Page.....1

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico February 5, 1975 EXAMINER HEARING IN THE MATTER OF: Case 5405, being the Northwest Nomenclature case calling for the ) CASE NO. 5405 creation and extension of certain ) pools in San Juan, Sandoval, and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets, Examiner For the New Mexico Oil William Carr, Esq. Legal Counsel for the Conservation Commission: Commission State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico THE NYE REPORTING SERVICE STATE-WIDE DEPOSITION NOTARIES 225 JOHNSON STREET SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501

TEL. (505) 982-0386

# $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{CASE 5405} \\ \mbox{Page} & 2 \\ \hline \mbox{Page} & 2 \\ \hline$

.

Page.....3

# A. R. KENDRICK

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

# DIRECT\_EXAMINATION

BY MR. CARR:

Q Please state your name and position, please?

A A. R. Kendrick, Petroleum Engineer for the Oil Commission.

Q Mr. Kendrick, are you prepared to make recommendations to the Commission concerning nomenclature in certain pools in San Juan, Sandoval and Rio Arriba Counties?

A Yes.

Q Are those the only counties you are planning to make recommendations concerning here?

A I am not sure. There might be one in McKinley County.

Q Do you want to take a look at the docket and be sure?

A The three counties you named are the only counties that I would make recommendations on today.

Q Mr. Kendrick, are your recommendations prepared in the form of an exhibit?

A Yes, they are.

# KENDRICK-DIRECT

Q What are those Exhibits numbered as?

A It is identified as N.M.O.C.C. Exhibit No. 1 in Case 5405, Paragraphs A through T.

Q Would you please refer to this exhibit and to the docket that has been prepared and distributed at this hearing and point out any substantial differences between the two?

A The Docket states in Paragraph D: (Reading) To extend the vertical limits of the Dufers Point Dakota Oil Pool to include the Gallup formation, rename the pool, and extend the horizontal limits of the Dufers Point Gallup-Dakota Oil Pool, as renamed. (End of Reading)

The exhibit requests that the extension vertically be from the top of the Gallup formation through the Dakota producing interval which would include the Gallup formation, the Greenhorn and the Dakota formations. Otherwise, the docket and the exhibit pertain to the same acreage and vertical limits.

Q Is there anything further that you would like to add to your testimony at this time?

A No.

MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Examiner, I would also offer Oil Conservation Commission's Exhibit No. 1

KENDRICK-CROSS

in Case 5405.

MR. STAMETS: Exhibit 1 will be admitted. (Whereupon, Commission's Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification and admitted into evidence.)

MR. CARR: I have no further questions of this witness.

# CROSS EXAMINATION

BY. MR. STAMETS:

Q Mr. Kendrick, is the Greenhorn a member of the Dakota formation or the Gallup formation?

A No, sir, it is in between. The total producing interval is identified as from the base of the Greenhorn 400 feet down, so this would be immediately above the Dakota producing interval.

Q Geologically speaking, is it a part of either one of those formations?

A No.

MR. STAMETS: Are there any other questions of this witness?

He may be excused.

(Witness dismissed.)

MR. STAMETS: Is there anything further in this

KENDRICK-CROSS

.

Page......6

case?

We will take the case under advisement.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ) SS. COUNTY OF SANTA FE

I. RICHARD L. NYE, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me, and the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. deard by me on 2 , Examiner

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission