

CASE 6846: (Amended)

In the matter of Case No. 6846 being amended to reflect that the location for the unorthodox location of the well on the second unit is 330 feet from the North line and 2310 feet from the East line of Section 13, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, Lea County.

CASE 6846: (Continued from March 26, 1980, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Doyle Hartman for two compulsory poolings, two non-standard gas proration units, and two unorthodox well locations, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Eumont Gas Pool underlying two 80-acre non-standard gas proration units, the first being the S/2 NE/4 of Section 13, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at an unorthodox location 1650 feet from the North line and 2310 feet from the East line of said Section 13, and the second being the N/2 NE/4 of said Section 13 to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at an unorthodox location 330 feet from the North line and 2310 feet from the East line of said Section 13. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said wells and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the wells and a charge for risk involved in drilling said wells.

CASE 6865: Application of Getty Oil Company to reopen Case No. 6608, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks to reopen Case No. 6608 for consideration of the establishment of maximum efficient rates of withdrawal from the Grama Ridge-Wolfcamp Gas Pool.

Docket No. 10-80

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - APRIL 16, 1980

8:45 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION CONFERENCE ROOM,
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Richard L. Stamets, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, Alternate Examiner:

- ALLOWABLE: (1) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for May, 1980, from fifteen prorated pools in Lea, Eddy, and Chaves Counties, New Mexico.
- (2) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for May, 1980, from four prorated pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba, and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico.

Docket No. 11-80

DOCKET: COMMISSION HEARING - WEDNESDAY - APRIL 16, 1980

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 A.M. - ROOM 205
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

CASE 6609: (DE NOVO) (Continued from March 11, 1980, Commission Hearing)

Application of Napeco Inc. for pool creation and special pool rules, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a new Strawn oil pool for its Benson Deep Unit Well No. 1 located in Unit O of Section 33, Township 18 South, Range 30 East, and special rules therefor, including 160-acre spacing and standard well locations.

Upon application of Yates Petroleum Corporation and Napeco Inc. this case will be heard De Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220. Applicants allege this is not an "oil" pool but is a "volatile" oil pool.

Dockets Nos. 12-80 and 13-80 are tentatively set for April 23 and May 7, 1980. Applications for hearing must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - APRIL 9, 1980

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION CONFERENCE ROOM,
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Richard L. Stamets, Alternate Examiner:

- CASE 6850: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to permit Jack F. Grimm, N. B. Hunt, George R. Brown, Am-Arctic, Ltd., The Travelers Indemnity Company, and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the Mobil 32 Well No. 1 located in Unit D of Section 32, Township 25 South, Range 1 East, Dona Ana County, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Division-approved plugging program.
- CASE 6851: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to consider amendments to its SPECIAL RULES FOR APPLICATIONS FOR WELLHEAD PRICE CEILING CATEGORY DETERMINATIONS as promulgated by Division Order No. R-5878 and amended by R-5878-A. The proposed amendments would make said SPECIAL RULES conform to FERC Order No. 65 which promulgated final regulations implementing filing requirements of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978.
- CASE 6852: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to consider special rules and procedures for the designation of "tight formations" or "tight sands" as outlined in the FERC interim rules and regulations issued February 20, 1980, relating to Section 107(b) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978.
- CASE 6853: Application of Caribou Four Corners, Inc. for compulsory pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Cha Cha Gallup Pool underlying the N/2 NE/4 of Section 18, Township 29 North, Range 14 West, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.
- CASE 6854: Application of Jack A. Cole for an unorthodox gas well location, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of his Apache Hills Well No. 6, 1326 feet from the North line and 1843 feet from the West line of Section 17, Township 23 North, Range 3 West, Ballard-Pictured Cliffs Pool, the NW/4 of said Section 17 to be dedicated to the well.
- CASE 6841: (Continued from March 26, 1980, Examiner Hearing)
- Application of CIG Exploration, Inc. for two non-standard gas proration units, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of two non-standard gas proration units in Township 16 South, Range 28 East, the first being 219.6 acres comprising Lots 1 thru 8 of Section 1 and the second being 219.92 acres comprising Lots 1 thru 8 of Section 2, for the Wolfcamp, Pennsylvanian, and Mississippian formations, each unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon.
- CASE 6855: Application of Dome Petroleum Corporation for an unorthodox well location, McKinley County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its Santa Fe 3 Well No. 1 to be drilled 1220 feet from the North line and 900 feet from the West line of Section 3, Township 21 North, Range 10 West.
- CASE 6856: Application of Texaco Inc. for downhole commingling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of Blinbry, Tubbs-Drinkard, and Fusselman production in the wellbore of its C. C. Fristoe "B" Federal NCT-2 Well No. 6 located in Unit H of Section 34, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, Justis Field.
- CASE 6857: Application of Holly Energy, Inc. for an unorthodox gas well location, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its State 14 Well No. 1, a Morrow test to be drilled 660 feet from the South line and 990 feet from the East line of Section 14, Township 18 South, Range 28 East, the S/2 of said Section 14 to be dedicated to the well.

