1 BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION 2 OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 3 Wednesday, November 29, 1972 4 5 IN THE MATTER OF: б Application of Samedan Oil Corporation) for a unit agreement, Lea County, Case No. 4871 7 Mexico Case no. 4872 8 9 10 11 12 BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets, Examiner 13 14 15 16 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

MR. STAMETS: We call next Case 4871, application of 1 Samedan Oil Corporation for a unit agreement, Lea County, New 2 Mexico. 3 MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner please, Jason Kellahin, Kellahin & Fox, Santa Fe, appearing for the applicant. We have 5 one witness we'd like to have sworn. MR. STAMETS: Are there any other appearances in this 7 case? 8 (No Response.) 9 WILLIAM MCCUEN 10 a Witness, having been first duly sworn according to law, upon 11 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY JASON KELLAHIN: 14 Would you state your name, please? Q 15 William McCuen. A 16 How would you spell that? Q 17 A M-c-C-u-e-n. 18 By whom are you employed and in what position? Q 19 A Production Superintendent for Samedan Oil Corporation, 20 Midland. 21 Q Are you a petroleum engineer? 22 Yes, sir. Α 23

Have you ever testified before the New Mexico Oil

Conservation Commission?

_		
1	A	No, sir, I have not.
2	Q	For the benefit of the Examiner, would you briefly outline
3		your education and experiences as a petroleum engineer?
4	A	I'm a graduate of the University of Tulsa; I've been
5		employed by Samedan for a little over 20 years, petroleum
6		engineer and superintendent.
7	Q	And where did you get your education as petroleum engineer?
8	A	University of Tulsa.
9	Q	And you've been with Samedan since your graduation?
10	A	Yes, sir.
11	Q	Do you work in Southeastern New Mexico, as well as West
12		Texas?
13	A	Yes, sir.
14	Q	And is the area involved in this application under your
15		jurisdiction?
16	A	Yes, it is.
17	Q	And is the case involved in Case 4872 under your
18		jurisdiction, too?
19	A	Yes, sir.
20	Q	Are you familiar with what is proposed by the applicant in
21		these cases?
22	A	I am.
23		MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner please, I would like to

move at this time to consolidate, for purposes of the record,

Case 4871 and 4872, and use one set of Exhibits for the two.

```
1
               MR. STAMETS:
                              Okay, Cases 4871 and 4872 will be
2
    consolidated.
3
               Case 4872 is the application of Samedan Oil
4
    Corporation for a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico.
5
               MR. KELLAHIN: And it does affect the same area as
    in Case 4871.
б
7
               MR. STAMETS: Mr. McCuen, what was your degree, B.S.
8
    Petroleum Engineer?
               THE WITNESS:
9
                              Yes.
               MR. KELLAHIN: Are the witness' qualifications
10
    acceptable?
11
               MR. STAMETS:
                              Yes.
12
   Q
          (By Mr. Kellahin)
                             Briefly, what is proposed by the
13
          applicant in these two cases?
14
   Α
          We propose to conduct secondary recovery operations on
15
          this 240-acre tract as set out on our Exhibit 2.
16
          It has been unitized, has it not?
   Q
17
          Yes, sir.
   A
18
          Now, referring to what has been marked as Exhibit Number
19
          1, is that the unit agreement?
20
          Yes, sir.
   Α
21
          Has it been executed by all of the working interest owners?
   Q
22
          Yes, sir.
   A
23
          And has it been executed by any or all of the overriding
24
```

royalty owners?

