	·	Page1
1	NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION	
-	EXAMINER HEARING	
· <del>-</del>	SANTA FE , NEW MEXICO	
Hearing Date	SEPTEMBER 5, 1979	Time: 9:00 A.M.
NAME	REPRESENTING	LOCATION
Tom KEllahin	KELLAhin + KELLAhin	Santa Fe
William & Car	Campbell + Black	Santa Fe
L. J. Seright	Depen, Inc.	Midland, Tex.
R.B. Edmundson	V	Denver, Wes
Parris Delson	Millard Dock Capital Observer	Hobbs, N.M.
RM Rubont	m Yata Per	Rosciel/27 2
Man	YDIES Pet	ANTESIE, NM
R.M. Williams	Morris R. Antweil	H0665
J.K. Lytle	TXXVIII A	Midland Guelland
David L. Davis	Bass Enterprises	Midland
John W Irving	Exxon Co. USA	. Midland
Lee G. Nering	Beloo Petroleum Corp.	Houston
Jim Simo O Armando Lopez	USGS USGS	Ho665
Rick House	LADO PETROLEM	Albuquergue
02	- Nov To Haddana	DENUGY, Cu

Alpha Tuenty ONE Alpha 21 Comp Device HARTMAN

milland

NI	W MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION					
	EXAMINER HEARII					
· <u> </u>	SANTA FE,	NEW MEXICO				
Hearing Date	SEPTEMBER 5, 1979 Time: 9:00 A.1					
NAME	REPRESENTING		LOCATION			
•						
•	·					
			•			
		ţ.				
		•				

CASE

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT
Oil Conservation Division
State Land Office Building
Santa Fe, New Mexico
5 September 1979

#### EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Yates Petroleum Cor-)
poration for a unit agreement, )
Chaves County, New Mexico. )

BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

#### APPEARANCES

For the Oil Conservation Division:

Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. Legal Counsel for the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503

For the Applicant:

Randolph M. Richardson, Esq. Roswell, New Mexico

SALLY WALTON BOYD
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
3020 Plaza Blanca (505) 471-2462
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

# I N D E X

# RANDY HARRIS

Direct	Examination	ı by	Mr.	. Richardson	3
Cross	Examination	bу	Mr.	Stamets	10

# EXHIBITS

Applicant Exhibit	One, Report	5
Applicant Exhibit	Two, Unit Agreement	5
Applicant Exhibit	Three, Map	6
Applicant Exhibit	Four, Map	6
Applicant Exhibit	Five, Map	6

Application of Yates Petroleum

Randolph M. Richardson,

We'll now call Case 6641.

1 2 3 Corporation for a unit agreement, Chaves County, New Mexico. 4 5 Roswell, New Mexico, appearing on behalf of the applicant. 6 I have one witness.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Witness sworn.)

MR. STAMETS:

MR, PADILLA:

MR. RICHARDSON:

### RANDY HARRIS

being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

# DIRECT EXAMINATION

### BY MR. RICHARDSON:

Mr. Harris, would you please state your name and present occupation?

My name is Randy Harris. I'm presently A. a geologist for Yates Petroleum Corporation, Artesia, New Mexico.

Would you please state your educational Q. and professional background which enable you to testify as an expert?

I'm a graduate geologist from the University of Texas and I have been employed by Yates Petroleum

formal is still pending.

1 for three years as an exploration-developmental geologist. 2 Are you familiar with the Willow Creek Unit 3 area and the matters concerned in the application to the 4 Commission? Yes, I am. MR. RICHARDSON: Are the witness' qualifications acceptable? Mr. Harris, when did you MR. STAMETS: graduate from the University of Texas? . A. 1975. MR. STAMETS: And your experience with Yates Petroleum has been at what location? A. Artesia. MR. STAMETS: The witness is considered qualified. (Mr. Richardson continuing.) Is the form of unit agreement that form prescribed by the Federal regulations and as previously approved by the Commissioner of Public Lands? Yes. Has the unit area been designated by the 0. United States Geological Survey as an area logically suitable for development under a unit plan of operation? 24 It has been verbally approved but the **2**5

Q.	Could you please tell the Commission the
total number	Division, I guess I should be referring to,
shouldn't I	would you please tell the Division the total
number of acres	that's in the unit area and the number and
percentage of a	creage of Federal, State, and fee lands?
A.	There are a total of 25,880.85 acres, of

which 10,756.37 is Federal, or 41.56 percent; 11,540.69 acres are State of New Mexico land, or 44.59 percent; and there are 3,583.79 acres of patented fee land, or 13.85 percent.

Q. Would you please tell the Division the township and range in which the unit is located and with reference to the nearest town?

A. The area is Township 4 South, Range 25
East, and the northeast part of Township 5 South, 25 East,
approximately 33 miles north of Roswell.

Q. Now, Mr. Harris, would you please refer to your geological report, which has been handed to the Division marked Exhibits One through Five.

Was this report prepared by you?

