1		RE THE SERVATION COMMISSION						
2	Santa Fe,	New Mexico 9, 1977						
3								
4	EXAMINE	R HEARING						
5	IN THE MATTER OF:)						
6	IN THE MATTER OF:) }						
7	Case 5048 being reopened pursuant to) CASE the provisions of Order No. R-4637-A,) 5048							
8	which order extended the temporary) (Reopened) special pool rules for the South Dagger) Draw-Upper Pennsylvanian Associated)							
9	Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.							
10	,							
11	BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner							
12	mp and an in	M OH HEADING						
13	TRANSCRIP	T OF HEARING						
14	APPEA	RANCES						
15	For the New Mexico Oil	Lynn Teschendorf, Esq.						
16	Conservation Commission:	Legal Counsel for the Commission State Land Office Building						
17		Santa Fe, New Mexico						
17	For the Applicant:	Clarence E. Hinkle, Esq.						
18		HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY						
19		Attorneys at Law Hinkle Building						
20		Roswell, New Mexico						
21								

Page.

sid morrish reporting service

General Court Reporting Service
825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
Phone (505) 982-9212

Offered

Page

Admitted

General Court Reporting Service 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9212 sid morrish reporting service

3 |

ROGER HANKS

INDEX

1					
4	Direct	Examination	by Mr.	Hinkle	3
- 1					

Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter

EXHIBIT INDEX

Applicant's Exhibit One	4	6

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. NUTTER: We will call Case Number 5048.

MS. TESCHENDORF: Case 5048 in the matter of Case 5048 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-4637-A, which order extended the temporary special pool rules for the South Dagger Draw-Upper Pennsylvanian Associated Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

MR. HINKLE: Clarence Hinkle, Hinkle, Cox, Eaton, Coffield & Hensley, appearing on behalf of Roger Hanks. We have just one witness.

(THEREUPON, the witness was duly sworn.)

MR. HINKLE: Mr. Examiner, I might say here with respect to our exhibit, Mr. Hanks has prepared only one exhibit and it's all under one folder which has just been marked Exhibit One and in his testimony he will refer to the different pages in the exhibit.

MR. NUTTER: Okay.

ROGER HANKS

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HINKLE:

- Q. State your name and residence?
- 25 A. Roger Hanks, Midland, Texas.

- Q. Are you the owner of a considerable portion of the acreage in the South Dagger Draw-Upper Pennsylvanian?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And you are a geologist by profession?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q And you have testified before the Commission a number of times?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Are your qualifications a matter of record with the Commission?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You have previously testitied in connection with this same case?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - MR. HINKLE: Are his qualifications sufficient?
 - MR. NUTTER: Yes, they are.
- Q. (Mr. Hinkle continuing.) Refer to Exhibit One and explain to the Commission what this is and what it shows?
- A. This is a lease plat which is an up-to-date map with the entire exhibit broken into three parts. This exhibit is primarily based on the lease plat and the other two parts of the enclosures are copies of bottom-hole pressures, of tests, and then production reports on each of the wells in the South Dagger Draw-Upper Penn.
 - If you will notice in the lower left-hand corner in

Township 20, 24.

2

3

7

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. You are speaking of the plat, now, Mr. Hanks?
- A. Yes, I'm speaking of the plat. Each of the blue dots are wells that are in the South Dagger Draw-Penn and the pressure recording data that's above each of the wells is the original bottom-hole pressure by a drill stem test or whatever and the second number that's under it is the current bottom-hole pressure as of last month and I ran these tests to verify, to be sure, there appears to be somewhat of a uniform pressure datum throughout these wells and in my opinion they are draining adequately from three hundred and twenty acres in this Cisco Canyon formation and I'm proposing that we establish permanent rules for this field.
 - Q. Of three hundred and twenty acre spacing?
- A. Yes, sir, three hundred and twenty acre spacing per well in the Cisco Canyon zone only.
 - Q. And what about the allowable?
- A. I believe it's a special bracket depth allowable of two hundred and fifty barrels which is adequate. That's one hundred and sixty barrel acreage allowable is what it is.
 - Q. And that would be made permanent too?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, you might explain to the Commission what the graphs are that are attached to Exhibit One?
 - A. This is a bottom-hole pressure survey, pressure

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

against depth and time. On each of the wells it was run -for instance, the Penny Federal was run, this test was on
the twenty-ninth of January and I had asked for the Commission
to postpone the hearing of this application in order to get
all of these tests run and the significant figure here is the
test depth of seventy-five hundred feet which is near to the
perforations and that pressure was found to be twenty-five
hundred and eighteen pounds against an old bottom-hole pressure
of three thousand.