209 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103	1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST.ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1 A It has. 2 Q Are there any working interests or royalty owners we have not signed it? 4 A There are not. 5 Q Has this been approved by the United States Geologic Survey? 7 A It has. 8 Q And is all of the acreage within the tract Federal acreage? 10 A It is. 11 Q There is no State or fee acreage involved? 12 A No, sir. 13 Q And you have received preliminary approval from the	
have not signed it? There are not. Name of the united States Geological Survey? A It has. And is all of the acreage within the tract Federal acreage? It is. There is no State or fee acreage involved? No, sir.	
There are not. Has this been approved by the United States Geologi Survey? A It has. And is all of the acreage within the tract Federal acreage? A It is. There is no State or fee acreage involved? No, sir.	ho
5 Q Has this been approved by the United States Geologi 6 Survey? 7 A It has. 8 Q And is all of the acreage within the tract Federal 9 acreage? 10 A It is. 11 Q There is no State or fee acreage involved? 12 A No, sir.	
Survey? 7 A It has. 8 Q And is all of the acreage within the tract Federal acreage? 10 A It is. 11 Q There is no State or fee acreage involved? 12 A No, sir.	
7 A It has. 8 Q And is all of the acreage within the tract Federal 9 acreage? 10 A It is. 11 Q There is no State or fee acreage involved? 12 A No, sir.	cal
And is all of the acreage within the tract Federal acreage? It is. There is no State or fee acreage involved? No, sir.	
acreage? 10 A It is. 11 Q There is no State or fee acreage involved? 12 A No, sir.	
10 A It is. 11 Q There is no State or fee acreage involved? 12 A No, sir.	
11 Q There is no State or fee acreage involved? 12 A No, sir.	
12 A No, sir.	
Q And you have received preliminary approval from the	
United States Geological Survey?	
15 A Yes, sir, we have.	
Q Is the form of the unit agreement in a form which h	as
heretofore been approved by the Commission?	
A Yes, it is.	
Q Now, referring to what has been marked as Exhibit 2	, the
plat that you started to discuss, would you identif	y that
and discuss the information shown on it?	
A This shows the location of all wells within two mil	es of
our proposed secondary recovery tract. Those wells	in red
are the Seven Rivers-Queen oil wells, the Penrose S	and of
the Queen Formation.	

Q

25

1	Q	Now, is that the formation you are proposing to flood?
2	A	Yes. It's composed of two tracts; tract #1 of 200 acres,
3		and tract #2 of 40 acres.
4	Q	Now, where are their locations, could you give the
5		description?
6	A	Tract #1 is located in the northwest quarter of Section
7		17 of 37 east, 23 south; and the other 40 acres of tract 1
8		is located in the northeast of the northeast of Section 18
9		same range and township. Tract 2 is located in the
10		southeast and northeast quarter of Section 18 of the same
11		range and township.
12	Q	Now, is that the same area that's covered by your unit
13		agreement?
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q	Now, do you show all of the proposed injection wells on
16		that Exhibit?
17	A	Yes, sir, they are shown there with the blue arrows.
18	Q	Then, you have 3 proposed injection wells?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And how many producing wells will you have?
21	A	We will have 3 producing wells.
22	Q	Are they all shown on the Exhibit?
23	A	All, with the exception of #14, which has just been
24		recently drilled and completed.

And does that appear as a location on the Exhibit?

And it has been already completed, is presently producing

2

÷		4	A	Yes, sir.
dearnley, meier & mc cormick		5	Q	Now, is this proposed waterflood project offset by a
		6		waterflood project?
		7	A	Yes, it is offset to the north by the Skelly-Penrose B
		8		Unit.
		9	Q	And have you incorporated an agreement with Skelly in
		10		connection with your proposed waterflood project?
		11	A	Well, we are in the midst of working one out with them at
	60	12		the present time.
	100 871	13	Q	Now, referring to Exhibits 3, 4, and 5, would you
	EX CO B	14		identify those exhibits, please?
	и Т. ¥	15	A .	3, 4, and 5 are copies of the open hole logs of the
	2 2 3 3 3 3	16		proposed injection wells.
	• ALBU Buque	17		Do you want those by name?
	243-6691 :AST +AL	18	Q	I don't believe it will be necessary to name them, they
	PHONE 2 3LDG. EA	19		appear on the exhibit.
06.0 P.O. BOX 10920	0 Y	20	-	Now, referring to what has been marked as Exhibits 6,
	. B A A L A L A L	21		7, and 8, would you identify those exhibits, please?
	ک ق	22	A	These are diagramatic sketches of the proposed completions
	SIMMS BLD 1216 FIRST	23		as injection wells; the three wells we have previously
	209 SIM	24		mentioned.
		25	Q	Those are the same ones of which you have the logs?

Yes, sir, as a location.

from the Queen Formation?

25 Q

		9
1	A	Yes sir.
2	Q	Are all of the completions to be the same?
3	A	Basically the same, yes.
4	Ω	There are differences in the casing and cementing program,
5		as depicted on the Exhibit, is that correct?
6	A	Yes, to some degree.
7	Q	Now, how will you make your completion, will you inject
8		through tubing and under the packer?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And annulus internally coated tubing?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Do you propose to set a pressure gauge at the surface to
13		measure the pressure in the casing tubing annulus?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And what is the source of your fluids?
16	A	Source of what?
17	Q	What is the source of water?
18	A	Skelly, in the area, has what is known as their Jowle
19		water supply system. We propose to purchase water from
20		that system.
21	Ω	And that's Capitan Reef Water, isn't it?
22	A	Yes sir.
23	Ω	Now, what volume of water do you propose to use?
24	A	550 barrels per day, per well.
	_	

What kind of pressure do you anticipate you will encounter

Q

25

		PAGE
1		on injection?
2	A	All probability, a maximum of 2,000 P.S.I.
3	Q	Will the casing and packers, as you have proposed on your
4		injection wells, withstand that kind of pressure safely?
5	A	Yes, sir.
6	Q	Is this reservoir at an advanced stage of depletion?
7	A	Yes, sir, it is.
8	Q	What, roughly, is the present production from the unit
9	:	area?
10	A	Well, of the six wells, one being temporarily abandoned,
11		the other five wells are producing an average of 10 to 12
12		barrels a day.
13	Q	Does that include the well that has just been completed,
14		the #14 well?
15	A	No, the well that has just been completed, the #!4, after
16		two months, it's pumping somewhere between 30 and 35
17		barrels a day.
18	Q	Now, when was it completed?
19	A	Approximately the end of September of this year.
20	Q	And did it show a rapid decline in production?
21	A	Yes, sir, it did.
22	Q	Does that indicate to you that the reservoir has been,
23		well, exhausted on primary production?
24	A	It has.

Is it ready for secondary recovery, in your opinion?

That's all I have on Direct Examination

25

.	1	A It is.
	2	Q In your opinion, will the injection of water as a
	3	secondary recovery mechanism result in the recovery of
	4	oil that would otherwise not be recovered from this
	5	reservoir?
¥	6	A Yes, sir, it would.
r Tij	7	Q Have you any estimate of the approximate volume of
້ວວ :	8	additional recovery you might achieve?
E &	9	A We've recovered, to date, primary, in the neighborhood of
Jearnley, meier & mc cormick	10	220,000 barrels. We expect 1 to 1 ratio of secondary,
, m	11	somewhere in the neighborhood of 200 to 220 thousand
nle)	12	barrels of secondary oil.
dearr	13	Q And, in your opinion, will that be in the interest of
, × Σ Σ	MEXICO 14	conservation?
<i>y</i>	ž 15	A Yes, sir.
и ш о	16 16	Q Will any waste occur?
A L B.	17	A No,sir.
4. 6. 6. 6.	18 18	Q Were Exhibits 1 through 6 prepared by you or under your
M Z O I D		supervision?
,	_	A They were.
	21 21	MR. KELLAHIN: At this time, I'd like to offer Exhibits
•	= 22	1 through 8 inclusive.
ט ב ע צ		MR. STAMETS: Without objection, they will be admitted
и Э Э Э	24	into evidence.
		MR. KELLAHIN: That's all I have on Direct Examination

MR. KELLAHIN:

209 SIMMS BLDG. # P.O. BOX 1092 # PHONE 243-6691+ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 1216 First national bank bldg. East * Albuquerque, new Mexico 87108

24

25

Q

1 CROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. STAMETS: 3 Mr. McCuen, what are the productivity capacities of the 4 offsetting wells to your #14 well? Are they low marginal 5 wells? б A Yes, sir, they are. 7 And this Skelly-Penrose B unit, is that actually under 0 8 waterflood at this time? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 That's the water injection wells, the ones with the little 11 triangle? 12 They would be three locations from our lease line. A 13 And you are anticipating the lease line agreement with 0 14 Skelly? 15 A Yes. 16 You said the logs were on the openhole and I see here that 17 each of the wells has 5 1/2 or 4 1/2 inch casing. 18 recently run, or was that run when the wells were completed? 19 When they were completed. A 20 How long ago was this? 21 Well, let's see. The Federal H well is September of 1967; Α 22 and the Hughes B-4 #11, December of 1965; and in the case 23 of the Hughes B-4 #8, April of 1955.

And the packer that you proposed here would be well below

the top of the cement in each case?

MR. STAMETS: Are there any other questions of the witness?

MR. KELLAHIN: No sir.

Yes.

MR. STAMETS: He may be excused.

Are there any statements in this case?

MR. KELLAHIN: That's all we have, Mr. Stamets.

MR. STAMETS: We will take the case under advisement.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, JOHN DE LA ROSA, a Court Reporter, in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; and that the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

John De La Rosa
COURT REPORTER

i do hereby certify that the foregoing is a majorite record of the proceedings in the laminer hearing of Cose No. 487/ heard by me on Nov. 32 . 1972

Action Oil Conservation Commission