CASE 6843: (Continued from March 26, 1980, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for two compulsory poolings, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Yeso formation underlying two 40-acre proration units, the first being the SE/4 SE/4 and the second being the SW/4 SE/4 of Section 6, Township 19 South, Range 25 East, Penasco Draw Field, each unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said wells and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the wells and a charge for risk involved in drilling said wells.

CASE 6858: Application of H. L. Brown, Jr. for gas well commingling, Roosevelt County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to commingle Bluit-Wolfcamp gas and condensate production from ten federal wells located as follows: Units K and P of Section 33 and L of 34, Township 7 South, Range 37 East; Units D and L of Section 3, C and J of 4, I of 5, C of 9 and C of 10; and one fee well in D of 10, all in Township 8 South, Range 37 East. Applicant would separate and meter the gas and condensate production from each well, then recombine the well's stream and commingle all wells into a small gasoline plant. Allocation of gas and condensate to each well would be on the basis of wellhead meter readings and allocation of gasoline plant production would be on the basis of gas production and BTU content at each well.

CASE 6859: Application of R & G Drilling Company for an unorthodox gas well location, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of a well to be drilled 1890 feet from the North line and 1830 feet from the East line of Section 28, Township 28 North, Range 11 West, Kutz-Fruitland Pool, the NE/4 of said Section 28 to be dedicated to the well.

CASE 6860: Application of Flag-Redfern Oil Company for an exception to Order No. R-3221, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Order No. R-3221 to permit disposal of produced brine into an unlined surface pit located in Unit P of Section 3, Township 19 South, Range 31 East.

CASE 6861: Application of Zia Energy, Inc. for pool creation, special pool rules, and an NGPA determination, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a new San Andres oil pool for its State "C" Well No. 1 located in Unit F of Section 17, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, and special rules therefor, including a provision for a limiting gas-oil ratio of 10,000 to 1. Applicant further seeks a new onshore reservoir determination for said State "C" Well No. 1.

CASE 6837: (Continued from March 26, 1980, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Curtis Little for compulsory pooling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Dakota formation underlying the W/2 of Section 7, Township 25 North, Range 3 West, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 6862: Application of ARCO Oil and Gas Company for an unorthodox oil well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its State 157 "D" Well No. 11 drilled 2123 feet from the South line and 1644 feet from the East line of Section 12, Township 22 South, Range 36 East, Drinkard Pool, the NW/4 SE/4 of said Section 12 to be dedicated to the well.

CASE 6863: Application of Bass Enterprises Production Co. for a dual completion, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the dual completion (conventional) of its Big Eddy Unit Well No. 72 located in Unit R of Section 3, Township 21 South, Range 28 East, to produce undesignated Atoka and Morrow gas thru parallel strings of tubing.

CASE 6864: Application of Grace Petroleum Corporation for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its Smith Ranch Well No. 11, to be drilled 1980 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the West line of Section 11, Township 20 South, Range 33 East, Teas-Penn Gas Pool, the N/2 of said Section 11 to be dedicated to the well.

