be continued to the Examiner Hearing on June 28, 1972. Our original request was for the June 21, 1972 hearing but we have been advised that the hearing date has been changed to June 28, 1972.

Your cooperation in this matter will be appreciated.

Yours very truly,

District Superintendent

CPC-cr

DOCUM WELLS

Enon 6-13-12

conoco

L. P. Thompson Division Manager Production Department Hobbs Division Western Hemisphere Petroleum Division

Continental Oil Company P. O. 460 1001 North Turner Hobbs, New Mexico 88240 (505) 393-4141

JUN 26 1972

OIL CONSERVATION COMMA

June 22, 1972

New Mexico 0il Conservation Commission (3) P. 0. Box 2088 Santa Fe. New Mexico 87501

Attention Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. Secretary Director

Gentlemen:

Case No. 4728 - June 28, 1972, Examiner Docket

Continental Oil Company is aware of the application of Texaco, Inc., for special pool rules for the East Weir-Tubb Pool including provisions for 80-acre proration units and increased gas oil ratio limit to 4,000 cubic feet per barrel.

Continental Oil Company operates, on behalf of the New Mexico Federal Unit, one well in the pool which is the subject of Case No. 4728. Our SEMU Tubb Well No. 83 is located in Unit G of Section 13, Township 20 South, Range 37 East. This well is located at the edge of the Southeast Monument Unit and its present 40-acre proration unit consisting of the SW/4 NE/4 of Section 13, is the only acreage available on this lease consistent with standard 80-acre proration units. The well is a limited capacity well, producing an average of only three barrels oil and 68 MCFG/D during 1971. Obviously, the proposed pool rules will have no effect on the producing rate of this well. It is also obvious that the working interest owners in this well would have no desire to communitize with other lands to form an 80-acre proration unit and it is equally obvious that no other operator would wish to communitize his land to share in the production from our well.

While Continental Oil Company has no objection to amending the rules to permit other operators to produce larger volumes of oil and/or gas, we would object to any necessity for our having to have a hearing in order to secure exception to the proposed pool rules.

Continental Oil Company, therefore, respectfully requests that the pool rules, if granted, provide for exceptions for our well and any other wells which might be inconvenienced in a similar manner by the change in rules.

Yours very truly,

Many ho

New Mexico 0il Conservation Commission Page 2 June 22, 1972

Copies to: E. 0. Price Chevron Oil Company P. 0. Box 1660 Midland, Texas 79701

Alex Clarke, Jr. Amoco Production Company P. O. Box 3092 Houston, Texas 77001

A. D. Kloxin Atlantic Richfield Company P. O. Box 1978 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

V. E. Staley Amoco Production Company P. O. Box 68 Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

Texaco, Inc. P. 0. Box 728 Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

RLA



OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. O. BOX 2088 - SANTA FE 87501

July 10, 1972

GOVERNOR
BRUCE KING
CHAIRMAN

LAND COMMISSIONER
ALEX J. ARMIJO
MEMBER

STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

	Re:	Case No	4728
Mr. Booker Kelly White, Koch, Kelly & McCarthy	hy	Order No.	R-4331
Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 787 Santa Fe, New Mexico		Applicant: Texaco Inc.	
Dear Sir:			
Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.			
Very truly yours,			
a L'orter			
A. L. PORTER, Jr.			
Secretary-Director			in.
ALP/ir			
·			
Copy of order also sent to:			
Hobbs OCC x Artesia OCC			
Aztec OCC			
Other	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		