A. Yes, it was.

Q. Would you please briefly review the report, referring to the maps by name and exhibit number, and indicating the significance of the maps and geological report?

A. This unit area is based on a surface

structure and topographic drainage pattern.

There are no wells in the entire township, or unit area, or anything in the near area surrounding it.

So surface has to be relied upon for a location.

There are particular drainage patterns and a surface high on Exhibit Number Three, which is a surface topo map of the unit. It is showing a broad nosing through the unit area with a drainage pattern on the north of Wylee Draw, to the south of Huggins Draw, and to the east by the Pecos River.

MR. STAMETS: Mr. Harris, there are some broad lines on this exhibit. What are those lines showing?

A. Those broad lines are a 100 foot contour marks that have been darkened in. The yellow is the unit outline.

MR. STAMETS: Okay.

Q. Mr. Harris, could you go through the other exhibits and briefly tell what they are?

A. Exhibit Number Four is an anomalous situation to the unit area. This is of the Penasco Draw area of Eddy County, New Mexico, of which it shows similar drainage patterns of the Penasco Draw and nosing on the surface structure.

This nosing on the surface structure is a reflection of deeper formations of which Exhibit Number

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Five is a contoured structure map of the San Andres of the This is our Penasco Draw Oil Field, which produces out of the San Andres and Yeso formations.

Specifically, sir, where MR. STAMETS: is this nosing on Exhibit Four and how do you relate it to Exhibit Five?

Exhibit Four has the broad nosing through A. Sections 26, 25, 30, 31; a topographic high in Section 36, 35.

MR. STAMETS: Okay, let's slow down here a little bit. Let me get oriented on this.

That's on the northern part of your exhibit?

Yes, the northern part of the exhibit. A.

MR. STAMETS: In Section 26 there's a mark labeled "windmill 3479". That's the right spot?

> A. Yes. Yes, sir.

MR. STAMETS: All right, and where does the nosing run, then?

The nosing runs in a general west to east direction from -- through Sections 34, 35, 36, 31.

MR. STAMETS: Okay, the broad line that you have that goes through Section 31, then, indicates the direction of the nosing?

Yes, sir.

MR. STAMETS: Okay.

Q	T	hat exh	mibit,	the	Penasco	Draw .	area,	was
introduced	to show	the sim	nilari	ty, p	rimaril	y, bet	ween t	he
unit area t	o the no	rth and	l the a	area	to the	south,	south	of
Artesia act	ually, a	s the s	imila	rity	of surf	ace dr	ainage	
patterns.	The exhil	bit was	, what	t, Fi	ve?			

- A. Exhibit Five is a --
- Q. Actually is what, oh, sixty miles south.
- A. The reason this particular area was chosen for a, representative, as I said, was a large, a very large general nosing on the surface in the Penasco Draw. Many of the other structural control fields in southeast New Mexico are reflected on the surface, but there are smaller surface structures, a little bit more difficult to see on a map.

### MR. STAMETS: Okay.

- Q. Will you please tell the Division your conclusions as to the formations likely to be encountered and considered prospective for production?
- A. Since we could be working with a fairly large subsurface high, or nose, the entire Permian and Pennsylvanian section is prospective, from the San Andres, Glorieta, Abo, Wolfcamp.

The Pennsylvanian we're not exactly sure how many feet of section we will have here, but it is probable that we will have a small amount of Cisco before

going to a Granite Wash, and if the high remains through the Granite Wash, it will probably pick up Granite Wash production.

Q Would you please tell the Division the projected depth and location of the initial test well?

A. The depth will be in basement granite and it should be approximately 5750 feet deep; a location 1980 from the north, 1980 from the east, Section 16, Township 4 South, Range 25 East.

Q. Have the other working interest owners in the unit been contacted?

A. Yes. All the large except for a very minute, small owners have not.

Q In your opinion, what percentage of the working interests will be committed and what percentage of the royalty interests will be committed?

A. Working interests committed will be approximately 95 percent and royalty interests, 86 percent.

Q. In your opinion will the operation of this area under the proposed unit plan of operation be in the interest of conservation and prevention of waste?

A. Yes.

Q Will the different institutions of the State, if any, receive their fair share of production if established?

A.	Yes

Q In the event of production, will the correlative rights of all parties to the unit agreement be protected?

A. Yes.

MR. RICHARDSON: That was all I had. I'd like to enter the geological report marked Exhibits One through Five into the record.

MR. STAMETS: That set of exhibits will be admitted.

MR. RICHARDSON: Do you have any questions?

### CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. STAMETS:

Q. Well, Mr. Harris, in just a brief review of the unit agreement itself, it appears as though it provides for Oil Conservation Division approval of plans of development, participating areas, and change of unit operator, is that correct?

A. Yes.

MR. STAMETS: Any other questions of this witness? He may be excused.

Anything further in this case? The case will be taken under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, a court reporter, DO HEREBY
CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of
Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported
by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct
record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my
ability, from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

Sally W. Boyd, C.S.R.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 6446 heard by me on heard by me on Oll Conservation Division