March 31, 1980

Ms. Cynthia D. Leascher
Post Office Box 8046
Midland, Texas 79703

Re: New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
Case 6846

Dear Ms. Leascher:

Enclosed is a copy of the docket for the April 9,
1980 Oil Conservation Division Examiner Hearing.

You may have an interest that will be affected by
the above-referenced case.

Very truly yours,

William F. Carr

WFC:lr

Enclosure

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQ

Hartman - Case 6846	
● SENDER: Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Add your address in the "RETURN TO" space on reverse.	
1. The following service is requested (check one.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Show to whom and date delivered. <input type="checkbox"/> Show to whom, date and address of delivery. <input type="checkbox"/> RESTRICTED DELIVERY Show to whom and date delivered. <input type="checkbox"/> RESTRICTED DELIVERY. Show to whom, date, and address of delivery.	
(CONSULT POSTMASTER FOR FEES)	
2. ARTICLE ADDRESSED TO: Ms. Cynthia D. Leascher Post Office Box 8046 Midland, Texas 79703	
3. REGISTERED NO. 6670334	4. CERTIFIED NO. 6670334
(Always obtain signature of addressee or agent.) I have received the article described above. SIGNATURE <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Authorized agent <i>Cynthia D. Leascher</i>	
5. ADDRESS (Complete only if requested)	
6. UNABLE TO DELIVER BECAUSE:	
7. DATE OF DELIVERY APR 4 1980 MIDLAND, TEXAS	

March 31, 1980

Mr. Michael Sullivan
Post Office Box 8046
Midland, Texas 79703

Re: New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
Case 6846

Dear Mr. Sullivan:

Enclosed is a copy of the docket for the April 9,
1980 Oil Conservation Division Examiner Hearing.

You may have an interest that will be affected by
the above-referenced case.

Very truly yours,

William F. Carr

WFC:lr

Enclosure

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT RE

Hartman - Case 6846

● SENDER: Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Add your address in the "RETURN TO" space on reverse.	
1. The following service is requested (check one). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Show to whom and date delivered..... <input type="checkbox"/> Show to whom, date and address of delivery..... <input type="checkbox"/> RESTRICTED DELIVERY Show to whom and date delivered..... <input type="checkbox"/> RESTRICTED DELIVERY. Show to whom, date, and address of delivery 3.....	
(CONSULT POSTMASTER FOR FEES)	
2. ARTICLE ADDRESSED TO: Mr. Michael Sullivan Post Office Box 8046 Midland, Texas 79703	
3. ARTICLE DESCRIPTION: REGISTERED NO. 6670333	CERTIFIED NO. INSURED NO.
(Always obtain signature of addressee or agent)	
I have received the article described above. SIGNATURE <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Authorizing agent <i>W. F. Carr</i>	
4. DATE OF DELIVERY	POSTMARK APR 1 1980
5. ADDRESS (Complete only if registered)	
6. UNABLE TO DELIVER BECAUSE:	

March 31, 1980

Ms. Gail Sullivan
Post Office Box 8046
Midland, Texas 79703

Re: New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
Case 6846

Dear Ms. Sullivan:

Enclosed is a copy of the docket for the April 9,
1980 Oil Conservation Division Examiner Hearing.

You may have an interest that will be affected by
the above-referenced case.

Very truly yours,

William F. Carr

WFC:lr

Enclosure

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQ

Doyle Hartman- Case 6846

SENDER: Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Add your address in the "RETURN TO" space on reverse.	
1. The following service is requested (check one.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Show to whom and date delivered. <input type="checkbox"/> RESTRICTED DELIVERY Show to whom and date delivered. <input type="checkbox"/> RESTRICTED DELIVERY. Show to whom, date, and address of delivery.	
(CONSULT POSTMASTER FOR FEES)	
2. ARTICLE ADDRESSED TO: Ms. Gail Sullivan Post Office Box 8046 Midland, Texas 79703	
3. ARTICLE DESCRIPTION: REGISTERED NO. 6670332	INSURED NO.
(Always obtain signature of addressee or agent)	
I have received the article described above. SIGNATURE <i>W. F. Carr</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee <input type="checkbox"/> Authorized agent	
4. DATE OF DELIVERY	POSTMARK APR 1 1980
5. ADDRESS (complete only if requested)	
6. UNABLE TO DELIVER BECAUSE:	
CLERK'S INITIALS	

March 31, 1980

Texaro Oil Company
810 Preston State Bank Building
811 Preston Road
Dallas, Texas 75240

Re: New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
Case 6846

Gentlemen:

Enclosed is a copy of the docket for the April 9,
1980 Oil Conservation Division Examiner Hearing.

