

Dockets Nos. 4-78 and 5-78 are tentatively set for hearing on February 8 and 22, 1978. Applications for hearing must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

DOCKET: COMMISSION HEARING - WEDNESDAY - JANUARY 11, 1978

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 A.M. - CONFERENCE ROOM
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

CASE 5958: (DE NOVO)

Application of Continental Oil Company for downhole commingling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of Gonzales-Mesaverde and Otero-Chacra production in the wellbores of its AXI Apache "J" Wells Nos. 18, 23, and 24 located in Units A, D, and P of Section 8; Nos. 19 and 22 in Units D and L of Section 6; Nos. 20 and 21, in Units C and I of Section 5; and No. 25 in Unit A of Section 7, all in Township 25 North, Range 5 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

Upon application of Continental Oil Company this case will be heard De Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.

CASE 6026: (DE NOVO)

Application of William G. Rabe and Alice P. Rabe for a non-standard gas proration unit, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for a 160-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the NE/4 of Section 25, Township 27 North, Range 8 West, Blanco-Mesaverde Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico.

Upon application of William G. Rabe and Alice P. Rabe this case will be heard De Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.

CASE 6027: (DE NOVO)

Application of Great Lakes Chemical Corporation for a non-standard gas proration unit, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for a 160-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the SE/4 of Section 25, Township 27 North, Range 8 West, Blanco-Mesaverde Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico.

Upon application of Great Lakes Chemical Corporation this case will be heard De Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - JANUARY 18, 1978

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Richard L. Stamets, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, Alternate Examiner:

- ALLOWABLE: (1) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for February, 1978, from fifteen prorated pools in Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico.
- (2) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for February, 1978, from four prorated pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba, and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico.

CASE 6123: Application of Stevens Oil Company for an unorthodox gas well location, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its O'Brien "F" Well No. 1 located 1650 feet from the South line and 330 feet from the East line of Section 35, Township 8 South, Range 28 East, Twin Lakes-San Andres Associated Pool, Chaves County, New Mexico, the SE/4 of said Section 35 to be dedicated to the well.

CASE 6124: Application of HNG Oil Company for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for its Shoetar Ranch Unit Area comprising 961 acres, more or less, of State lands in Townships 16 and 17 South, Range 35 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

- CASE 6125: Application of Shell Oil Company for an exception to Rule 202(B), San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Commission Rule No. 202(B), San Juan County, New Mexico, to permit the temporary abandonment of certain wells on its Carson Unit Area in Township 25 North, Ranges 11 and 12 West, Bisti-Lower Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant further seeks that any further extensions be administratively approved.
- CASE 6126: Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for compulsory pooling and an unorthodox location, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Wolfcamp and Pennsylvanian formations underlying the W/2 of Section 21, Township 17 South, Range 26 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to its Siegenthaler IS Well No. 2 to be drilled at an unorthodox location 1460 feet from the South line and 1980 feet from the West line of said Section 21. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.
- CASE 6127: Application of Southland Royalty Company for a dual completion, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the dual completion (conventional) of its Aztec Palmillo State Com Well No. 1 located in Unit G of Section 32, Township 18 South, Range 29 East, to produce oil from the Wolfcamp and gas from the Morrow formations.
- CASE 6128: Application of Champlin Petroleum Company for an unorthodox location, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its State "36" Well No. 1 located 1980 feet from the South line and 660 feet from the West line of Section 36, Township 21 South, Range 27 East, East Carlsbad Gas Field, Eddy County, New Mexico, the S/2 of said Section 36 to be dedicated to the well.
- CASE 6129: Application of King Resources Company for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for its Gardner Draw Unit Area comprising 19,840 acres, more or less, of Federal, State, and fee lands in Townships 19 and 20 South, Ranges 20 and 21 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- CASE 6130: Application of Continental Oil Company for downhole commingling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of Wantz Abo and Wantz Granite Wash production in the wellbore of its Lockhart B-35 Well No. 5 located in Unit H of Section 35, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 6131: Application of Continental Oil Company for a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project on its Southeast Monument Unit Area, Warren McKee Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, by the injection of water into the McKee formation through 8 wells. Applicant further seeks the establishment of an administrative procedure for adding or substituting injection wells.
- CASE 6132: Application of Continental Oil Company for a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project on its Warren Unit Area, Warren McKee Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, by the injection of water into the McKee formation through 4 wells. Applicant further seeks the establishment of an administrative procedure for adding and substituting injection wells.
- CASE 6133: Application of Doyle Hartman for compulsory pooling and a non-standard proration unit, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests underlying the SE/4 of Section 8, Township 19 South, Range 37 East, Eumont Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to form a non-standard unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.
- CASE 6134: Application of Burleson & Huff for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Queen formation underlying the SE/4 NW/4 of Section 22, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Langlie Mattix Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