And the other copies, Mr. Hinkle, are just duplications of this.

- Q. You have one pressure graph for each well?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have any further comments with respect to Exhibit One?
 - A. No, I don't.
- Q. In your opinion if these rules are continued and the special allowable is continued, would it be in the interest of conservation and the prevention of waste?
 - A. I think it would, yes.

MR. HINKLE: We would like to offer Exhibit One.

MR. NUTTER: Exhibit One will be admitted in Case

23 | Number 5048.

(THEREUPON, Applicant's Exhibit One was admitted into evidence.)

BY MR. NUTTER:

Q. Mr. Hanks, are these four wells that are depicted on the map in Exhibit One the only wells that are completed in the South Dagger Draw-Upper Pennsylvanian Pool?

CROSS EXAMINATION

- A. That's right.
- Q. Now, what about the production from these wells, how long have they been completed?
 - A. Five years.
- Q And you have had a decrease of approximately five hundred pounds, give or take a few, in the five years, how much production has there been from the wells in that five hundred pound pressure drop?
- A. Very little. I can't give you the exact number, I could compute it in the back, but they are averaging about three hundred thousand a piece, of cubic feet of gas per day and five to eight barrels of oil and a thousand of water and I have them on gas lift. We converted from hydraulics last summer and put them on gas lift. They seem to be responding quite a bit better.

I look for this pressure to come on down now that we have an efficient lift means. I think we will see the production go up.

Q. So changing over your lift system is going to increase production?

- A. Yes, sir, very much so.
- Q. And then you will have a faster decline in pressure then, of course?
- A. Yes, sir, very much greater, yes, sir. I think we will pull it down, pull the bottom-hole pressure considerably down over this next year.
- Q What is the reservoir drive mechanism here, is it a water drive?
 - A. I'm under oath, I can't tell you.
- Q Well, you are producing all of this water but you've had --
 - A. I think it's a combination.
 - Q. You've had a five hundred pound pressure decline?
- A. Yes, sir. I would have to say that it's a combination of expansion and water drive.
- Q. What actual evidence do you have that the thing is draining as far as three hundred and twenty acres are concerned?
- A. The uniformity, Dan, in the pressure draw down between, for instance, three thousand and twenty-five, eighteen in four wells, the only place I really see any significance and I would have to maybe doubt the validity of the bottom-hole pressure bomb in the Robin and it's shown as a hundred pounds difference and the other wells there is just very little difference, well, less than eight pounds on one.
 - Q. Which one is the Robin?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. That would be the one in the west half of 26. It's showing twenty-four, oh, one bottom-hole pressure where the others are showing twenty-five, ten and twenty-five, eighteen. There appears to be a uniformity.
- Q. Now, I notice that the original pressure on the well to the south was considerably lower than the original pressure on the northernmost well, why was that?
- A. I somewhat doubt that, the validity of that actual recording, I mean, it could have been in the recording device but for some reason or other I really can't accept it. We have another case up to the north that is very similar. It was too low.
- Q. Do you think the original pressure was probably higher than twenty-seven, thirty?
 - A. Yes, sir, I just doubt that number, yes, sir.
- Q. Has the performance of that well been similar to the performance of the other three wells?
 - A. Yes, sir, very similar.
- Q. And if that pressure were accurate then it would have only had a two hundred pound decline?
 - A. That's true.
 - Q. So that's one reason it's invalid?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - MR. NUTTER: Are there any further questions of
- 25 Mr. Hanks?

		;	MR.	HINKI	LE:	Just	one	othe	er.	Mr.	Han	ks,	attache	d
to	Exhi	bit	One	is t	the p	produc	ction	n his	story	of	eac	h of	these	
wel	.ls,	do :	you	have	any	comme	ent v	vith	resp	ect	to	that	:?	

A. No, it's just self-explanatory.

MR. HINKLE: This does show all of the production and the water production?

A. Oh, yeah, it's all C-115 Reports to the Commission, every month.

MR. HINKLE: That's all we have.

MR. NUTTER: And this shows cumulative production from each of the wells, oil, water and gas?

A. Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Are there any further questions of Mr. Hanks? He may be excused.

(THEREUPON, the witness was excused.)

MR. NUTTER: Do you have anything further, Mr.

Hinkle?

MR. HINKLE: No, that's all.

MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have anything they wish to offer in Case Number 5048? We will take the case under advisement.

D	דד
Page	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SIDNEY F. MORRISH, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me, and the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

Sidney F. Morrish, C.S.F

the English as on 3/4 5048.

New Maxico Oil Conservation Commission

sid morrish reporting service
General Court Reporting Service
825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
Phone (505) 982-9212