You may have an interest that will be affected by
the above-referenced case.

Very truly yours,

William F. Carr

WFC:lr

Enclosure

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUIRED

Hartman - Case 6846

SENDER: Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Add your address in the "RETURN TO" space on reverse.	
1. The following service is requested (check one). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Show to whom and date delivered. <input type="checkbox"/> Show to whom, date and address of delivery. <input type="checkbox"/> RESTRICTED DELIVERY Show to whom and date delivered. <input type="checkbox"/> RESTRICTED DELIVERY. Show to whom, date, and address of delivery.	
(CONSULT POSTMASTER FOR FEES)	
2. ARTICLE ADDRESSED TO: Texaro Oil Company 811 Preston Road Dallas, Texas 75240	
3. ARTICLE DESCRIPTION: REGISTERED NO. 6670331	INSURED NO.
(Always obtain signature of addressee or agent)	
I have received the article described above. SIGNATURE <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Authorized agent <i>Paula Daugherty</i>	
4. DATE OF DELIVERY	
5. ADDRESS (Complete only if requested)	
6. UNABLE TO DELIVER BECAUSE:	

POSTMARK: DALLAS, TEX 3 APR 1980
CLERK'S INITIALS: [initials]

March 31, 1980

Ms. Betti G. Gutttag
16 Sutton Place
New York City, New York 10022

Re: New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
Case 6846

Dear Ms. Gutttag:

Enclosed is a copy of the docket for the April 9,
1980 Oil Conservation Division Examiner Hearing.

You may have an interest that will be affected by
the above-referenced case.

Very truly yours,

William F. Carr

WFC:lr

Enclosure

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUEST

Doyle Hartman - Case 6846

SENDER: Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Add your address in the "RETURN TO" space on reverse.	
1. The following service is requested (check one.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Show to whom and date delivered. <input type="checkbox"/> Show to whom, date and address of delivery. <input type="checkbox"/> RESTRICTED DELIVERY Show to whom and date delivered. <input type="checkbox"/> RESTRICTED DELIVERY. Show to whom, date, and address of delivery.	
(CONSULT POSTMASTER FOR FEES)	
2. ARTICLE ADDRESSED TO: Ms. Betti G. Gutttag 16 Sutton Place New York, New York 10022	
3. ARTICLE DESCRIPTION: REGISTERED NO.	CERTIFIED NO. 6670330 INSURED NO.
(Always obtain signature of addressee or agent.) I have received the article described above. SIGNATURE: <i>[Signature]</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Addressee <input type="checkbox"/> Authorized agent	
4. DATE OF DELIVERY: <i>[Date]</i>	
5. ADDRESS (complete only if changed)	
6. UNABLE TO DELIVER BECAUSE: <i>[Initials]</i>	
7. CLERK'S INITIALS	

March 31, 1980

Mr. Jack Guttag
16 Sutton Place
New York City, New York 10022

Re: New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
Case 6846

Dear Mr. Guttag:

Enclosed is a copy of the docket for the April 9,
1980 Oil Conservation Division Examiner Hearing.

You may have an interest that will be affected by
the above-referenced case.