Dockets Nos. 23-85 and 24-85 are tentatively set for July 17 and 31, 1985. Applications for hearing must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

DOCKET: COMMISSION HEARING - WEDNESDAY - JULY 10, 1985

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 A.M. - MORGAN HALL,
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

- CASE 8643: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to amend Rule 0.1, Rule 1, Rule 2, Rule 3, Rule 7, Rule 709, and Rule 710 to define fresh water and produced water and to provide for protection of fresh water.
- CASE 8644: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to promulgate a new Rule 8 to provide for the approval of the use of lined pits or below grade tanks for disposal or storage of produced water and other oil field fluids.
- CASE 8645: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to amend Rule 102 to require a copy of Form C-101 (permit) on location during drilling operations and to provide for notice to landowners and/or tenants prior to the staking of well locations.
- CASE 8646: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to amend Rules 108 and 113 to provide for notice of defective casing and for the notice of damage to casing, cement, or the formation as a result of well treatment.
- CASE 8647: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to delete Rule 308 in order to clarify the need for reporting of small volumes of produced water.
- CASE 8648: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to amend Rule 111 to provide for operator calculation of maximum bottomhole displacement when the deviation during drilling averages more than five degrees in any 500-foot interval.
- CASE 8649: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to amend Rule 1204 and Rule 1205, to delete present Rule 1206, to renumber and amend Rule 1207, and to promulgate a new Rule 1207. The Commission, in the above-styled cause, seeks to amend its rules relative to giving notice of hearings and to establish additional notice requirements for applicants for hearings.

Copies of the proposed rule changes, deletions, and new rules are available at Oil Conservation Division offices in Santa Fe, Hobbs, Artesia, and Aztec.

CASE 5958: (DE NOVO) (This case will be dismissed.)

Application of Continental Oil Company for downhole commingling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of Gonzales-Mesaverde and Otero-Chacra production in the wellbores of its AXI Apache "J" Wells Nos. 18, 23, and 24 located in Units A, D, and P of Section 8; Nos. 19 and 22 in Units D and L of Section 6; Nos. 20 and 21 in Units C and I of Section 5; and No. 25 in Unit A of Section 7, all in Township 25 North, Range 5 West. Upon application of Conoco Inc. this case will be heard De Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.

CASE 8400: (Continued from June 12, 1985, Commission Hearing)

Application of Jack J. Grynberg for amendment of Division Order No. R-6873, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Division Order No. R-6873 to 1) declare the applicant to be the operator of said Order's subject well and unit, 2) allow for the drilling of a second PrePermian well on the established 320-acre proration unit and 3) the establishment of risk factor and overhead charges for the new well.

CASE 8604: (Continued from June 5, 1985, Examiner Hearing)

Application of HCW Exploration, Inc. for compulsory pooling and a non-standard gas proration unit, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests from the surface to the base of the Jalmat Gas Pool, underlying the SE/4 of Section 27, Township 23 South, Range 36 East, forming a 160-acre non-standard gas proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 3605: (Continued from June 5, 1985, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Doyle Hartman to rescind Division Order No. R-3690, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks to rescind Division Order No. R-3690 which authorized the disposal of produced water into the Seven Rivers formation through the Etz Well No. 3 located 330 feet from the South line and 1650 feet from the West line of Section 27, Township 23 South, Range 36 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, in which HCW Exploration, Inc. is the operator.

CASE 8594: (Continued from June 5, 1985, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Doyle Hartman for compulsory pooling, a non-standard gas proration unit, and an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests from the surface to the base of the Jalmat Gas Pool underlying the SE/4 of Section 27, Township 23 South, Range 36 East, forming a 160-acre spacing and proration unit, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled 660 feet from the South line and 330 feet from the East line of said Section 27. Applicant further seeks approval for a 160-acre non-standard Jalmat Gas Pool spacing and proration unit comprising said SE/4 of Section 27 also to be dedicated to the aforementioned well which is an unorthodox gas well location in the Jalmat Gas Pool. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 8323: (DE NOVO)

Application of Blanco Engineering, Inc. for salt water disposal, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Atoka Penn formation in the perforated interval from 9,094 feet to 9,116 feet in its Pan American Flint Gas Com Well No. 1 located in Section 22, Township 18 South, Range 26 East. Upon application of Yates Petroleum Corporation this case will be heard De Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.