Very truly yours,

William F. Carr

WFC:lr

Enclosure

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT RE

SENDER: Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Add your address in the "RETURN TO" space on reverse.	
1. The following service is requested (check one.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Show to whom and date delivered..... <input type="checkbox"/> Show to whom, date and address of delivery..... <input type="checkbox"/> RESTRICTED DELIVERY Show to whom and date delivered..... <input type="checkbox"/> RESTRICTED DELIVERY. Show to whom, date, and address of delivery \$.....	
(CONSULT POSTMASTER FOR FEES)	
2. ARTICLE ADDRESSED TO: Mr. Jack Guttag 16 Sutton Place New York, New York 10022	
3. ARTICLE DESCRIPTION: REGISTERED NO. 6670329	INSURED NO.
(Always obtain signature of addressee or agent)	
I have received the article described above. SIGNATURE <i>[Signature]</i> ADDRESS <input type="checkbox"/> Authorized agent	
DATE OF DELIVERY	
POSTMARK <i>[Postmark]</i>	
5. ADDRESS (Complete only if requested)	
6. UNABLE TO DELIVER BECAUSE:	
CLERK'S INITIALS	

March 31, 1980

Mr. Charles Gutman
51 East 42nd Street
New York City, New York 10017

Re: New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
Case 6846

Dear Mr. Gutman:

Enclosed is a copy of the docket for the April 9,
1980 Oil Conservation Division Examiner Hearing.

You may have an interest that will be affected by
the above-referenced case.

Very truly yours,

William F. Carr

WFC:lr

Enclosure

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

P11 6670328

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED—
NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

(See Reverse)

SENT TO		Charles Gutman	
STREET AND NO.			
P.O., STATE AND ZIP CODE			
POSTAGE	\$		
CERTIFIED FEE	¢		
SPECIAL DELIVERY	¢		
RESTRICTED DELIVERY	¢		
SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE DELIVERED	¢		
SHOW TO WHOM, DATE, AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY	¢		
SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE DELIVERED WITH RESTRICTED DELIVERY	¢		
SHOW TO WHOM, DATE AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY WITH RESTRICTED DELIVERY	¢		
CONSULT POSTMASTER FOR FEES			
OPTIONAL SERVICES			
RETURN RECEIPT SERVICE			
TOTAL POSTAGE AND FEES		\$	
POSTMARK OR DATE			
		3/31/80	

March 31, 1980

Ms. Edith G. Socolow
45 East 82nd Street
New York City, New York 10028

Re: New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
Case 6846

Dear Ms. Socolow:

Enclosed is a copy of the docket for the April 9,
1980 Oil Conservation Division Examiner Hearing.

You may have an interest that will be affected by
the above-referenced case.

Very truly yours,

William F. Carr

WFC:lr

Enclosure

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUEST

P11 6670327

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED—
NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

(See Reverse)

SENT TO <i>Edith G. Socolow</i> STREET AND NO.	
P.O., STATE AND ZIP CODE	
POSTAGE	\$
CERTIFIED FEE	\$
SPECIAL DELIVERY	\$
RESTRICTED DELIVERY	\$
SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE DELIVERED	\$
SHOW TO WHOM, DATE, AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY	\$
SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE DELIVERED WITH RESTRICTED DELIVERY	\$
SHOW TO WHOM, DATE AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY WITH RESTRICTED DELIVERY	\$
TOTAL POSTAGE AND FEES \$	
POSTMARK OR DATE	

3/31/80

March 31, 1980

Mr. A. Walter Socolow
45 East 82nd Street
New York City, New York 10028

Re: New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
Case 6846

Dear Mr. Socolow:

Enclosed is a copy of the docket for the April 9,
1980 Oil Conservation Division Examiner Hearing.

You may have an interest that will be affected by
the above-referenced case.

Very truly yours,

William F. Carr

WFC:lr

Enclosure

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

P11 6670326

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED—
NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL
(See Reverse)

SENT TO STREET AND NO. <i>45 East 82nd Street</i>		P.O., STATE AND ZIP CODE	
POSTAGE		\$	
CERTIFIED FEE		¢	
SPECIAL DELIVERY		¢	
RESTRICTED DELIVERY		¢	
SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE DELIVERED		¢	
SHOW TO WHOM, DATE, AND ADDRESS OF DELIVERY		¢	
SHOW TO WHOM AND DATE DELIVERED WITH RESTRICTED DELIVERY		¢	
RETURN RECEIPT SERVICE		¢	
OPTIONAL SERVICES		¢	
CONSULT POSTMASTER FOR FEES		¢	
TOTAL POSTAGE AND FEES		\$	
POSTMARK OR DATE			

3/31/80